



## 5TH GENERATION END-TO-END NETWORK, EXPERIMENTATION, SYSTEM INTEGRATION, AND SHOWCASING

[H2020 - Grant Agreement No. 815178]

# 5GENESIS Test Cases

## Companion Document

**Editor** M. Christopoulou (NCSR)

**Contributors** NCSR Demokritos (NCSR), Innovations for High Performance microelectronics (IHP), Humboldt University of Berlin (HUB), Karlstads Universitet (KAU), Simula Research Laboratory (SRL), RUNEL (REL), EURECOM (ECM), Infolysis (INF), Intel (INT), University of Surrey (UNIS), Fogus (FOG), Nemergent (NEM), , University of Malaga (UMA), Cosmote (COS), Universitat Politecnica de Valencia (UPV), Space Hellas Cyprus (SHC), Atos (ATOS)

**Version** 2.0

**Date** December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2021

**Distribution** PUBLIC (PU)



## List of Authors

<b>Fraunhofer</b>	<b>FOKUS-Fraunhofer Gesellschaft e.V., Institute for Open Communication Systems</b>
M. Emmelmann, M. Monachesi, R. Shrestha, F. Eichhorn, C.Fuhrhop , T. Briedigkeit	
<b>IHP</b>	<b>Innovations for High Performance microelectronics/ <i>Leibniz-Institut für innovative Mikroelektronik</i></b>
J. Gutiérrez, M. Ehrig, N. Maletic, E. Grass	
<b>HUB</b>	<b>Humboldt University of Berlin</b>
S. Dietzel, L. Reichert, P. Schoppmann	
<b>KAU</b>	<b>Karlstads Universitet</b>
A. Brunstrom, M. Rajiullah	
<b>SRL</b>	<b>Simula Research Laboratory AS</b>
G. Caso, C. Griwodz, Ö. Alay	
<b>REL</b>	<b>RUNEL NGMT LTD</b>
I. Koffmann	
<b>ECM</b>	<b>EURECOM</b>
P. Matzakos, F. Kaltenberger	
<b>INF</b>	<b>INFOLYSIS</b>
V. Koumaras, C. Sakkas	
<b>INT</b>	<b>INTEL</b>
V. Frasca	
<b>UNIS</b>	<b>University of Surrey</b>
S.Vahid, D. Triantafyllopoulou, F. Carrez	
<b>FOG</b>	<b>Fogus Innovations &amp; Services</b>
D. Tsolkas, N. Passas	
<b>NEM</b>	<b>NEMERGENT SOLUTIONS SL</b>
E. Alonso	
<b>NCSRD</b>	<b>NATIONAL CENTER FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH “DEMOKRITOS”</b>
G. Xilouris, M. Christopoulou, H. Koumaras, T. Sarlas, T. Anagnostopoulos	
<b>UMA</b>	<b>UNIVERSITY OF MALAGA</b>
A. Díaz, I. González, B. García, P. Merino	
<b>COS</b>	<b>COSMOTE KINITES TILEPIKOINONIES AE</b>
I. Mesogiti, F. Setaki, E. Theodoropoulou	
<b>UPV</b>	<b>Universitat Politècnica de Valencia</b>
J. Suárez de Puga	
<b>ATOS</b>	<b>ATOS SPAIN SA</b>
E. Jimeno, J. Melián	
<b>TID</b>	<b>TELEFONICA I+D SA</b>

A. Flórez, D. Artuñedo, D. García	
SHC	Space Hellas (Cyprus) Ltd.
G. Gardikis, D. Lioprasitis, T. Michalakis, I. Mantzaris	

## Disclaimer

---

The information, documentation and figures available in this deliverable are written by the 5GENESIS Consortium partners under EC co-financing (project H2020-ICT-815178) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the European Commission.

The information in this document is provided “as is”, and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The reader uses the information at his/her sole risk and liability.

---

## Copyright

---

Copyright © 2021 the 5GENESIS Consortium. All rights reserved.

The 5GENESIS Consortium consists of:

NATIONAL CENTER FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH “DEMOKRITOS”	Greece
AIRBUS DS SLC	France
ATHONET SRL	Italy
ATOS SPAIN SA	Spain
AVANTI HYLAS 2 CYPRUS LIMITED	Cyprus
AYUNTAMIENTO DE MALAGA	Spain
COSMOTE KINITES TILEPIKOINONIES AE	Greece
EURECOM	France
FOGUS INNOVATIONS & SERVICES P.C.	Greece
FON TECHNOLOGY SL	Spain
FRAUNHOFER GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FOERDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.	Germany
IHP GMBH – INNOVATIONS FOR HIGH PERFORMANCE MICROELECTRONICS/LEIBNIZ-INSTITUT FUER INNOVATIVE MIKROELEKTRONIK	Germany
INFOLYSIS P.C.	Greece
INSTITUTO DE TELECOMUNICACOES	Portugal
INTEL DEUTSCHLAND GMBH	Germany
KARLSTADS UNIVERSITET	Sweden
L.M. ERICSSON LIMITED	Ireland
MARAN (UK) LIMITED	UK
MUNICIPALITY OF EGALEO	Greece
NEMERGENT SOLUTIONS S.L.	Spain
ONEACCESS	France
PRIMETEL PLC	Cyprus
RUNEL NGMT LTD	Israel
SIMULA RESEARCH LABORATORY AS	Norway
SPACE HELLAS (CYPRUS) LTD	Cyprus
TELEFONICA INVESTIGACION Y DESARROLLO SA	Spain
UNIVERSIDAD DE MALAGA	Spain
UNIVERSITAT POLITECNICA DE VALENCIA	Spain
UNIVERSITY OF SURREY	UK

This document may not be copied, reproduced or modified in whole or in part for any purpose without written permission from the 5GENESIS Consortium. In addition to such written permission to copy, reproduce or modify this document in whole or part, an acknowledgement of the authors of the document and all applicable portions of the copyright notice must be clearly referenced.

## Version History

Rev. N	Description	Author	Date
1.0	First Release	M. Christopoulou (NCSRD)	30/6/2020
2.0	Second Release	G. Gardikis (SHC) (Ed.)	31/12/2021

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym	Meaning
5G	5 <sup>th</sup> Generation of cellular mobile communications
5G NR	5G New Radio
5G-PPP	5G Public-Private Partnership
AMQP	Advanced Message Queuing Protocol
API	Application Programming Interface
ARM	Advanced RISC Machine
CN	Core Network
COAP	Constrained Application Protocol
COTS	Commercial-Off-The-Self
DL	Downlink
DRX	Discontinuous Reception
DUT	Device Under Test
DRAN	Distributed Radio Access Network
DWDM	Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing
E2E	End-to-End
ELCM	Experiment Life Cycle Manager
eMBB	Enhanced Mobile Broadband - 5G Generic Service
EMS	Element Management System
EPC	Evolved Packet Core
E-UTRAN	Evolved Terrestrial Radio Access Network
FCAPS	Fault, Configuration, Accounting, Performance and Security
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Array
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
HEVC	High Efficiency Video Coding
IaaS	Infrastructure as a Service
IED	Intelligent Electronic Devices
ING	Intelligent Network Gateway
IUG	Intelligent User Gateway
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
LOS	Line of Sight
LTE	Long Term Evolution

MANO	Management & Orchestration
MIMO	Multiple Input Multiple Output
MCPTT	Mission critical push-to-talk
MCS	Mission critical services
MME	Mobility Management Entity
mmWave	Millimetre Wave
NB-IoT	Narrow Band – Internet of Things
NFVO	Network Function Virtualization Orchestrator
NLOS	Non Line of Sight
NMS	Network Management System
NSA	Non-Stand-Alone
OAI	Over the Air Integration
OSS	Operational Support Services
PFCP	Packet Forwarding Control Protocol
PLMN	Public Land Mobile Network
PSM	Power Saving Mode
PTMP	Point-to-Multi-Point
QoE	Quality of Experience
QoS	Quality of Service
PTMP	point-to-multipoint
RAT	Radio Access Technology
REST	Representational State Transfer
RRH	Remote Radio Head
RSRP	Reference Signal Received Power
RSRQ	Reference Signal Received Quality
RTP	Real-time protocol
RTSP	Real-time Streaming Protocol
SA	Stand-Alone
SDK	Software Development Kit
SDN	Software Defined Networking
SINR	Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio
SUT	System Under Test
TaaS	Testing as a Service
TAP	Test Automation Platform
TTN	The Things Network
UL	Uplink



UMA	University of Málaga
VIM	Virtual Infrastructure Manager
VM	Virtual Machine
VNF	Virtual Network Function
VNFM	Virtual Network Function Manager
VPN	Virtual Private Network
VR	Virtual Reality
WIM	WAN Infrastructure Manager
WLAN	Wireless Local Area Network
WP	Work Package

# Table of Contents

LIST OF ACRONYMS .....	7
<b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2 STATISTICAL PROCESSING METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>3 TEST CASES .....</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1 Capacity .....	16
3.1.1 Area Traffic Capacity.....	16
3.2 Density of Users.....	20
3.2.1 Maximum number of devices registered per Packet-core .....	21
3.2.2 Maximum number of active UE per Packet-core.....	23
3.2.3 Number of Operation Requests processed per Second .....	25
3.2.4 Average Operation Processing Delay.....	27
3.2.5 Maximum number of devices registered per Packet-core 2 .....	29
3.3 Energy Efficiency.....	31
3.3.1 Average Energy Efficiency.....	31
3.3.2 Peak Energy efficiency .....	36
3.3.3 UE Energy Efficiency .....	41
3.3.4 NB-IoT Device Energy Consumption .....	43
3.4 Latency .....	45
3.4.1 E2E Application Layer Latency .....	45
3.4.2 Physical Layer Latency .....	47
3.4.3 E2E GOOSE Message Latency .....	50
3.5 Round-Trip-Time.....	52
3.5.1 E2E Round-Trip-Time .....	52
3.5.2 E2E Round-Trip-Time with background traffic.....	54
3.5.3 E2E Round-Trip-Time and Radio Link Quality .....	56
3.5.4 CoAP over LTE and 5G Round-Trip-Time .....	58
3.6 Location Accuracy.....	59
3.7 Reliability .....	61
3.7.1 Network Layer Reliability .....	61
3.7.2 Throughput Reliability .....	63
3.8 Service Creation Time.....	66
3.8.1 Service Creation Time for deploying virtual instruments on a single compute host	66

3.8.2	Service Creation Time of 5G end-to-end connectivity service.....	68
3.8.3	WiFi Service Creation Time .....	70
3.9	Throughput.....	72
3.9.1	TCP Throughput.....	72
3.9.2	UDP Throughput .....	74
3.9.3	WiFi RAN Modelling.....	76
3.10	Ubiquity/Coverage.....	78
3.10.1	RAN Coverage .....	78
3.10.2	Backhaul Coverage .....	80
3.10.3	NB-IoT RAN Coverage .....	82
3.10.4	MCL-Based Coverage.....	84
3.11	Application Specific KPIs .....	87
3.11.1	MCPTT Access Time without call establishment.....	87
3.11.2	MCPTT E2E Access Time including call establishment.....	88
3.11.3	MCPTT Mouth-to-Ear Delay.....	90
3.11.4	Video Stream Jitter .....	92
3.11.5	Packet Delay (HTTP POST) .....	94
3.11.6	Packet Delay (MQTT) .....	95
3.11.7	Packet Delay (CoAP).....	96
3.11.8	Packet Delay (MQTT over LoRA) .....	97
3.11.9	Packet Delay and Packet Loss over WiFi .....	98
3.11.10	Packet Delay and Packet Loss over 5G.....	99
3.11.11	Packet Delay and Packet Loss of MQTT/CoAP over WIFI and 5G radios.....	100
3.11.12	360° Live Video Streaming QoE .....	101
3.11.13	360° Video Streaming QoE in Emulated Scenario.....	103
3.11.14	APEX Integration CPU usage .....	105
<b>4</b>	<b>TRAFFIC PROFILES.....</b>	<b>106</b>
4.1	ICMP ECHO REQUEST-ECHO RESPONSE Traffic .....	106
4.1.1	24-byte-payload ECHO_REQUESTS.....	106
4.1.2	56-byte-payload ECHO_REQUESTS.....	106
4.1.3	120-byte-payload ECHO_REQUESTS.....	106
4.1.4	504-byte-payload ECHO_REQUESTS.....	107
4.1.5	1400-byte-payload ECHO_REQUESTS.....	107
4.1.6	1492-byte-payload ECHO_REQUESTS.....	107
4.2	TCP/UDP Traffic .....	108

4.2.1	UDP Traffic.....	108
<b>5</b>	<b>OVERVIEW OF SPECIFICATIONS ON EE KPIs AND METRICS FOR MOBILE NETWORKS .....</b>	<b>109</b>
	Data Volume Measurement .....	111
	EC Measurement .....	112
	MN EC Measurement .....	113
	Data Volume Measurement .....	114
	Coverage Area Measurement.....	115
	Coverage quality .....	116
<b>6</b>	<b>EE ASSESSMENT REPORTING TEMPLATES .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>CLOUD RAN ENERGY EFFICIENCY .....</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>128</b>

# 1 INTRODUCTION

---

This Companion Document consolidates and presents the Test Cases introduced by the 5GENESIS Consortium Partners and served as basis for the experiments carried out during the three experimentation cycles.

It includes:

- A brief description of the overall statistical processing methodology adopted in 5GENESIS
- A definition of generic and application-specific test cases
- A survey of the relevant standardisation activities on KPI measurements

## 2 STATISTICAL PROCESSING METHODOLOGY

In the context of 5GENESIS, a single test case, focused on the evaluation of a KPI  $x$  in a predefined scenario (e.g., the evaluation of the throughput under specific network conditions), is repeated for a number of  $I$  iterations. Then, within the  $i^{th}$  iteration ( $i = 1, \dots, I$ ), a number of  $N$  samples of the KPI are collected. A single KPI sample collected during the  $i^{th}$  iteration in the following is referred to as  $x_{i,n}$  (with  $n = 1, \dots, N$ ), while the entire set of samples collected during the same iteration is denoted by the vector  $\mathbf{x}_i$ . The statistical indicators for each iteration, are then computed, as reported in Table 1.

**Table 1 Statistical indicators for a single iteration.**

Indicator	Notation	Formula
Average (Mean)	$\bar{x}_i$	$\frac{1}{N} \sum_n x_{i,n}$
Standard deviation	$\sigma_{x_i}$	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_n (x_{i,n} - \bar{x}_i)^2}$
Median	$x_i^{\text{med}}$	$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)^{\text{th}} & (N \text{ odd}) \\ \frac{\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{\text{th}} + \left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)^{\text{th}}}{2} & (N \text{ even}) \end{cases}$ <p>(Samples in ascending order, <math>(a)^{\text{th}}</math> indicates the sample at the <math>a^{\text{th}}</math> position in the ordered vector)</p>
$p\%$ -Percentile $0 < p \leq 100$	$x_i^{p\%}$	$\left(\left\lceil \frac{p}{100} \times N \right\rceil\right)^{\text{th}}$ <p>(Samples in ascending order, <math>(a)^{\text{th}}</math> indicates the sample at the <math>a^{\text{th}}</math> position in the ordered vector, <math>\lceil a \rceil</math> indicates the ceiling operator (the least integer <math>\geq a</math>))</p>
Minimum	$x_i^{\min}$	$\min(\mathbf{x}_i)$
Maximum	$x_i^{\max}$	$\max(\mathbf{x}_i)$

The statistical indicators computed for each iteration are then used to compute the statistical indicators of the test case, for which the  $I$  iterations were executed. This is done by averaging the indicators for each iteration over the amount of iterations. Denoting as  $x_i^{\text{stat}}$  the generic statistical indicator for the  $i^{th}$  iteration, the corresponding value for the test case,  $x^{\text{stat}}$ , is then obtained as follows:

$$x^{\text{stat}} = \frac{1}{I} \sum_i x_i^{\text{stat}}$$

Moreover, since each statistical indicator of the test case is computed as an average over a limited amount of  $I$  samples, a  $t\%$  Confidence Interval (CI) can be adopted to denote the

precision of the provided outcome. In particular, the 95% CI is widely used, and defines an interval containing the true value of the sampled indicator, i.e.,  $x^{\text{stat}}$ , with 95% probability. The CI is usually evaluated using a Student-T distribution (in particular when the number of samples is low) with a number of degrees of freedom, denoted as  $\nu$ , equal to the number of available samples minus one, resulting in  $\nu = I - 1$  in the present case [5]. The following indication, for each statistical indicator of the test case, can be then given as final outcome:

$$x^{\text{stat}} \pm t_{.95} \frac{\sigma_{x_i^{\text{stat}}}}{\sqrt{I}}$$

where:

- $t_{.95}$  is the so-called  $t$  value (or  $t$  score), which depends on the CI being evaluated (95 % in this case) and  $\nu$ , and can be derived from tabular approximations of the Student-T distribution;
- $\sigma_{x_i^{\text{stat}}}$  is the standard deviation of the vector  $x_i^{\text{stat}}$ , containing the outcomes  $x_i^{\text{stat}}$  of the statistical indicator under analysis for each iteration, which are used to derive the corresponding indicator  $x^{\text{stat}}$  of the test case;
- $\frac{\sigma_{x_i^{\text{stat}}}}{\sqrt{I}}$  is the so-called standard error.

5GENESIS employs the Analytics Framework for the calculations described above. The Framework collects the data of the primary and complementary metrics recorded during the experiments and provides the statistical indicators of each metric. A sample output of the Analytics Framework for a Round trip Time experiment is demonstrated in Table 2:

**Table 2 5GENESIS Analytics Framework Sample Output**

```
*****
This Test Case includes 25 iterations! Statistical Analysis is performed, and results will be
compliant to 5Genesis methodology.
*****

Test Case Statistics
Mean: 31.68 +/- 0.16 ms
Standard deviation: 5.97 +/- 0.10 ms
Median: 31.64 +/- 0.19 ms
Min: 20.97 +/- 0.68 ms
Max: 44.59 +/- 1.25 ms
25% Percentile: 26.64 +/- 0.18 ms
75% Percentile: 36.71 +/- 0.21 ms
5% Percentile: 22.55 +/- 0.17 ms
95% Percentile: 40.71 +/- 0.25 ms
*****
```

## 3 TEST CASES

### 3.1 Capacity

The general metric of capacity refers to operational network deployments. Instead, considering the 5GENESIS Platforms' deployments, the Capacity KPI can be measured as "the total access network capacity of a single gNB over its corresponding radio-coverage area". The capacity is measured for both the uplink and the downlink. The coverage area will be calculated based on the 5G UE' sensitivity (average values or based on the radio equipment available at each platform).

At this point, it shall be noted that access network node capacity is a function of the available bandwidth and the average spectral efficiency. This is, the number of correctly received bits over a certain period of time divided by the channel bandwidth of a specific band divided by the number of Transmission-Reception Points (TRxPs), and it is measured in bit/s/Hz/TRxP<sup>1</sup>.

Therefore, the evaluation of this KPI (with this metric) will include analytical methods or system-level simulations for the definition of the actual target per deployment<sup>2 3 4</sup> (and the comparison of the measured values from 5GENESIS Platforms against them), and the extrapolation of values measured from the 5GENESIS Platforms to other deployment configurations.

With similar restrictions and influencing factors, the Capacity KPI can be also measured by "the total access network capacity of a max. number of gNB that can be deployed over the corresponding total radio-coverage area".

#### 3.1.1 Area Traffic Capacity

Test Case		TC_CAP_AreaTrafficCapacity	Capacity
1	<i>Target KPI</i>		
	<b>Area traffic capacity</b> The KPI refers to the total traffic throughput served per geographic area (Mbps/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>1</sup> and is a measure of how much traffic a network can carry per unit area. It depends on the site density, bandwidth and average spectral efficiency.		

<sup>1</sup> Report ITU-R M.2410-0 (11/2017) Minimum requirements related to technical performance for IMT-2020 radio interface(s) [https://www.itu.int/dms\\_pub/itu-r/opb/rep/R-REP-M.2410-2017-PDF-E.pdf](https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-r/opb/rep/R-REP-M.2410-2017-PDF-E.pdf)

<sup>2</sup> Recommendations for NGMN KPIs and Requirements for 5G, NGMN Alliance, [https://www.ngmn.org/fileadmin/user\\_upload/160603\\_Annex\\_-\\_NGMN\\_Liaison\\_to\\_3GPP\\_RAN\\_72\\_v1\\_0.pdf](https://www.ngmn.org/fileadmin/user_upload/160603_Annex_-_NGMN_Liaison_to_3GPP_RAN_72_v1_0.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> 5G Monarch, D\_6\_1 Documentation of Requirements and KPIs and Definitions of suitable Evaluation Criteria <https://bit.ly/2YC4OhB>

<sup>4</sup> Report ITU-R M.2412-0 (10/2017) Guidelines for evaluation of radio interface technologies for IMT-2020 [https://www.itu.int/dms\\_pub/itu-r/opb/rep/R-REP-M.2412-2017-PDF-E.pdf](https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-r/opb/rep/R-REP-M.2412-2017-PDF-E.pdf)



2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>In the ITU-R R M.2410-0 Report the area traffic capacity is calculated taking into account the number of correctly received bits, i.e. the number of bits contained in the SDUs delivered to Layer 3, over a certain period of time. This can be derived for a particular use case (or deployment scenario) considering one frequency band and one Transmission Reception Point (TRxP) layer, based on the (i) achievable average spectral efficiency; (ii) network deployment, e.g., TRxP (site) density, and (iii) bandwidth.</p> <p>The TRxP is an antenna array with one or more antenna elements available to the network located at a specific geographical location for a specific area<sup>5</sup>.</p> <p>Let <math>W</math> denote the channel bandwidth and <math>\rho</math> the TRxP density (TRxP/m<sup>2</sup>). The Area Traffic capacity <math>C_{area}</math> is related to the average spectral efficiency <math>SE_{avg}</math> through the following equation:</p> $C_{area} = \rho \left( \frac{TRxP}{m^2} \right) \times W(Hz) \times SE_{avg}(Bps/Hz/TRxP)$ <p>TRxP density <math>\rho</math> is the Number of TRxPs divided by the Area (m<sup>2</sup>) over which the experimenter calculates the traffic capacity.</p> <p>The average spectral efficiency is the aggregate throughput of all users (the number of correctly received bits, i.e. the number of bits contained in the SDU delivered to Layer 3, over a certain period of time) divided by the channel bandwidth of a specific band divided by the number of TRxPs. It is measured in bits/s/Hz/TRxP.</p> <p>The channel bandwidth for this purpose is defined as the effective bandwidth normalized appropriately considering the uplink/downlink ratio. The effective bandwidth is defined as:</p> $BW_{eff} = BW \times TR$ <p>where <math>BW</math> is the occupied channel bandwidth and <math>TR</math> is the time ratio of the link.</p> <p>In FDD systems, <math>TR</math> equals 1, while in TDD systems it depends on the downlink/uplink configuration.</p> <p>Let <math>R_i(T)</math> denote the number of correctly received bits by user <math>i</math> (downlink) or from user <math>i</math> (uplink) in a system comprising a user population of <math>N</math> users and <math>M</math> TRxPs. Furthermore, let <math>W</math> denote the channel bandwidth and <math>T</math> the time over which the data bits are received. The average spectral efficiency is defined according to the following equation:</p> $SE_{avg} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N R_i(T)}{T \cdot BW_{eff} \cdot M}$ <p>Based on the definitions above, the calculation of the area traffic capacity shall comprise the following steps:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Measure the aggregate throughput of all users on the PDCP Layer of the eNB. If this is not possible, then measure the aggregate throughput on the S1-U interface of the EPC.</li> <li>2) Use the aggregate throughput in order to calculate the Average Spectral Efficiency.</li> <li>3) Use the Average Spectral Efficiency to calculate the Area Traffic Capacity.</li> </ol> <p>Two cases are perceived for the creation of traffic in order to experimentally evaluate the area traffic capacity: (i) full buffer where traffic is generated and injected in the system using UDP protocol (ii) non-full buffer when TCP protocol is used for the generation of traffic.</p> <p>The simplified approach to be followed involves only downlink capacity. A single TRxP is defined</p>
---	---

<sup>5</sup> 3GPP TR 38.913 version 14.2.0 Release 14 5G; Study on Scenarios and Requirements for Next Generation Access Technologies

	<p>in open space conditions. For the evaluation, a full 5G deployment is used, comprising a 5G UE, a 5G NR gNB and a 5G Core. A traffic generator capable of producing UDP or TCP traffic is used behind the N6 interface of the 5G system and a traffic sink that collects traffic is used at the UE side.</p>														
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The calculation process includes the following steps:</p> <p>Let <math>\bar{R}(T)</math> be the average aggregate throughput measured over a time interval T, and <math>R_n(T)</math> be the average aggregate throughput measured at iteration n over a time interval T.</p> <p>The reported Average Aggregate Throughput on the PDCP Layer on the eNB/gNB shall be calculated as follows:</p> $\text{Average Aggregate Throughput } \bar{R}(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N R_n(T)$ <p>This value is used to calculate the Average Spectral Efficiency, leading to the Area Traffic Capacity.</p> <p>The first-order statistics shall follow the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>The necessary calculations are shown in the following table:</p> <table> <tr> <th>Parameters</th><th>Formula</th></tr> <tr> <td>Effective Bandwidth (Hz)</td><td><math>BW_{eff} = BW \times TR</math></td></tr> <tr> <td>Average Aggregate Throughput (Mbps)</td><td><math>\bar{R}(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N R_n(T)</math></td></tr> <tr> <td>Average Spectral Efficiency(bit/s/Hz/TRxP)</td><td><math>SE_{avg} = \frac{\bar{R}(T)}{BW_{eff} \times \text{Number of Area TRxPs}}</math></td></tr> <tr> <td>Area (m<sup>2</sup>)</td><td>&lt;Depends on the Area geometry&gt;</td></tr> <tr> <td>Site density (TRxP/m<sup>2</sup>)</td><td><math>\rho = \frac{\text{Number of Area TRxPs}}{\text{Area}}</math></td></tr> <tr> <td>Estimated average area traffic capacity (Mbps/m<sup>2</sup>)</td><td><math>C_{avg} = \rho \times W \times SE_{avg}</math></td></tr> </table>	Parameters	Formula	Effective Bandwidth (Hz)	$BW_{eff} = BW \times TR$	Average Aggregate Throughput (Mbps)	$\bar{R}(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N R_n(T)$	Average Spectral Efficiency(bit/s/Hz/TRxP)	$SE_{avg} = \frac{\bar{R}(T)}{BW_{eff} \times \text{Number of Area TRxPs}}$	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	<Depends on the Area geometry>	Site density (TRxP/m <sup>2</sup> )	$\rho = \frac{\text{Number of Area TRxPs}}{\text{Area}}$	Estimated average area traffic capacity (Mbps/m <sup>2</sup> )	$C_{avg} = \rho \times W \times SE_{avg}$
Parameters	Formula														
Effective Bandwidth (Hz)	$BW_{eff} = BW \times TR$														
Average Aggregate Throughput (Mbps)	$\bar{R}(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N R_n(T)$														
Average Spectral Efficiency(bit/s/Hz/TRxP)	$SE_{avg} = \frac{\bar{R}(T)}{BW_{eff} \times \text{Number of Area TRxPs}}$														
Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	<Depends on the Area geometry>														
Site density (TRxP/m <sup>2</sup> )	$\rho = \frac{\text{Number of Area TRxPs}}{\text{Area}}$														
Estimated average area traffic capacity (Mbps/m <sup>2</sup> )	$C_{avg} = \rho \times W \times SE_{avg}$														
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p><i>The experimenter should record the following complementary metrics during the measurement:</i></p> <p>At the UE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RSRP</li> <li>• RSRQ</li> <li>• SINR</li> </ul>														

	<p><i>At the eNB/gNB:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Uplink Signal Strength</i></li> <li>• <i>CQI reported from UE</i></li> </ul>
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>Prior to the beginning of the tests case:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The experimenter will define the area of interest and the UE locations for performing the measurements.</li> <li>• The UEs will be placed in various locations within the cell, including locations at the edge of the area (lowest possible SNR) to peak conditions (best possible SNR).</li> </ul>
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>N/A</p>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start recording the complementary metrics.</li> <li>2. Initiate the traffic generator in the Downlink, using UDP traffic.</li> <li>3. Set the duration of the measurement to 120s for 25 iterations.</li> <li>4. Upon completing each measurement iteration, record the average throughput reported on the PDCP layer on the eNB.</li> <li>5. Stop recording the complementary metrics.</li> <li>6. Repeat steps 1-5 for TCP traffic.</li> <li>7. Compute the area traffic capacity for each traffic case (UDP/TCP), as defined in the section "Calculation Process and Output".</li> </ol>

## 3.2 Density of Users

The fact that “density” is in general understood as “something that is measured” in relation to the area the measurement relates to – i.e. here, for density of users, giving results in the SI unit  $1/m^2$  – imposes a special challenge for a test case: While the number of users served by a 5G-core over one or multiple base stations (BS) can be easily obtained as an operational parameter out of the packet core, quantizing the area the measurement relates to is not easily assessable. The coverage area of a single BS or small cell could be quantized, e.g.:

- by measuring the signal reception power at a several locations and then mapping them to an assumed availability of a service with specified QoS Class Identifier (QCI), thus obtaining the coverage area,
- via the theoretical coverage via propagation models or “best known results” from deployment experience,
- by quantizing the area that the users under concern within a measurement campaign reside in, acknowledging the fact that the actual coverage of the related radio cell may be larger.

To address this complexity within the measurement campaigns, 5GENESIS decided to define a set of test cases, each addressing either the number of devices served by a packet core, by a single or multiple gNBs, the number of gNBs deployable in a given region, or gauging the lower bound of geographical coverage for a given deployment. The resulting measurements hence allow to directly assess the density of users – in case the coverage area under concern is measured during an experiment - or to derive the density of users - in case the coverage area under concern is estimated. Table 3 provides examples for KPIs, which can be directly assessed in a test case and primarily measured.

**Table 3 Density of users related KPIs**

Metric	Density of Users
Number of devices that can be registered/simultaneously served (with traffic of specific QCI) per 5G-core element	#units
Max. number of devices that can be registered/simultaneously served (with traffic of specific QCI) in a 5G-Core solution (even if this requires dimensioning of one or more components of it)	#units
Max. number of devices that can be registered/simultaneously served (with traffic of specific QCI) by a single gNB	#units
Max. number of devices that can be registered/simultaneously served (with traffic of specific QCI) by the total number of gNBs that can be connected to a 5G-Core solution	#units
Max. number of gNB that can be deployed over the corresponding total radio-coverage area.	#units/m <sup>2</sup>
Max. number of devices that can be registered/simultaneously served in a 5G-Core providing network service (via gNBs or n3GPP XS technologies) for a well-known geographical region.	#units/m <sup>2</sup>

### 3.2.1 Maximum number of devices registered per Packet-core

Test Case	TC_DEN_MaxRegisteredUE_BER_001	Density of Users
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Maximum number of devices registered per Packet Core</b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the maximum number of devices (UEs), which a packet core can support. It hence provides information on the upper bound of the density of users per unit area as regardless of how the coverage area is optimized within a given system, the core cannot support more users than obtained via this measurement.</p> <p>This test case isolates against the potential impact of the behaviour of the UEs or BSs on the results; the SUT is the pure packet core and the measurement tools used to communicate with the core replicate the interface between the packet core and a BS.</p> <p>Source A → Test tool / instrument emulating UE, gNB behaviour towards the packet core (N:1/N:2 interface)</p> <p>Destination B → AMF of packet core</p> <p>Underlying system → 5G packet core</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>To measure the maximum number of devices that can register per packet core, a set of consecutive experiments are run. In each experiment the number of UEs registering at the packet core is constantly increased, up to the point at which the registration process fails or takes longer than a predefined, set upper time limit. Then, the maximum number of devices that can register is given by the maximum of the number of UEs in the set of experiments for which the registration is successful and completed before the predefined, set upper time limit.</p> <p>Hence, a single iteration consists of several consecutive steps. They are characterized by an increasing number of UE registering to the packet core. Several replica of an iteration shall be conducted to gain confidence values for reported results.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → given by termination criteria, i.e.: terminate iteration when (a) at least one registration attempt within a single step of the iteration fails or (b) when the time required to conduct all scheduled registrations within a step exceed a given threshold.</li> <li>• Number of UEs to be simulated → <math>U</math></li> <li>• Operations per second (target attachment rate) → <math>R</math></li> <li>• Timeout value for a step within an iteration → <math>T_{\text{timeout}} = 1.5 * \max(100 \text{ ms} * U, U/R)</math></li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → <math>N \geq 25</math></li> </ul>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p>Let <math>\max_i</math> be the maximum number of devices that can be registered as observed in the <math>i^{\text{th}}</math> iteration; and let <math>x_{i,n}</math> be the number of UEs in the <math>i^{\text{th}}</math> step within a trial as such that all</p>	

	<p>registration succeed and as such that all registration complete the timeout for that trial; and let <math>x_{i+1,n}</math> be the number of UEs in the <math>(i+1)^{th}</math> step within a trial as such that at least one registration fails or as such that the registrations take more than the timeout to complete, then <math>max_i</math> is given by:</p> $max_i = x_{i,n}$ <p>The maximum number of devices that can be registered shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Average latency of UE registration</p>
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>A deployed and working 5G packet core (SUT) to which the testing tool (emulating UE and gNB behaviour) may connect to.</p> <p>No registered UEs in the system.</p>
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>This test case applies for all scenarios that evaluate the performance of a packet core.</p>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Assure the precondition is met, i.e. there are no registered UE in the system</li> <li>2. Set the test tool to emulate <b>U</b> number of UE, which attempt to register at the packet core, to 50 (Initial value).</li> <li>3. set the test tool to run consecutive registration requests of the UE towards the packet core at a rate of <b>R</b>=100 Hz.</li> <li>4. Report the time to complete step (2).</li> <li>5. if (a) all registrations succeeded and (b) if the recoded time is less than the set timeout value. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Deregister all UE at the packet core</li> <li>ii. Increase <b>U</b> by 50</li> <li>iii. Repeat this test sequence from step (3) onwards</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. Deregister all UE and terminate the iteration.</li> <li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 6 N times</li> <li>8. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> </ol>

### 3.2.2 Maximum number of active UE per Packet-core

Test Case	TC_DEN_MaxActiveUE_BER	Density of Users
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Maximum number of active devices per Packet Core</b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the maximum number of active devices (UE), which a packet core can support. It hence provides information on the upper bound of the density of users per unit area under the constraint of considering only active users, as regardless of how the coverage area is optimized within a given system, the core cannot support more simultaneously active users than obtained via this measurement.</p> <p>This test case is designed to only focus on the maximum number of active users a packet core can support, and not as a stress test in terms of experiencing a high signalling rate of UE going from idle into active mode.</p> <p>This test case isolates against the potential impact of the behaviour of UE or BS on the results; the system under test is the pure packet core and the measurement tools used to communicate with the core replicate the interface between the packet core and a BS.</p> <p>Source A → Test tool / instrument emulating UE, gNB behaviour towards the packet core (N:1/N:2 interface)</p> <p>Destination B → AMF of packet core</p> <p>Underlying system → 5G packet core</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>To measure the maximum number of active devices per packet core, a set of consecutive experiments are run, as such that in each experiment the number of UE going from idle into active mode is constantly increased. The number of UE switching into active mode is increased up to the point at which the signalling fails or takes longer than a predefined, set upper time limit. Then, the maximum number of active devices that a packet core can support is given by the maximum number of UE in the set of experiments for which the transition from idle into active mode is successful and completes before the predefined, set upper time limit.</p> <p>Hence, a single iteration of the experiment consists of several consecutive steps being characterized by an increasing number of UE requesting the packet core to switch from idle into active mode. Several replicas of an iteration shall be conducted to gain confidence values for reported results.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → given by termination criteria, i.e.: terminate iteration when (a) at least one UE is denied to switch into active mode, or the signalling fails within a single step of the iteration or (b) when the time required to conduct all scheduled registrations within a step exceed a given threshold.</li> <li>• Number of UEs to simulate → U</li> <li>• Operations per second (target attachment rate) → R</li> <li>• Timeout value for a step within an iteration → <math>T_{\text{timeout}} = 1.5 * \max(100 \text{ ms} * U, U/R)</math></li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → N ≥ 25</li> </ul>	

3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required output should be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p>Let <math>\mathbf{max}_i</math> be the maximum number of devices that can be simultaneously active as observed in the <math>i^{\text{th}}</math> iteration; and let <math>\mathbf{x}_{i,n}</math> be the number of UE in the <math>i^{\text{th}}</math> step within a trial such that all UE successfully transfer into active mode and all UE activations complete within the timeout for that trial; and let <math>\mathbf{x}_{i+1,n}</math> be the number of UE in the <math>i+1^{\text{th}}</math> step within a trial as such that at least one request of a UE to switch into active mode fails or as such that the registrations take more than the timeout to complete, then <math>\mathbf{max}_i</math> is given by:</p> $\mathbf{max}_i = \mathbf{x}_{i,n}$ <p>Then, the overall (reported) maximum number of active devices that a packet core can support <math>\mathbf{max}</math> shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Average duration of UE activation time</li> </ul>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>A deployed and working 5G packet core (SUT) to which the testing tool (emulating UE and gNB behavior) may connect to.</p> <p>A number of UE are registered at packet core, but are idle. This number shall be set to the maximum number of registered UE by a packet core as identified by test case <i>TC_DEN_MaxRegisteredUE_BER_001</i>.</p> <p>Zero active UE in the packet core.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>This test case applies for all scenarios that evaluate the performance of a packet core.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Assure the precondition is met, i.e. there is no active UE in the system and there are a number of registered UE in the system, where the number is the maximum number of registered UE</li> <li>2. Set the test tool to emulate <math>\mathbf{U}</math> number of UE, which attempt to transition into active mode, to 50 (Initial value)</li> <li>3. set the test tool to run consecutive activation requests of the UE towards the packet core at a rate of <math>\mathbf{R} = 100\text{Hz}</math></li> <li>4. Report the time to complete step (2)</li> <li>5. if (a) all activations succeeded and (b) if the recoded time is less than the set timeout value. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase by 50 the number of UE in the test tool, which attempt to become active, and</li> <li>• Deactivate all UEs at the packet core and assure that the preconditions are met</li> <li>• Repeat this test sequence from step (3) onwards</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. Deregister all UE and terminate the iteration</li> <li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 6 for <math>\mathbf{N}</math> iterations</li> <li>8. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”</li> </ol>



### 3.2.3 Number of Operation Requests processed per Second

Test Case	TC_DEN_MaxNumOperationReqProcessed_BER	Density of Users
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Maximum number of operations per second per Packet Core</b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the maximum number of operation requests processed per second (Op/s), which a packet core can support. It hence provides information on the upper bound of the density of users per unit area as regardless of how the coverage area is optimized within a given system, the core cannot support more users than obtained via this measurement.</p> <p>This test case isolates against the potential impact of the behaviour of the UEs or BSs on the results; the SUT is the pure packet core and the measurement tools used to communicate with the core replicate the interface between the packet core and a BS.</p> <p>Source A → Test tool / instrument emulating UE, gNB behaviour towards the packet core (N:1/N:2 interface)</p> <p>Destination B → AMF of packet core</p> <p>Underlying system → 5G packet core</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>To measure the maximum number of operations per second that a packet core can process, a set of consecutive experiments are run. In each experiment the number of operations sent to the packet core is increased, up to the point at which the registration process fails or takes longer than a predefined, set upper time limit. Then, the maximum number of operations/s is given by the maximum of operations/s in the set of experiments for which the registration is successful and completed before the predefined, set upper time limit.</p> <p>Hence, a single iteration consists of several consecutive steps. They are characterized by an increasing number of operations requested to the packet core per second. Several replica of an iteration shall be conducted to gain confidence values for reported results.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → given by termination criteria, i.e.: terminate iteration when (a) at least one registration attempt within a single step of the iteration fails or (b) when the time required to conduct all scheduled registrations within a step exceed a given threshold.</li> <li>• The number of operation requests per second → R</li> <li>• UE attachment/detachment requests → U</li> <li>• Timeout value for a step within an iteration <math>T_{\text{timeout}}</math></li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → N <math>\geq 25</math> iterations</li> </ul>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results should be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p>Let <math>\max_i</math> be the maximum number of devices that can be registered as observed in the <math>i^{\text{th}}</math> iteration; and let <math>\text{ops\_}(i,n)</math> be the number of operations per second in the <math>i^{\text{th}}</math> step within a trial, as such that all registrations succeed and as such that all registrations complete before the timeout for that trial; and let <math>\text{ops\_}(i+1,n)</math> be the number of operations per second in the <math>i+1^{\text{th}}</math></p>	

	<p>step within a trial, as such that at least one registration fails or as such that the registrations take more than the designated timeout to complete, then <math>\max_i</math> is given by:</p> $\max_i = ops_{i,n}$ <p>The maximum number of operation requests that can be processed by a core network <math>\max</math> shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Average duration of UE registration and deregistration time</p>
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>A deployed and working 5G packet core (SUT) to which the testing tool (emulating UE and gNB behaviour) may connect to.</p> <p>No registered UEs in the system.</p>
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>This test case applies for all scenarios that evaluate the performance of a packet core.</p>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Assure the precondition is met, i.e. there are no registered UE in the system</li> <li>2. Set the test tool to emulate <math>R</math> number of operations per second, which attempt to register at the packet core, to 1/s (Initial value).</li> <li>3. Set the test tool to run consecutive registration requests of the UE towards the packet core with a constant number of emulated UE registrations.</li> <li>4. Report the time to complete step (2).</li> <li>5. If (a) all registrations succeeded and (b) if the recorded time is less than the set timeout value. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Deregister all UE at the packet core and assure that no UE is registered in the core.</li> <li>ii. Increase the number of operations per second <math>R</math> in the test tool.</li> <li>iii. Repeat this test sequence from step (3) onwards</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. Deregister all UE and terminate the iteration.</li> <li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 6 for <math>N</math> iterations.</li> <li>8. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> </ol>

### 3.2.4 Average Operation Processing Delay

Test Case	TC_DEN_OperProcessingDelay_BER	Density of Users
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Average operation processing latency of a packet core</b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the average latency of the user equipment operation request processing at a Core Network. It hence provides information on the upper bound of the density of users per unit area as regardless of how the coverage area is optimized within a given system.</p> <p>This test case isolates against the potential impact of the behaviour of the UEs or BSs on the results; the SUT is the pure packet core and the measurement tools used to communicate with the core replicate the interface between the packet core and a BS.</p> <p>Source A → Test tool / instrument emulating UE, gNB behaviour towards the packet core (N:1/N:2 interface)</p> <p>Destination B → AMF of packet core</p> <p>Underlying system → 5G packet core</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>To measure the average latency of user operation processing per packet core, a set of consecutive experiments are run. The average processing latency depends on several parameters: the number of UEs registering at the packet core, the number of operations requested per second and the computational resources available to the core network functions.</p> <p>Several replicas of an iteration shall be conducted to gain confidence values for reported results.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of UEs to be simulated → <b>U</b></li> <li>• Operations per second (target attachment rate) → <b>R</b></li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → <b>N</b> &gt;= 25</li> </ul>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The average number of operations shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>	
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Average latency of UE registration and deregistration processing.</p>	
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>A deployed and working 5G packet core (SUT) to which the testing tool (emulating UE and gNB behaviour) may connect to.</p> <p>No registered UEs in the system.</p>	

6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>This test case applies for all scenarios that evaluate the performance of a packet core.</p>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Assure the precondition is met, i.e. there are no registered UE in the system</li><li>2. Set the test tool to emulate U number of UE, which attempt to register at the packet core.</li><li>3. Set the test tool to run consecutive registration requests of the UE towards the packet core at a rate of R.</li><li>4. Report the time to complete step (2).</li><li>5. Deregister all UE and terminate the iteration.</li><li>6. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for N iterations.</li><li>7. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”.</li></ol>

### 3.2.5 Maximum number of devices registered per Packet-core 2

Test Case	TC_DEN_MaxRegisteredUE_BER_002	Density of Users
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Maximum number of devices registered per Packet Core 2</b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the maximum number of devices (UEs), which a packet core can support. It hence provides information on the upper bound of the density of users per area unit. Regardless of how the coverage area is optimized within a given system, the core cannot support more users than obtained via this measurement.</p> <p>This test case isolates against the potential impact of the behaviour of the UEs or BSs on the results; the SUT is the pure packet core and the measurement tools used to communicate with the core replicate the interface between the packet core and a BS.</p> <p>Source A → Test tool / instrument emulating UE, gNB behaviour towards the packet core (N:1/N:2 interface)</p> <p>Destination B → AMF of packet core</p> <p>Underlying system → 5G packet core</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>To measure the maximum number of devices that can register per packet core, a set of consecutive experiments are run. In each experiment the test tool attempts to register virtual UEs at the packet core, up to an arbitrary upper limit (best estimate). Then, the maximum number of devices that can register is given by the maximum of the number of successful registrations.</p> <p>Hence, a single iteration consists of several consecutive steps. Several replica of an iteration shall be conducted to gain confidence values for reported results.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <p>Number of UE simulated to register at the core network → <b>U</b></p> <p>Operations per second (target attachment rate) → <b>R = 100 Hz</b></p> <p>Timeout value for a step within an iteration → <math>1.5 * \max(100 \text{ ms} * U, U/R)</math></p> <p>Number of replica (iterations) → <b>N</b> ≥ 25</p>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The maximum number of devices that can be registered successfully shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Experimentation Methodology.</p>	
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Average duration of UE registration time</p>	

5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>A deployed and working 5G packet core (SUT) to which the testing tool (emulating UE and gNB behaviour) may connect to.</p> <p>No registered UEs in the system.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>This test case applies for all scenarios that evaluate the performance of a packet core.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Assure the precondition is met, i.e. there are no registered UE in the system</li> <li>2. Set the test tool to emulate the number of UE to register at the packet core <b>U</b>, to the upper limit.</li> <li>3. Set the test tool to run consecutive registration requests of the UE towards the packet core at a rate of <b>R</b>=100 Hz.</li> <li>4. if (a) all registrations succeeded, increase <b>U</b> by an amount large enough to potentially exceed the maximum amount in the next iteration.</li> <li>5. Deregister all UE and terminate the iteration.</li> <li>6. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for <b>N</b> iterations.</li> <li>7. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> </ol>

## 3.3 Energy Efficiency

### 3.3.1 Average Energy Efficiency

Test Case	TC_ENE_RANEnergyEfficiencyAVG	Energy Efficiency
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Average RAN Energy Efficiency</b></p> <p>This KPI aims to measure the RAN EE and represents the efficiency with which each Joule of energy is used to transmit information. This KPI expression represents the data volume of the BSs under consideration, divided by the total EE of the BS sites (including the support infrastructure).</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For the evaluation, a full 5G deployment composed of a 5G UE (or UE emulator), a 5G NR gNB and 5G core is used. A traffic generator capable of producing UDP or TCP traffic is used behind the N6 interface of 5G system and a traffic sink that collects traffic is used at the UE side.</p> <p>The generated traffic is using full length IP packets (i.e. 1500bytes) and the baseline measurement duration is 24 hours (experiment repeated for 7-days). The data volume will be collected via NMS counters and energy consumption through measurements (using watt meters or from utility provider).</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single replica (iteration) → at least 15 minutes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Note, that there will be a max. of <math>24 \times 60 / 15 = 96</math> iterations over a 24-hour period, therefore the min. # iterations over a single 24-hour period is set to <math>96/8 = 12</math>.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → At least <math>12 \times 7 = 84</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Note that “experimentation” period (for final results reporting) covers a period of 7-days.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p><b>EC Measurement</b></p> <p>The MN EC (<math>EC_{MN}</math>) is the sum of the EC of equipment included in the MN under investigation. The network ECZ is measured according to the assessment process defined in section 6 of [8] such that individual metrics are provided per RAT and per MNO. <b>The overall EC of the partial MN under test</b> is measured as follows:</p> $EC_{MN} = \sum_i (\sum_k EC_{BS_{i,k}} + EC_{SI_i}) + \sum_j EC_{BH_j} + \sum_l EC_{RC_l}$ <p>where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>EC_{MN}</math> is EC, in the MN under test, and is measured in Watts/hr.<sup>6</sup> (Wh = Joule), over the period of measurement T.</li> </ul>	

<sup>6</sup> 1 Wh = 3.6 KJ

- BS refers to the BSs in the MN under measurement.
- BH is the backhauling providing connection to the BSs in the MN under measurement.
- SI is the site infrastructure (Rectifier, battery losses, climate equipment, TMA, tower illumination, etc.).
- RC is the control node(s), including all infrastructure of the RC site.
- $i$  is an index spanning over the number of sites.
- $j$  an index spanning over the number of BH equipment connected to the  $i$  sites.
- $k$  is the index spanning over the number of BSs in the  $i$ -th site.
- $l$  is the index spanning over the control nodes of the MN.

The EC of the various segments e.g. BS, BH, CR etc. can be measured by means of metering information provided by utility suppliers or by mobile network integrated measurement systems.  $EC_{MN}$  is measured in unit of Wh (Watt Hours). Power consumption and EE measurements of individual MN elements are described in several standards e.g., ITU-T L.1310 [14] for radio base stations and ITU-T L.1320 [32] for power and cooling equipment. When a mobile network integrated measurement system according to ETSI ES 202 336-12 [22] is available, it should be used in addition to the utility provided EC allowing a more precise estimation of the consumption per RAT and per MNO.

#### DV Measurement

The  $DV_{MN}$  shall be measured using network counters for data volume related to the aggregated traffic in the set of BSs considered in the MN under test.

For PS services,  $DV_{MN}$  is defined as the DV delivered by the equipment of the partial MN under investigation during the time frame  $T$  of the EC assessment. The assessment process defined in section 6 shall be used:

$$DV_{MN-PS} = \sum_{i,k} DV_{BS_{i,k}-PS}$$

where DV, measured in bit, is the performance delivered in terms of data volume in the network over the measurement period  $T$ .  $i$  and  $k$  are defined in formula (1).

For CS services<sup>7</sup> like voice,  $DV_{MN-CS}$  is defined as the DV delivered by the equipment of the MN under investigation during the time frame  $T$  of the EC assessment:

$$DV_{MN-CS} = \sum_{i,k} DV_{BS_{i,k}-CS}$$

where DV, measured in bit, is the performance delivered in terms of data volume in the network over the measurement period  $T$ .  $i$  and  $k$  are like in formula (1).

**The overall data volume is computed as follows:**

$$DV_{MN} = DV_{MN-PS} + DV_{MN-CS}$$

$DV_{MN}$  can be derived based on standard counters defined in ETSI TS 132 425 [10] for LTE (or 3GPP equivalent: TS 32.425), multiplying by the measurement duration  $T$ .  $DV_{MN}$  is computed in unit of bit.

For PS traffic, the DV is considered as the overall amount of data transferred to and from the users present in the MN under test. DV shall be measured in an aggregated way per each RAT present in the MN and shall be measured referring to counters derived from vendor O&M

<sup>7</sup> Note that "circuit switched", refers to all voice, interactive services and video services managed by the MNOs, including CS voice and real-time video services delivered through dedicated bearers.



	<p>systems.</p> <p>For CS traffic, the DV is considered as the number of minutes of communications during the time T multiplied by the data rate of the corresponding service and the call success rate<sup>8</sup>. The call success rate is equal to 1 minus the sum of blocking and dropping rates, i.e.:</p> $\text{Call Success Rate} = (1 - \text{dropping rate}) \times 100 [\%]$ <p>The dropping includes the intra-cell call failure (rate of dropping calls due to all the causes not related to handover) and the handover failure:</p> $1 - \text{dropping rate} = (1 - \text{intracell failure rate})(1 - \text{handover failure rate})$ <p>In order to include reliability in the measurement the aggregated DV shall be provided together with the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the cumulative distribution, for each RAT in the MN.</p> <p>For data reporting, templates available in ANNEX A in ETSI ES 203 228 [9] (or equivalents i.e. ANNEX I of Rec. ITU-T L.1331 [15] or in 3GPP TR 32.856 [21]) are used.</p> <p><b>OUTPUTS:</b></p> <p>i) The <math>KPI_{EE-capacity}</math> (<math>EE_{MN,DV}</math>), expressed unit of “bits/Joule” [equivalent to bits/Watt hour (Wh)], is calculated as the ratio between the DV (<math>DV_{MN}</math>) and the EC (<math>EC_{MN}</math>), in the MN, during the 7-day measurement period:</p> $EE_{MN,DV} = DV_{MN} / EC_{MN} \quad (7)$ <p>ii) The <math>KPI_{EE-site}</math> (denoted as SEE) expressed in unit of “Wh” [Watt hour], is calculated as the ratio of the EC of the telecom equipment to the total EC:</p> $SEE = EC_{BSS} / (EC_{BSS} + EC_{SI}) \quad (8)$ <p>Where, <math>EC_{BSS}</math> represents EC of BSs under test site, and <math>EC_{SI}</math> represents EC of supporting infrastructure, during the 7-day measurement period.</p> <p>iii) The <b>mean</b> (observed over the measurement period) values of <math>EE_{MN,DV}</math> and SEE, will be reported.</p> <p>The required results should be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p>Let <math>EE_{avg\_i}</math> be the calculated average EE for the <math>i^{th}</math> iteration, and <math>x_{(i,n)}</math> be the measured EE for each iteration:</p> $EE_{avg\_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_n x_{i,n}$ <p>Then, the overall (reported) average <math>EE_{mean}</math> shall be calculated as the average of all <math>x_{\_i}</math></p> $EE_{mean} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_i EE_{avg\_i}$ <p>For the overall average EE (<math>EE_{mean}</math>), the 95 % confidence interval shall be reported using the Student-T-distribution for <math>v = i - 1</math> degrees of freedom to denote the precision of the experiment.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p><i>The following complementary metrics will be available and logged during the measurement</i></p>

<sup>8</sup> Note that for CS traffic in LTE RAT, there are no measurements defined in TS 32.425. for calculation of CS traffic refer to Table 4.4.3.2-2, in [21].

	<p><i>period:</i></p> <p><i>At the UE:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>RSRP</i></li> <li>• <i>RSRQ</i></li> <li>• <i>SINR</i></li> </ul> <p><i>At the eNB/gNB:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Uplink Signal Strength</i></li> <li>• <i>CQI reported from UE</i></li> </ul>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The experimenter will define the following parameters of each setup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technology/Band</li> <li>• Transmission Mode (TDD/FDD)</li> <li>• Receive/Transmit Frequencies</li> <li>• Number of Antennas</li> <li>• Channel Bandwidth</li> <li>• UE Category</li> <li>• Service/slice configuration (eMBB, URLLC etc.)</li> </ul> <p>Prior to the beginning of the tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The experimenter will define the (designated) area of interest, size of “partial network under test” [unit: # sites], number of UE, and the UE locations (random deployment)</li> <li>• The UE will be placed in various locations within the cell, including locations at the edge of the area (lowest SNR).</li> <li>• There will be only one or more UEs (or UE emulator) successfully attached to the network.</li> </ul>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The UE and eNB/gNB should provide monitoring of the Complementary metrics.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start recording the complementary metrics.</li> <li>2. Initiate the traffic generator in the Downlink and Uplink, using UDP traffic.</li> <li>3. Set the period of the measurement to 24 hrs.</li> <li>4. Stop recording the complementary metrics at end of measurement period.</li> <li>5. Upon completing the measurement, record the <math>DV_{MN}</math> based on NMS counters &amp; eq. (1).</li> <li>6. Upon completing the measurement, record the <math>EC_{MN}</math> &amp; apply eq. (4).</li> <li>7. Repeat Steps 1-6 for period of 7 days.</li> <li>8. Compute the weekly EE KPI based on eq. (7) and (8). Extend to yearly, using extrapolation method.</li> <li>9. Report the MN EE assessment results for 4G and 5G deployments separately, using the KPIs definition provided in section "Calculation process and output" as well as the templates provided in Sections 4,5 and 6 of this document.</li> </ol>



### 3.3.2 Peak Energy efficiency

Test Case	TC_ENE_RANEnergyEfficiencyMAX	Energy Efficiency
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>RAN Energy Efficiency</b></p> <p>This KPI aims to measure the RAN EE and represents the efficiency with which each Joule of energy is used to transmit information. This KPI expression represents the data volume of the BSs under consideration, divided by the total EC of the BS sites (including the support infrastructure).</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For the evaluation, a full 5G deployment composed of a 5G UE (or UE emulator), a 5G NR gNB and 5G core is used. A traffic generator capable of producing UDP or TCP traffic is used behind the N6 interface of 5G system and a traffic sink that collects traffic is used at the UE side.</p> <p>The generated traffic uses full length IP packets (i.e. 1500 bytes) and the baseline measurement duration is 24 hours (experiment repeated for 7 days). The data volume is collected via NMS counters and EC through measurements (using watt meters or from utility provider).</p> <p>The EE can be measure based on the following KPIs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>KPI_{EE-capacity}</math> (used to measure EE, on the basis of EC in relation to capacity),</li> <li>• <math>KPI_{EE-site}</math> (used to measure the EE of the support infrastructures of the site as compared to the consumption of the BS(s) of the site).</li> </ul> <p>The KPIs are applicable to all stages of network utilization. However, it has to be recognized that as the BS utilization increases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>KPI_{EE-capacity}</math> will increase, since the BS equipment operates more efficiently at higher load levels</li> <li>• <math>KPI_{EE-site}</math> will increase.</li> </ul> <p>The <math>KPI_{EE-capacity}</math> (<math>EE_{MN,DV}</math>), expressed in bit/J, is defined as the ratio between the Data Volume (<math>DV_{MN}</math>) and the EC (<math>EC_{MN}</math>), in the MN:</p> $EE_{MN,DV} = \frac{DV_{MN}}{EC_{MN}}$ <p>As the KPI is measured in unit of “bits/Joule” [equivalent to bits/Watt hour (Wh)] it represents the efficiency with which each Joule of energy is used to transmit information. This KPI expression represents the data volume of the BS over the backhaul network divided by the total EC of the BS site (including the support infrastructure). The <math>EC_{MN}</math> includes EC of each BS of the BS site as well as that of the support infrastructure of the BS site, during the measurement period. This KPI is used for MNs handling high data volumes, in particular in dense-urban, urban areas (i.e. capacity-limited deployments).</p> <p>The <math>KPI_{EE-site}</math>, denoted as SEE, expressed in “Wh”, is an additional network KPI describing the EC of the telecom equipment with reference to the total EC:</p> $SEE = \frac{EC_{BSs}}{EC_{BSs} + EC_{SI}}$ <p><math>EC_{BSs}</math> represents EC of BSs under test site, and <math>EC_{SI}</math> represents EC of supporting infrastructure,</p>	

	<p>during the measurement period.</p> <p>The SEE metric provides an INDICATION of SEE in terms of how big a fraction of total energy is used for actual telecom equipment (telecommunication service delivery). In other words, it provides the EC overhead incurred due to the BS site support infrastructure/equipment.</p> <p>The KPI definitions follow the recommendations in ITU-T L.1331 [15] and ETSI Standard ES 203 2289 [9], (which are technically equivalent), and describe the EC and MN EE measurements in operational networks.</p> <p>Note: the data vol. and EE measurements will be performed on a sub-network i.e. a selection of BS sites which constitutes <i>the partial MN under test</i>. The ETSI ES 203 228 [9] section 7, defines a method to extrapolate the measured EE KPIs of <b>the partial MN under test</b> to the operator's whole RAN.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single replica (iteration) → at least 15 minutes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Note, that there will be a max. of <math>24 \times 60 / 15 = 96</math> iterations over a 24-hour period, therefore the min. # iterations over a single 24-hour period is set to <math>96/8 = 12</math>.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → At least <math>12 \times 7 = 84</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Note that “experimentation” period (for final results reporting) covers a period of 7-days.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p><b>EC Measurement</b></p> <p>The MN EC (<math>EC_{MN}</math>) is the sum of the EC of equipment included in the MN under investigation. The network EC is measured according to the assessment process defined in section 6 of [8] such that individual metrics are provided per RAT and per MNO. <b>The overall EC of the partial MN under test</b> is measured as follows:</p> $EC_{MN} = \sum_i (\sum_k EC_{BS_{i,k}} + EC_{SI_i}) + \sum_j EC_{BH_j} + \sum_l EC_{RC_l}$ <p>where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>EC_{MN}</math> is EC, in the MN under test, and is measured in Watts/hr.<sup>10</sup> (Wh = Joule), over the period of measurement T.</li> <li>• BS refers to the BSs in the MN under measurement.</li> <li>• BH is the backhauling providing connection to the BSs in the MN under measurement.</li> <li>• SI is the site infrastructure (Rectifier, battery losses, climate equipment, TMA, tower illumination, etc.).</li> <li>• RC is the control node(s), including all infrastructure of the RC site.</li> <li>• <math>i</math> is an index spanning over the number of sites.</li> <li>• <math>j</math> an index spanning over the number of BH equipment connected to the <math>i</math> sites.</li> <li>• <math>k</math> is the index spanning over the number of BSs in the <math>i</math>-th site.</li> </ul>

<sup>9</sup> ITU-T L.1331/1330 and ETSI Standard ES 203 228 describes EC and MN EE measurements **in operational networks**, whilst power consumption and EE measurements of **individual MN elements** are described in several standards (e.g. ETSI ES 202 706 **Error! Reference source not found.** for radio base stations).

<sup>10</sup> 1 Wh = 3.6 KJ

- $l$  is the index spanning over the control nodes of the MN.

The EC of the various segments e.g. BS, BH, CR etc. can be measured by means of metering information provided by utility suppliers, COTS tools e.g. smart-meter plugs [33] or by MN integrated measurement systems.  $EC_{MN}$  is measured in unit of Wh (Watt Hours). Power consumption and EE measurements of individual MN elements are described in several standards e.g., ITU-T L.1310 [14] for radio base stations and ITU-T L.1320 [32] for power and cooling equipment. When a MN integrated measurement system according to ETSI ES 202 336-12 [22] is available, it should be used in addition to the utility provided EC allowing a more precise estimation of the consumption per RAT and per MNO [33].

#### Data Volume (DV) Measurement

The  $DV_{MN}$  shall be measured using network counters for data volume related to the aggregated traffic in the set of BSs considered in the MN under test.

For packet switched services,  $DV_{MN}$  is defined as the data volume delivered by the equipment of the partial MN under investigation during the time frame  $T$  of the EC assessment. The assessment process defined in section 6 shall be used:

$$DV_{MN-PS} = \sum_{i,k} DV_{BS_{i,k}-PS}$$

where DV, measured in bit, is the performance delivered in terms of data volume in the network over the measurement period  $T$ .  $i$  and  $k$  are defined in formula (1).

For circuit switched services<sup>11</sup> like voice,  $DV_{MN-CS}$  is defined as the data volume delivered by the equipment of the MN under investigation during the time frame  $T$  of the EC assessment:

$$DV_{MN-CS} = \sum_{i,k} DV_{BS_{i,k}-CS}$$

where DV, measured in bit, is the performance delivered in terms of data volume in the network over the measurement period  $T$ .  $i$  and  $k$  are like in formula (1).

The overall data volume is computed as follows:

$$DV_{MN} = DV_{MN-PS} + DV_{MN-CS}$$

$DV_{MN}$  can be derived based on standard counters defined in ETSI TS 132 425 [10] for LTE (or 3GPP equivalent: TS 32.425), multiplying by the measurement duration  $T$ .  $DV_{MN}$  is computed in unit of bit.

For packet switch (PS) traffic, the data volume is considered as the overall amount of data transferred to and from the users present in the MN under test. Data volume shall be measured in an aggregated way per each RAT present in the MN and shall be measured referring to counters derived from vendor O&M systems.

For Circuit Switch (CS) traffic, the data volume is considered as the number of minutes of communications during the time  $T$  multiplied by the data rate of the corresponding service and the call success rate<sup>12</sup>. The call success rate is equal to 1 minus the sum of blocking and dropping rates, i.e.:

<sup>11</sup> Note that "circuit switched", refers to all voice, interactive services and video services managed by the MNOs, including CS voice and real-time video services delivered through dedicated bearers.

<sup>12</sup> Note that for CS traffic (e.g. VoLTE) in LTE RAT, there are no measurements defined in TS 32.425. for calculation of CS traffic refer to Table 4.4.3.2-2, in [20].

	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Call Success Rate = (1 – dropping rate) × 100 [%]</i></b></p> <p>The dropping includes the intra-cell call failure (rate of dropping calls due to all the causes not related to handover) and the handover failure:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><math>1 - \text{dropping rate} = (1 - \text{intracell failure rate})(1 - \text{handover failure rate})</math></b></p> <p>In order to include reliability in the measurement the aggregated data volume shall be provided together with the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the cumulative distribution, for each RAT in the MN.</p> <p>For data reporting, templates available in ANNEX A in ETSI ES 203 228 [9] (or equivalents i.e. ANNEX I of Rec. ITU-T L.1331 [15] or in 3GPP TR 32.856 [21]) are used.</p> <p><b>OUTPUTs:</b></p> <p>i) The <math>KPI_{EE-capacity}</math> (denoted as <math>EE_{MN,DV}</math> below), expressed unit of “bits/Joule” [equivalent to bits/Watt hour (Wh)], is calculated as the ratio between the Data Volume (<math>DV_{MN}</math>) and the Energy Consumption (<math>EC_{MN}</math>), in the mobile network (MN), during the 7-day measurement period:</p> $EE_{MN,DV} = DV_{MN} / EC_{MN} \quad (7)$ <p>ii) The <math>KPI_{EE-site}</math> (denoted as <math>SEE</math>) expressed in unit of “Wh” [Watt hour], is calculated as the ratio of the energy consumption of the telecom equipment to the total energy consumption:</p> $SEE = EC_{BSs} / (EC_{BSs} + EC_{SI}) \quad (8)$ <p>where, <math>EC_{BSs}</math> represents energy consumption of BSs under test site, and <math>EC_{SI}</math> represents energy consumption of supporting infrastructure, during the 7-day measurement period.</p> <p>iii) The <b>peak</b> (observed over the measurement period) values of <math>EE_{MN,DV}</math> and <math>SEE</math> will be reported.</p> <p>The required peak output should be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p>Let <math>EEmax\_i</math> be the maximum EE measured in the <math>i</math>th iteration, and <math>x\_i(n)</math> be the measured EE for each single 15-min. iteration:</p> $EEmax_i = \max(x_{i,n})$ <p>Then, the (reported) maximum EE (<math>EE_{peak}</math>) shall be calculated as follows:</p> $EE_{peak} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_i EEmax_i$ <p>For the reported maximum EE (<math>EE_{peak}</math>), the 95% confidence interval shall be reported using the Student-T-distribution for <math>v = i - 1</math> degrees of freedom to denote the precision of the experiment.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Complementary measurements</i></b></p> <p><i>The following complementary metrics will be available &amp; logged during the measurement period:</i></p> <p><i>At the UE:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>RSRP</i></li> <li>• <i>RSRQ</i></li> <li>• <i>SINR</i></li> </ul> <p><i>At the eNB/gNB:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Uplink Signal Strength</i></li> <li>• <i>CQI reported from UE</i></li> </ul>

5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The experimenter will define the following parameters of their setup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technology/Band</li> <li>• Transmission Mode (TDD/FDD)</li> <li>• Receive/Transmit Frequencies</li> <li>• Number of Antennas</li> <li>• Channel Bandwidth</li> <li>• UE Category</li> <li>• Service/slice configuration (eMBB, URLLC, etc.)</li> </ul> <p>Prior to the beginning of the tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The experimenter will define the (designated) area of interest, size of “partial network under test” [unit: # sites], number of UEs, and the UE locations (random deployment)</li> <li>• The UEs will be placed in various locations within the cell, including locations at the edge of the area (lowest SNR).</li> </ul> <p>There will be only one or more UE (or UE emulator) successfully connected to the network. Measurements shall be performed without any energy savings features to evaluate basic RAN energy efficiency.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The UE and eNB/gNB should provide monitoring of the Complementary metrics.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Place UEs (operating in NSA mode) in a test location corresponding to good coverage.</li> <li>2. Start recording the complementary metrics.</li> <li>3. Initiate the traffic generator in the Downlink &amp; Uplink, using UDP traffic.</li> <li>4. Set the period of the measurement to 24 hrs.</li> <li>5. Stop recording the complementary metrics at end of measurement period.</li> <li>6. Upon completing the measurement, record the <math>DV_{MN}</math> based on NMS counters &amp; eq. (1).</li> <li>7. Upon completing the measurement, record the <math>EC_{MN}</math> from utility meters/watt meters &amp; apply eq. (4).</li> <li>8. Repeat Steps 1-6 for period of 7 days.</li> <li>9. Compute the weekly EE KPI based on eq. (7) and (8). Extend to yearly, using extrapolation method in [x].</li> <li>10. Report the MN EE assessment results for 4G and 5G deployments separately, using the KPIs definition provided in section "<i>Calculation process and output</i>", as well as the templated provided in Sections 4, 5 and 6 of this document.</li> </ol>



### 3.3.3 UE Energy Efficiency

Test Case	TC_ENE_UEEnergyEfficiency	Energy Efficiency
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>UE Energy Efficiency</b></p> <p>This KPI aims to measure the UE EE and represents the efficiency with which each Joule of energy is used to transmit information. This KPI expression represents the data volume (MBs of data transfer) of the UE under consideration, divided by the total energy consumed.</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For the evaluation, a full 5G deployment composed of a 5G UE, a 5G NR gNB and 5G core is used. A traffic generator capable of producing FTP traffic is used behind the N6 interface of 5G system and a traffic sink that collects traffic is used at the UE side.</p>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p><b>EC Measurement</b></p> <p>The UE EC (<math>EC_{UE}</math>) is the refers to the EC of the US under investigation. The UE EC is measured using power meters or a Keysight N6705 power analyser to collect energy measurements from UE where a phone is powered directly through the Power Analyzer, rather than through its internal battery, which is disconnected. <math>EC_{UE}</math> is measured in Watts/hr.<sup>13</sup> (Wh = Joule), over the period of measurement T.</p> <p><b>DV Measurement</b></p> <p>The <math>DV_{UE}</math> shall be measured using network counters for data volume related to the aggregated traffic in the UE under test.</p> <p><math>DV_{UE}</math> can be derived based on standard counters defined in ETSI TS 132 425 [10] for LTE (or 3GPP equivalent: TS 32.425), multiplying by the measurement duration T. <math>DV_{UE}</math> is computed in unit of bit.</p> <p><b>OUTPUTs:</b></p> <p>i) The <math>KPI_{UE-EE}</math> (<math>EE_{UE}</math>), expressed unit of “bits/Joule” [equivalent to bits/Watt hour (Wh)], is calculated as the ratio between the DV (<math>DV_{UE}</math>) and the EC (<math>EC_{UE}</math>), during the 7-day measurement period:</p> $EE_{UE} = DV_{UE} / EC_{UE} \quad (1)$ <p>ii) The <b>mean</b> (of the observed) values of <math>EE_{UE}</math> will be reported.</p> <p>The required results should be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p>Let <math>EE_{avg\_i}</math> be the calculated average EE for the <math>i^{th}</math> iteration, and <math>x_{(i,n)}</math> be the measured EE for each iteration:</p> $EE_{avg\_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_n x_{i,n}$	

<sup>13</sup> 1 Wh = 3.6 KJ

	<p>Then, the overall (reported) average <math>EE_{mean}</math> shall be calculated as the average of all <math>x_i</math></p> $EE_{mean} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_i EE_{avg_i}$ <p>For the overall average EE (<math>EE_{mean}</math>), the 95% confidence interval shall be reported using the Student-T-distribution for <math>v = i - 1</math> degrees of freedom to denote the precision of the experiment.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p><i>The following complementary metrics will be available &amp; logged during the measurement period:</i></p> <p><i>At the UE:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>RSRP</i></li> <li>• <i>RSRQ</i></li> <li>• <i>SINR</i></li> </ul> <p><i>At the eNB/gNB:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Uplink Signal Strength</i></li> <li>• <i>CQI reported from UE</i></li> </ul>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The experimenter will define the following parameters of their setup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technology/Band</li> <li>• Transmission Mode (TDD/FDD)</li> <li>• Receive/Transmit Frequencies</li> <li>• Number of Antennas</li> <li>• Channel Bandwidth</li> <li>• UE Category</li> <li>• Service/slice configuration (eMBB, URLLC etc.)</li> </ul> <p>Prior to the beginning of the tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The UEs will be placed in various locations within the cell, including locations at the edge of the area (lowest SNR).</li> <li>• There will be only one or more UEs successfully connected to the network.</li> </ul>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The UE and eNB/gNB should provide monitoring of the Complementary metrics.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Place UEs (operating in NSA mode) in a test location corresponding to good coverage.</li> <li>2. Configure the UE to download a test file size = 2 MB.</li> <li>3. Start recording the complementary metrics.</li> <li>4. Initiate the traffic generator in the Downlink with FTP traffic.</li> <li>5. After the download is complete, allow the UE to go to inactive mode</li> <li>6. Repeat step 13 to 15 i.e. repeat the procedure 20 times.</li> <li>7. Stop recording the complementary metrics.</li> </ol>

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8. Upon completing the measurement, record the <math>DV_{UE}</math>.</li> <li>9. Upon completing the measurement, record the <math>EC_{UE}</math>.</li> <li>10. After measuring the total energy consumed and computing the average per MB of data transfer, apply eq. (1).</li> <li>11. Report UE EE assessment results for 4G and 5G deployments separately, using the KPIs definition provided in section "Calculation process and output" as well as the templates provided in Section 4,5 and 6 of this document.</li> </ol>
--	--

### 3.3.4 NB-IoT Device Energy Consumption

Test Case		TC_ENE_NBIoT_SUR	Energy Efficiency									
1	<div>Target KPI</div> <div>NB-IoT Device Energy Consumption</div> <p>The Average NB-IoT Device Energy Consumption test aims to evaluate the energy consumed by an NB-IoT device during a 10-year period of operation when uplink transfers are carried out with a particular periodicity and in message bursts of particular lengths. It is conducted in an OMNeT++ simulation environment with a purpose-built simulation model of the key parts of the NB-IoT network architecture.</p>											
	<div>Methodology</div> <p>The NB-IoT Device Energy Consumption is measured at the simulation model of the NB-IoT device and is continually computed during a test run. Unless otherwise specified, the following power consumption values are used in the simulation:</p> <table><tr><th>Activity</th><th>Power Consumption (mW)</th></tr><tr><td>Transmit</td><td>545</td></tr><tr><td>Receive</td><td>90</td></tr><tr><td>RRC Idle State (light sleep)</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>PSM mode (deep sleep)</td><td>0.015</td></tr></table> <p>The test comprises 30 iterations, and an iteration comprises the uplink transfer of traffic during a simulated 10-year period of operation, with a pre-specified periodicity between message transmissions and with a pre-specified message burst length.</p>			Activity	Power Consumption (mW)	Transmit	545	Receive	90	RRC Idle State (light sleep)	3	PSM mode (deep sleep)
Activity	Power Consumption (mW)											
Transmit	545											
Receive	90											
RRC Idle State (light sleep)	3											
PSM mode (deep sleep)	0.015											
3	<div>Parameters</div> <p>The following parameter settings are used in the test case:</p>											
	<table><tr><th>Parameter</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>Connected mode DRX (C-DRX)</td><td>disabled, 1.28 s, 2.56 s</td></tr><tr><td>Idle mode DRX (I-DRX)</td><td>1.28 s, 2.56 s</td></tr><tr><td>Paging Time Window (PTW) length</td><td>2.56 s</td></tr><tr><td>Inactivity timer</td><td>1 ms, 10 s, 20 s</td></tr></table>			Parameter	Value	Connected mode DRX (C-DRX)	disabled, 1.28 s, 2.56 s	Idle mode DRX (I-DRX)	1.28 s, 2.56 s	Paging Time Window (PTW) length	2.56 s	Inactivity timer
Parameter	Value											
Connected mode DRX (C-DRX)	disabled, 1.28 s, 2.56 s											
Idle mode DRX (I-DRX)	1.28 s, 2.56 s											
Paging Time Window (PTW) length	2.56 s											
Inactivity timer	1 ms, 10 s, 20 s											

	Active timer ( $T_{3324}$ )	18 s, 30 s, 60 s
	CoAP retransmission timer	2 s, 4 s, 8 s
4	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The average NB-IoT Device Energy Consumption in a test is calculated, according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>	
5	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>None.</p>	
6	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The OMNET++ simulation environment has been setup.</li> <li>• The simulation environment has been configured as specified in #3.</li> </ul>	
7	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>N/A</p>	
8	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start the simulation of a particular test, i.e., a particular configuration of the simulation model and for uplink transfers that occur with a particular periodicity and with messages being transmitted in bursts of a particular length.</li> <li>2. Run post-processing test scripts to extract the <i>Device_EC<sub>i</sub></i></li> <li>3. Repeat steps 1 and 2 for each iteration.</li> <li>4. Calculate the <i>avgDevice_EC</i> and its 95% CI.</li> </ol>	

## 3.4 Latency

### 3.4.1 E2E Application Layer Latency

Test Case	TC_LAT_e2eAppLayerLatency	Latency
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>E2E Application Layer Latency</b></p> <p>This test aims at measuring the mean between a total of time of delays between the transmission and the reception of a data packet at application level, from a source and to the destination, and its processing.</p> <p>This latency is measured between a transmitter source and a receiver, in one direction each time, upstream or downstream. It is measured at the application layer.</p> <p>This test employs real application-oriented data packet to measure the latency metric within a real environment. Thus, the traffic profile of this test case is application dependent, and has different payload size and headers, thus, must be specified in the instantiation of the test case.</p> <p>Experimenters should include as part of their test case description an illustration of the measurement system, including if applicable and potential virtualization aspects.</p> <p>Source of packets → application acting as client</p> <p>Destination of packets → application acting as server</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Any deployed infrastructure of the specific platform, with the components related to a particular use case.</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For measuring E2E Application Layer Latency, a packet is emitted from a source and received by the application. The times of emitting the packet at the data source and receiving the data packet at the data sink are to be captured and the Latency is calculated as the difference between the two events.</p> <p>For this test case, the traffic profile is application-based so, the data packet size and headers depending on the application used by the scenario. Moreover, the times for sending and receiving the packet are measured at the application level. Thus, this latency includes the de-encapsulation of the data within the packet from the receiver.</p> <p>The traffic source sends an Application data packet towards the destinations. The time between sending this packet at the sender and the time at which the destination receives the packet is captured. The clocks used for creating the two timestamps have to be previously well synchronized, preferably with an external source of time, and through the desired mechanism (GPS, PTP, NTP, etc.)</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → at least 1 minute, or the delivery of 50 packets.</li> <li>• A number of replica (iterations) → At least 25.</li> </ul>	

3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Other interesting measurements to be computed with the test results could be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PLRate</li> </ul> $PLR = \frac{\text{Num. of lost packets}}{\text{Num. of packets received} + \text{Num. of lost packets}}$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average E2E Latency per iteration</li> </ul>
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>Prior to the beginning of the tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All components belonging to the Use Case Application must be in place, running and connecting to each other. Thus, the source must reach the destination within a given time. Moreover, in the case of network slicing, the slice must be activated.</li> <li>The source must generate the specified Application packet in a period interval. This data must arrive at least once to the destination, and the information within this data packet must arrive uncorrupted.</li> <li>Ensure that unless specifically requested in the scenario, no undesired traffic is present during the test.</li> </ul>
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The SUT must support/handle specific application type traffic.</li> <li>The measurement probes need to be capable of injecting Application traffic in the system, or to be able to measure the traffic already exchanged by the SUT.</li> </ul>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Start the monitoring module to record the departure and arrival of the packets.</li> <li>Log the information in a structured manner, this information includes timestamps, data information and other extra information that could be relevant for the probes.</li> <li>Stop the monitoring module.</li> <li>Calculate and record the average latency and the other parameters specified in this test case.</li> <li>Replicate steps 1 to 5 the number of times specified in this test case.</li> <li>Compute the total of KPI values defined in this test case.</li> </ol>

### 3.4.2 Physical Layer Latency

Test Case	TC_LAT_PHYLatency_MAL	Latency
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>PHY Layer Latency</b></p> <p>This test aims at measure the mean between a total of time of delays between the transmission and the reception of a data packet at the PHY layer from a source and to the destination, representing a measurement of the radio interface latency.</p> <p>This latency is measured between a transmitter source and a receiver, in one direction each time, upstream or downstream. It is measured at the PHY layer, so the SUT must allow to measure at a point where packets haven't reached MAC layer yet.</p> <p>This test employs real application-oriented data packet to measure the latency metric within a real environment. Thus, the traffic profile of this test case is application dependent, and has different payload size and headers, thus, must be specified in the instantiation of the test case.</p> <p>Experimenters should include as part of their test case description an illustration of the measurement system.</p> <p>Source of packets → application acting as client</p> <p>Destination of packets → application acting as server</p> <p>Underlying SUT → The deployed infrastructure of the specific platform, with the components related to a particular use case.</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → PHY layer</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For measuring PHY Layer Latency, a packet is emitted from a source and received by an application. The times of emitting the packet at the data source after the MAC layer delivers it, and receiving the data packet at the data sink at MAC layer before its processing, are to be captured and the Latency is calculated as the difference between the two events.</p> <p>For this test case, the traffic profile is application-based so, the data packet size and headers depending on the application used by the scenario. Since the times for sending and receiving the packet are measured at the PHY level, it does not include the processing that takes place at MAC layer for neither source nor destination.</p> <p>The traffic source sends an Application data packet towards the destination. The time between sending this packet at the sender and the time at which the destination receives the packet is captured. The clocks used for creating the two timestamps have to be previously well synchronized, preferably with an external source of time, and through the desired mechanism (GPS, PTP, NTP, etc.) Using different network interfaces of a same machine assures a common clock and avoids the need of synchronization.</p> <p>Additionally, to make the test accurate to strictly measure the PHY layer delay, the test must be repeated without the SUT between data source and destination. This delay measurement must be subtracted from the measurement with the SUT to subtract the network interface in the PC from the measured system.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the</p>	

	<p>following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → at least 1 minute, or the delivery of 50 packets.</li> <li>• A number of replica (iterations) → At least 25.</li> </ul>
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results should be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p><b>Mean (average) PHY Layer Latency:</b></p> <p>Let <math>avg\_i</math> be the calculated average Latency for the <math>i^{th}</math> iteration, and <math>x_{(i,n)}</math> be the measured Latency for each packet, with a specific Application traffic profile, within the iteration. And <math>avgNOSUT\_i</math> the calculated average Latency for the <math>i^{th}</math> iteration, and <math>y_{(i,n)}</math> be the measured Latency for each packet, without the SUT and a specific Application traffic profile, within the iteration</p> $avg_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_n x_{i,n}$ $avgNOSUT_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_n y_{i,n}$ <p>Then, the overall (reported) average Latency <math>avg</math> shall be calculated as the average of all <math>x\_i</math></p> $avg = \frac{1}{i} \sum_i avg_i - avgNOSUT_i$
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Other interesting measurements to be computed with the test results could be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PLRate</li> </ul> $PLR = \frac{Num. of lost packets}{Num. of packets received + Num. of lost packets}$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Average Latency per iteration</li> </ul>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>Prior to the beginning of the tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All components must be in place, running and connecting to each other. Thus, the source must reach the destination within a given time. Moreover, in the case of network slicing the slice must be activated.</li> <li>• The source must generate the specified Application packet in a period interval. This data must arrive at least once to the destination and the information within this data packet must arrive uncorrupted.</li> <li>• Ensure that unless specifically requested in the scenario, no undesired traffic is present during the test.</li> </ul>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The SUT must support measuring at the PHY layer.</li><li>• The SUT must support/handle specific application type traffic.</li><li>• The measurement probes need to be capable of injecting Application traffic in the system, or to be able to measure the traffic already exchanged by the SUT.</li></ul>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Start the monitoring module to record the departure and arrival of the packets.</li><li>2. Log the information in a structured manner, this information includes timestamps, data information and other extra information that could be relevant for the probes.</li><li>3. Stop the monitoring module.</li><li>4. Calculate and record the average latency and the other parameters specified in this test case.</li><li>5. Replicate steps 1 to 4 the number of times specified in this test case.</li><li>6. Replicate steps 1 to 5 without the SUT in the setup.</li><li>7. Compute the total of KPI values defined in this test case.</li></ol>

### 3.4.3 E2E GOOSE Message Latency

Test Case		TC_LAT_SmartGridControlMsgLatency_BER	Latency												
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>E2E GOOSE Message Latency</b></p> <p>The E2E GOOSE Message Latency test aims to evaluate the time it takes for a piece of information that is published by an IED until it is received by an IED subscriber. The latency is measured at the application layer. The test is conducted on an Open5GCore test platform and considers two types of 5G core deployments: a VM- and a container-based deployment.</p>														
	2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>The E2E GOOSE Message Latency is measured as the time that elapses from that a piece of information is transmitted on the publisher interface on the GOOSE emulation machine until it is received on the subscriber interface on the GOOSE emulation machine.</p> <p>The test comprises 30 iterations, and an iteration comprises the transmission of 1000 Ethernet frames, each with a GOOSE message of 172 bytes. In an iteration, the E2E Latency for each transmitted GOOSE message is measured.</p>													
3		<p><i>Parameters</i></p> <p>The following parameter settings are used in the test case:</p> <table><tr><th>Parameter</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>GOOSE message size</td><td>172 bytes</td></tr><tr><td>Number of Ethernet frames</td><td>1000/iteration</td></tr><tr><td>Number of iterations</td><td>30</td></tr><tr><td>VM-based deployment</td><td>Ubuntu 18.10, KVM version 2.5.0</td></tr><tr><td>Container-based deployment</td><td>Ubuntu 18.10, Docker version 17.12</td></tr></table>			Parameter	Value	GOOSE message size	172 bytes	Number of Ethernet frames	1000/iteration	Number of iterations	30	VM-based deployment	Ubuntu 18.10, KVM version 2.5.0	Container-based deployment
	Parameter	Value													
GOOSE message size	172 bytes														
Number of Ethernet frames	1000/iteration														
Number of iterations	30														
VM-based deployment	Ubuntu 18.10, KVM version 2.5.0														
Container-based deployment	Ubuntu 18.10, Docker version 17.12														
4	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The average E2E Latency shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>														
5	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>In each iteration, the 5GCore Latency is also measured. The 5GCore Latency is measured as the time it takes from when a GOOSE message enters the eNodeB until it exits the packet gateway (INET-GW). For the SUT this means the time that elapses from that a GOOSE message reaches the entry port of the Open5GCore server until it reaches the exit port of the Open5GCore server. The average 5GCore Latency shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>														

6	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The test environment has been setup.</li> <li>• The GOOSE emulation machine has been configured.</li> </ul>
7	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The SUT must support tunnelling of GOOSE messages</li> <li>• The traffic emulator must be able to inject GOOSE messages into the system</li> </ul>
8	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start monitoring traffic with tshark at the publisher and subscriber interfaces of the GOOSE emulation machine.</li> <li>2. Start monitoring traffic with tshark at the entry and exit ports of the Open5GCore server.</li> <li>3. Start the IEC 61850-8-1 GOOSE emulation tool.</li> <li>4. Once the GOOSE emulation tool has completed, stop monitoring traffic in the GOOSE emulation machine at the 5GCore server.</li> <li>5. Calculate the <i>avgE2E_Delay<sub>i</sub></i> and the <i>avg5GCore_Delay<sub>i</sub></i>.</li> <li>6. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for each iteration.</li> <li>7. Calculate the <i>avgE2E_Delay</i> and <i>avg5GCoreDelay</i> and their 95% CIs.</li> </ol>

## 3.5 Round-Trip-Time

### 3.5.1 E2E Round-Trip-Time

Test Case		TC_RTT_e2e	Round-Trip-time
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>E2E Round-Trip-Time</b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the RTT measured between two endpoints. It measures the duration from the transmission of the data packet to the successful reception at the node of an external server (destination), plus the response time back to the source.</p> <p>Source A → Endpoint A</p> <p>Destination B → Endpoint B</p> <p>Underlying system → Any network components between the source and destination.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For measuring RTT, a packet stream is emitted from a source and received by a data sink (destination). The data sink shall acknowledge the correct reception of the data packet back to the sink. The time of emitting the packet at the data source and receiving the acknowledgement at the data source are to be captured and the RTT is calculated as the difference between the two events.</p> <p>For this test case, the traffic source sends ICMP ECHO_REQUEST towards the destination, the latter responding with an ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE. This test case employs “ping” as a tool to generate the traffic stream.</p> <p>The default stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the traffic profile specified in TP_ICMP_64. Additional traffic profiles may be applied for additional experiments. The experimenter shall state the traffic profile used in the experiment.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Duration of a single replica (iteration) → at least 2 minutes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note, the duration has to ensure that at least 100 ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs are sent during a single replica (iteration).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of replica (iterations) → At least 25</li> </ul>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ping Success Rate</li> <li>PL Rate</li> <li>Average RSRP (if available)</li> </ul>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Average RSRQ (if available)</li> </ul> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The scenario has been configured. In case of network slicing, the slice must be activated.</p> <p>The traffic generator should support the generation of the traffic pattern defined in the traffic profiles section. Connect the traffic generator to the endpoints if they do not include one.</p> <p>Deploy the monitoring probes to collect the primary and complementary metrics.</p> <p>Ensure that unless specifically requested in the scenario, no undesired traffic is present during the test.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>For networks and devices that support internal traffic generation or routing external IP traffic</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Trigger the monitoring probes to collect the primary and complementary metrics, if applicable.</li> <li>2. Trigger the traffic generator from the source to the destination using one of the ICMP traffic profiles defined.</li> <li>3. Record RTT, number of packets "ICMP request" sent and number of packets "ICMP reply" received.</li> <li>4. Stop monitoring probes</li> <li>5. Record the average RTT, the average PL rate and the average ping success rate, the average RSRP and the average RSRQ per iteration as defined in "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>6. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>7. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> </ol>

### 3.5.2 E2E Round-Trip-Time with background traffic

Test Case		TC_RTT_e2eBGTraffic	Round-Trip-time
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b><i>E2E Round-Trip-Time with background traffic</i></b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the RTT measured between two endpoints under concurrent network load. It measures the duration from the transmission of the data packet to the successful reception at the node of an external server (destination) plus the response time back to the source.</p> <p>Source → Endpoint A</p> <p>Destination → Endpoint B</p> <p>Underlying system → Any network components between the source and destination</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For this test case, the traffic source sends ICMP ECHO_REQUEST towards the destination, the latter responding with an ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE. This test case employs “ping” as a tool to generate the traffic stream. In parallel, a traffic generator is employed to produce background traffic. The experimenter shall describe the traffic profile of the background traffic used in the experiments.</p> <p>The default stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to TP_ICMP_64 traffic profile. Additional traffic profiles may be applied for additional experiments. The experimenter shall clearly define the traffic profile used.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Duration of a single replica (iteration) → at least 2 minutes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note, the duration must ensure that at least 100 ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs are sent during a single replica (iteration).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of replica (iterations) → At least 25</li> </ul>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Methodology.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ping Success Rate</li> <li>PL Rate</li> <li>Average RSRP (if available)</li> <li>Average RSRQ (if available)</li> <li>Average IP throughput of background traffic during the test</li> </ul> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The network is operational.</p>		

	<p>The traffic generator supports the traffic profiles.</p> <p>If the endpoints do not include a traffic generator, connect an external server to accommodate the generator.</p> <p>The monitoring probes are able to record the complementary metrics.</p> <p>Ensure that unless specifically requested in the scenario, no undesired traffic is present during the test.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>N/A</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Trigger the monitoring probes to collect the complementary metrics.</li> <li>2. Define the traffic profile of the background traffic. Trigger the traffic generator to transmit background traffic.</li> <li>3. Initiate ping requests from the source to the destination using one of the ICMP traffic patterns defined.</li> <li>4. Record RTT, number of transmitted and received packets.</li> <li>5. Stop the monitoring probes</li> <li>6. Calculate and record the average RTT, the average PL rate and the average ping success rate, the average RSRP, the average RSRQ and the average IP throughput per iteration as defined in "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>8. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> </ol>

### 3.5.3 E2E Round-Trip-Time and Radio Link Quality

Test Case	TC_RTT_e2eRadioLinkQuality	Round-Trip-time
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>E2E Round-Trip-Time with background traffic</i></b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the RTT measured between two endpoints in three different cell locations (edge, mid-cell, peak conditions). It measures the duration from the transmission of the data packet to the successful reception at the node of an external server (destination) plus the response time back to the source and evaluates the impact of Radio Link Quality.</p> <p>Source → Endpoint A</p> <p>Destination → Endpoint B</p> <p>Underlying system → Any network components between the source and destination</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For this test case, the traffic source sends ICMP ECHO_REQUEST towards the destination, the latter responding with an ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE. This test case employs “ping” as a tool to generate the traffic stream.</p> <p>The stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the traffic profile specified in TP_ICMP_64. Additional traffic profiles may be applied for additional experiments. The experimenter shall state the traffic profile used.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) in each cell location (peak conditions, mid-edge, cell-edge), according to the following properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single replica (iteration) → at least 2 minutes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Note, the duration has to ensure that at least 100 ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs are sent during a single replica (iteration).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → At least 25</li> </ul> <p>the experimenter shall conduct the above measurements in three different cell locations (edge, mid-cell, peak conditions)</p>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Methodology.</p>	
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ping Success Rate</li> <li>• PL Rate</li> <li>• Average RSRP (Reference Signal Received Power) if available</li> <li>• Average RSRQ (Reference Signal Received Quality) if available</li> <li>• Average IP throughput of background traffic during the test</li> </ul> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>	



5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The network is operational.</p> <p>The traffic generator supports the traffic profiles.</p> <p>If the endpoints do not include a traffic generator, connect an external server to accommodate the generator.</p> <p>The monitoring probes are able to record the complementary metrics.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>For networks and devices that support internal traffic generation or routing external IP traffic</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Select a cell location with peak conditions.</li> <li>2. Trigger the monitoring probes to collect the complementary metrics.</li> <li>3. Define the traffic profile of the background traffic. Trigger the traffic generator to transmit background traffic.</li> <li>4. Initiate ping requests from the source to the destination using one of the ICMP traffic patterns defined.</li> <li>5. Record RTT, number of transmitted and received packets.</li> <li>6. Stop the monitoring probes.</li> <li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 6 for each one of the 25 iterations.</li> <li>8. Calculate and record the average RTT, the average PL rate and the average ping success rate, the average RSRP, the average RSRQ and the average IP throughput per iteration as defined in "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>9. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>10. Repeat Steps 1-9 for mid-edge and cell-edge cell locations.</li> </ol>

### 3.5.4 CoAP over LTE and 5G Round-Trip-Time

Test Case Template		<i>TC_RTT_COAP_SUR</i>	Round Trip Time
1	<p><i>Description of the target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'round trip time', under different loads. The CoAP server echoes any message coming from a client. When an echo is received in response to a message from the server, the client measures the round trip time. The load is generated from both packet sizes and packet inter arrival time. The client uses LTE eNB and 5G AP (CPE) respectively.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>In each run, the client (using either LTE or 5G) sends a sequence of four messages to the server. Three different message sizes (100, 200 and 400 Bytes) and three different inter arrival time (0.5, 1 and 2 s) are used. The experiments are repeated 30 times to ensure statistical significance. For each access technology (LTE/5G) 270 experiment runs are done.</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>For each run, the round trip time of a packet is calculated using the timestamp when a CoAP message is sent and the timestamp when the echoed CoAP message from the server is received at the client.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Assess round trip time for each access technology (LTE/5G) using ICMP ping. In this case we run ping from the MONROE node to a server at Karlstad University.</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>Access link from the client to the access point is entirely used by the experiment traffic.</p>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>CoAP</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start CoAP server, 2/ start CoAP client, 3/ start measuring round trip time</p>		

### 3.6 Location Accuracy

Test Case		TC_Loc_Acc	Location accuracy
1	<p>Target KPI</p> <p><b>Location accuracy</b></p> <p>The location accuracy tests aim at determining the precision of the different positioning methods in a mobile network.</p> <p>The location accuracy KPI is defined as the distance between the measured position of a device and its true physical position. This KPI depends on various factors and is not independent of the scenario used to measure it, thus some of those factors need to be considered when performing the experiments, especially the location method used for it.</p> <p>Source of location request → LCS software integrated in the testbed platform</p> <p>Destination of location request → Corresponding core network components of SUT</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Mobile network of the platform, including UE, RAN, core and LCS software.</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer</p>		
	<p>Methodology</p> <p>To measure the accuracy of the location, it is needed to initiate the location process in the first place, and later obtain the accuracy from the results of such location.</p> <p>The location will be performed using the LCS software integrated in the platform, for example through an Element Management System (EMS) interface that may be part of such software. The LCS software usually offers an estimation of the precision for every location, which will be used as a complementary result of the test case if available. The calculation process described below should be used to obtain the accuracy for each location performed.</p>		
	<p><b>Calculation process and output</b></p> <p>To obtain the location accuracy when the LCS software does not provide it for every location performed, the following calculation process must be followed:</p> <p>Let <math>LO_{TRUE\_POS}</math> be the UE longitude, <math>LO_{LCS}</math> the estimated longitude by LCS software, <math>LA_{TRUE\_POS}</math> the UE latitude and <math>LA_{LCS}</math> the estimated latitude by LCS software, all of them expressed as radian degrees in decimal format.</p> <p><math>\Delta_{LO}</math> and <math>\Delta_{LA}</math> are the absolute difference between LO and LA parameters and D, the distance between two Earth points. Using the Spherical law of cosines to obtain the central angle (<math>\Delta_{\sigma}</math>) and r as radius of the Earth (<math>\approx 6378</math> km), the distance between the two positions can be obtained.</p>		

	$\Delta_{\sigma} = \arccos(\sin(LA_{LCS}) \sin(LA_{TRUE\_POS}) + \cos(LA_{LCS}) \cos(LA_{TRUE\_POS}) \cos(\Delta_{LO}))$ $D = r\Delta_{\sigma} [km]$ <p>If there has been <math>n</math> execution of locations, then the location accuracy is:</p> $Location\ Accuracy = \pm \frac{r \times \sum_{n=1}^n \Delta_{\sigma_n}}{n} = \pm \frac{\sum_{n=1}^n D_n}{n} [km]$ <p>Apart from the previously explained, the required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;">Complementary measurements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time to obtain location</li> <li>• Signal strength/coverage indicator: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Average RSRP (if available)</li> <li>○ Average RSRQ (if available)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Time Advance type 1 (if available) and type 2</li> </ul> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
5	<p style="text-align: center;">Pre-conditions</p> <p>The LCS service must be setup and working for the corresponding core network and RAN. The UE must be positioned in the corresponding location for the test if one specific location has been specified. The UE must be attached to the network in order to be located.</p> <p>Ensure that unless specifically requested in the scenario, no undesired traffic is present during the test. Positioning methods to be used for the tests must be supported and accordingly configured at the core network as well as at the RAN which the UE will use during the tests. Such positioning method should also be supported by the UE used for the tests.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;">Applicability</p> <p>This test case must be executed on platforms where there is a LCS service available and both the core network and RAN support LCS.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;">Test Case Sequence</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Trigger the location from the LCS service</li> <li>2. Obtain the location results from the LCS service, including its accuracy if provided by the LCS software.</li> <li>3. Repeat steps 1 to 2 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>4. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”</li> </ol>

## 3.7 Reliability

Two kinds of Reliability KPIs are provided to allow analyzing different constraints in the network. While the first case of Reliability allows examining the successful delivery of network layer packets between two general endpoints, the second case is specifically targeting at data transmission for media services. Here, the reliability of the rate of data transmission is the main focus.

### 3.7.1 Network Layer Reliability

Test Case		TC_Rel_e2e	Reliability
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>Reliability</b></p> <p>In the context of network layer packet transmissions, percentage value of the amount of sent network layer packets successfully delivered to a given system entity within the time constraint required by the targeted service, divided by the total number of sent network layer packets [3GPP TS 22.261]</p> <p>This KPI refers to reliability measured between two endpoints of the network. It measures amount of packets successfully delivered between these two endpoints within the time constraints specified in this test case, divided by the total number of sent packets.</p> <p>Source A → Endpoint A</p> <p>Destination B → Endpoint B</p> <p>Underlying system → Any network components between the source and destination.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For measuring Reliability, a packet stream is emitted from a source and received by a data sink (destination). The data sink shall acknowledge the correct reception of the data packet back to the sink. The time of emitting the packet at the data source and receiving the acknowledgement at the data source are to be captured and the RTT is calculated as the difference between the two events.</p> <p>For this test case, the traffic source sends ICMP ECHO_REQUEST towards the destination, the latter responding with an ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE. This test case employs “ping” as a tool to generate the traffic stream.</p> <p>The default stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the traffic profile of the use case. Packet size → To be specified depending of the use case: URLLC = 32 bytes, xV2X=300 bytes, AGV &amp; Control Intelligence = 500 bytes, digital twin= 1024, etc.</p> <p>Inter-packet delay → Should be specified by the use case (1s by default)</p> <p>Timeout → Should be specified by the use case</p> <p>Duration → at least one hour (the duration of the test case should be provided as part of the result of the test case). Duration includes the timeout for the last sent packet.</p>		

3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>Output</p> <p>% of successfully delivered packets for a RTT &lt; 1 ms to be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>% of successfully delivered packets for a RTT &lt; 2 ms to be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>% of successfully delivered packets for a RTT &lt; 3 ms to be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>% of successfully delivered packets for RTT &lt; 10 ms to be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>% of successful packets received for a RTT &lt; 20 ms to be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>% of successful packets received for a RTT &lt; 50 ms to be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>% of successful packets received for a RTT &lt; Max time to be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>Max time depends on the use case and must be reported as part of the output of the test case.</p> <p>Packet size must be reported as part of the output.</p> <p>Duration must be reported as part of the output.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Average RSRP (if available)</li> <li>• Average RSRQ (if available)</li> <li>• Average RSSI (if available)</li> <li>• Average SINR (if available)</li> <li>• Modulation scheme (if available)</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of MAC retransmissions (if available)</li> </ul> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The scenario has been configured. In case of network slicing, the slice must be activated.</p> <p>The traffic generator should support the generation of the traffic pattern defined in the traffic profiles section. Connect the traffic generator to the endpoints if they do not include one.</p> <p>Deploy the monitoring probes to collect the primary and complementary metrics.</p> <p>Ensure that unless specifically requested in the scenario, no undesired traffic is present during the test.</p> <p>Network is available.</p>
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>For networks and devices that support internal traffic generation or routing external IP traffic</p>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Trigger the monitoring probes to collect the primary and complementary metrics, if applicable.</li> <li>2. Trigger the traffic generator from the source to the destination using one of the ICMP traffic profiles defined.</li> <li>3. Record RTT, number of packets “ICMP request” sent and number of packets “ICMP reply” received.</li> <li>4. Stop monitoring probes</li> <li>5. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”.</li> </ol>

### 3.7.2 Throughput Reliability

Test Case		TC_Rel_Thr_e2e	Reliability
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>Reliability (Throughput)</b></p> <p>Generalized: reliability is “the probability that a system will provide the specified service until time t [...]” [Kopetz, Real-time systems, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Springer 2011, p.10]. In the context of network layer packet transmission, reliability relates to a percentage value of the amount of <i>successfully delivered</i> packets within the time constraint by the targeted service. For a unidirectional end-to-end application, this can also be seen as a percentage of sending rate, of the rate of <i>successfully received</i> packets at the receiving endpoint.</p> <p>For typical multimedia applications it is relevant to investigate the receiving rate (of successfully received packets) not only for a single time span but for an uninterrupted series of time spans. Thus, not only the successful receiving of single packets is relevant but the rate of successfully received packets becomes the “specified service”. Therefore, the reliability towards throughput of a to-be-</p>		

	<p>transferred stream of data packets extends the (basic) reliability tests towards a series of consecutively executed reliability tests.</p> <p>Furthermore, while the (basic) reliability test refers to network layer and leverages ICMP packets, this KPI moves observation from network layer to transport layer and leverages transport layer protocol TCP.</p>																		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>To allow measuring the reliability of a data stream’s throughput, a stream of data packets is sent from a source endpoint to a destination endpoint with a constant (statically configured) send rate. At the destination endpoint, the receive rate of successfully received packets is measured over time. Therefore, over time, the receiving rate (of successfully received packets) is recorded for a series of equally spaced time intervals.</p> <p>The data packets for the measured data stream are TCP packets – segments – of a certain statically configured size. The actual data packet payload is not relevant to the test.</p> <p>The packet data stream is created using the iPerf2 tool [iPerf.fr].</p> <p>The test case has to be repeated over a configured amount of repetitions (at least 25).</p>																		
3	<p><i>Parameters</i></p> <p>The test case shall include the execution for several selectable data rates (or: bandwidth limits). Whereas, for each selected rate a number of repetitions of tests need to be executed. Each execution includes the following parameters.</p> <table><tr><th><i>Parameter Description</i></th><th><i>Option</i></th><th><i>Suggested Value</i></th></tr><tr><td>Throughput measurement interval (in seconds)</td><td>--interval</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>Bandwidth limitation set to above the maximum bandwidth available</td><td>--b</td><td>1M, 10M, 100M, 1G, 10G</td></tr><tr><td>Iteration duration (in seconds)</td><td>--time</td><td>3600</td></tr><tr><td>Number of iterations</td><td>n/a</td><td>At least 25</td></tr><tr><td>Format to report iPerf results in (report in Mbits/sec)</td><td>--format</td><td>m</td></tr></table>	<i>Parameter Description</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Suggested Value</i>	Throughput measurement interval (in seconds)	--interval	1	Bandwidth limitation set to above the maximum bandwidth available	--b	1M, 10M, 100M, 1G, 10G	Iteration duration (in seconds)	--time	3600	Number of iterations	n/a	At least 25	Format to report iPerf results in (report in Mbits/sec)	--format	m
<i>Parameter Description</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Suggested Value</i>																	
Throughput measurement interval (in seconds)	--interval	1																	
Bandwidth limitation set to above the maximum bandwidth available	--b	1M, 10M, 100M, 1G, 10G																	
Iteration duration (in seconds)	--time	3600																	
Number of iterations	n/a	At least 25																	
Format to report iPerf results in (report in Mbits/sec)	--format	m																	
4	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>For each run of a configured input rate (1M, ..., 10G) a series of measurements is taken. Each series will consist of measurements of the receiving data rate at equally distant time intervals. For all taken measurements of a single, selected input rate limit, a constant fragmentation into measurement buckets is taken as follows:</p>																		



	Output:					
	<i>Measurement Bucket</i>	<i>1M</i>	<i>10M</i>	<i>100M</i>	<i>1G</i>	<i>10G</i>
	B1 – T < X	0.1M	1M	10M	0.1G	1G
	B2 – T < X	0.2M	2M	20M	0.2G	2G
	B3 – T < X	0.3M	3M	30M	0.3G	3G
	B4 – T < X	0.4M	4M	40M	0.4G	4G
	B5 – T < X	0.5M	5M	50M	0.5G	5G
	B6 – T < X	0.6M	6M	60M	0.6G	6G
	B7 – T < X	0.7M	7M	70M	0.7G	7G
	B8 – T < X	0.8M	8M	80M	0.8G	8G
	B9 – T < X	0.9M	9M	90M	0.9G	9G
	B10 – T <= X	1M	10M	100M	1G	10G
Hence, for each series of measurements (one selected data rate), all taken measurements are distributed over the measurement buckets.						
Note: The receiving data rate is only based on successfully received packets.						
5	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Average RSRP (if available)</li> <li>• Average RSRQ (if available)</li> <li>• Average RSSI (if available)</li> <li>• Average SINR (if available)</li> <li>• Modulation scheme (if available)</li> <li>• Number of MAC retransmissions (if available)</li> </ul> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>					
6	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scenario has been configured.</li> <li>• The traffic generator should support the generation of the traffic pattern defined in the “Parameters” Section.</li> <li>• Ensure that all undesired background traffic is not present during the test</li> </ul> <p>Ensure that all desired background traffic is present during the test (i.e. all expected path condition that may influence the reliability of the rate of the to-be-measured data stream).</p>					
7	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The measurement probes need to be capable of injecting traffic into the system as well as determining the throughput of the transmission.</p>					

8	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start monitoring probes (deployment of probes running iPerf client and server).</li> <li>2. Using the traffic generator, begin transmitting from the client probe to the server probe, as described in <i>Section "Parameters"</i>.</li> <li>3. Record the Throughput for each time interval within a trial.</li> <li>4. Stop the traffic generator.</li> <li>5. Stop monitoring probes</li> <li>6. Calculate and record the KPIs as needed per iteration as defined in "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 6 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</p>
---	---

## 3.8 Service Creation Time

### 3.8.1 Service Creation Time for deploying virtual instruments on a single compute host

Test Case	TC_SCT_VMDeploymen_BER	Service Creation Time
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Service Creation Time for deploying virtual instruments on a single compute host</b></p> <p>This KPI refers to the time needed to deploy a single virtual machine (VM), which may act as virtual instruments, on a single compute machine. The main intend is to evaluate the capability of the system to deploy a service (VNFs) on an existing, i.e. previously deployed, 4G/5G Network. Since the test case mandates that both VMs are deployed on the same compute host, i.e. in a single availability zone, potential effects of deploying VNFs in different zones do not impact the results.</p> <p>For this test, a simple VM is deployed. To assure correct deployment of a service offered by the VM, a "ping" is triggered from that machine towards a remote host. The latter step not being part of the time measured. As such, the deployed VNF offers the service "reachability test".</p> <p>Source A → Virtual Machine / VNF deployed</p> <p>Destination B → Remote server in the testbed or outside the testbed</p> <p>Underlying system → OpenStack</p> <p>Layer → Network layer</p>	

2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For measuring the service creation time, the deployment of a single VM (Debian-9-based) is triggered by the orchestrator of the testbed. The time between triggering the deployment process and the indication of a successful deployment is measured.</p> <p>After the deployment, the VM is used to conduct a “ping” (offered service) originating at the deployed VM towards any reachable remote host. Since the duration of this service test is not part of the measurement, the specification on how to parameterize the “ping” is out of scope of this test case.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → deployment of the single VNF / VM</li> <li>• Number of iterations → At least 25 iterations</li> </ul>
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The average Service Creation Time shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Deployment Success Rate in % (number of deployments, which resulted in a successful ping afterwards).</p>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>A deployed and working OpenStack facilitating the deployment of VNFs / VMs via an orchestrator (e.g. OpenBaton or OSM).</p> <p>An existing remote server that can be used to conduct the service test, i.e. to ping.</p> <p>No other VMs / VNFs are deployed on the compute hosted on which the single VNF / VM for this test case is deployed.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>This test case applies for all scenarios as it assumes an underlying network infrastructure to deploy the VNFs/VMs in.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Trigger the deployment of the VNF/VM from the orchestrator, or from experiment coordinator (TAP) on top of the latter.</li> <li>2. Wait for a response indicating successful deployment</li> <li>3. Calculate the deployment time (time between steps 1 and 2)</li> <li>4. Verify that the deployed service is working, i.e. ping the remote machine from the deployed VM; and record if this test is successful or fails. Note: a test case defined for the e2e RTT may be used for this. In that case, only 1 iteration and 1 replica is needed as the actual RTT value is not recorded.</li> <li>5. Delete VNF (In order to have the same conditions in each iteration the VNF deployed</li> </ol>

	should be removed)
	6. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for each one of the 25 iterations
	7. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”.

### 3.8.2 Service Creation Time of 5G end-to-end connectivity service

Test Case	TC_SCT_5GConnSliceInstantiation	Service Creation Time
	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>Service Creation Time of 5G end-to-end connectivity service</b></p> <p>This Service Creation Time test aims to assess the duration of an 5G end-to-end connectivity service instantiation.</p> <p>1 For this test, the service instantiation process includes the creation of a network slice that contains a vEPC (Core Network instance) deployment, inside a virtualized infrastructure followed by the proper configuration to achieve mobile network connection in a “sliced” environment.</p> <p>The final “Service Creation Time” KPI metrics are collected. The time between triggering the deployment process and the indication of a successful configuration is measured.</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer</p>	
	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>2 For measuring the service creation time, the creation of a Network Slice and the deployment of a vEPC instance is triggered by the experimenter through the orchestrator of the testbed. After the deployment phase, all network elements (WAN, Radio) are configured by the Network Management System (NMS) to provide the 5G connectivity service and provide users with internet connectivity.</p> <p>The Slice Manager records timestamps to measure the duration of the slice deployment process.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → An instantiation of a Slice Network Service</li> <li>• Number of iterations → 25 iterations of connectivity service instantiation</li> </ul>	
	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>3 The average Service Creation Time shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>	
	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>4 Deployment Success Rate in % (number of deployments, which resulted in a successful ping afterwards).</p>	

5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A deployed and working VIM (e.g. Openstack) facilitating the deployment of VNFs / VMs via an NFVO (e.g. OpenBaton or OSM).</li> <li>• Deployed and working open5Genesis platform components (Portal, ELCM, Slice Manager, NMS)</li> </ul>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>This test case applies to the measurement of Service Creation Time KPI during the instantiation of 5G end-to-end connectivity service running on top of a network slice.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Create an experiment through the Portal to run the Service Creation Time Test Case and upload the Network Slice Template (NST) that specifies the network slice requirements and SLAs. Trigger the experiment.</li> <li>2. The Slice Manager receives the request from the ELCM to instantiate the 5G end-to-end connectivity service.</li> <li>3. A slice containing the vEPC instance is created inside the virtual infrastructure.</li> <li>4. Network elements configuration is done by the NMS and service becomes operational</li> <li>5. The slice manager provides the results to the ELCM.</li> <li>6. Repeat steps 2 to 5 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>7. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> </ol>

### 3.8.3 WiFi Service Creation Time

Test Case	TC_WiFi_SCT_e2e	Service Creation Time (SCT)
1	<p><b>Description of the target KPI</b></p> <p>Service Creation Time: The time required for the provision, deployment, configuration and activation of a Wi-Fi Slice, including all the physical and virtual components that are part of the service. This is, the elapsed time between a new Wi-Fi Slice request is received in the Wi-Fi Slice Controller and the moment the service is up and running.</p>	
2	<p><b>Methodology</b></p> <p>A new Wi-Fi Slice request is sent to the Wi-Fi Slice Controller (WSC) in which the request timestamp (<math>t_{req}</math>) is measured. Then, the WSC notifies the AP Manager with the required information to set up the Wi-Fi Slice. Meanwhile, the monitoring service (WSAM) is actively monitoring the AP Manager via SNMP to grab information on the deployment status (also receives trap notifications from the AP Manager when an event occurs). When the Wi-Fi Slice is up and running (<math>t_{up}</math>), the WSAM shall receive the ending timestamp, and thus, the SCT can be calculated:</p> $SCT = t_{up} - t_{req}$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Repeat Wi-Fi Slice creation until at least one AP has no more slice slots available.</li> <li>Repeat the whole test case N times.</li> </ul>	
3	<p><b>Calculation process and output</b></p> <p>The required output should be calculated according to the following methodology:</p> <p>Within each iteration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On each Wi-Fi Slice creation request, record the starting and ending time and evaluate the SCT as defined in the formula above. Save the result in InfluxDB.</li> <li>When AP is at capacity, calculate the average SCT and the standard deviation.</li> </ul> <p>For all N full iterations:</p> <p>Evaluate the average and standard deviation values of all recorded SCT values.</p>	
4	<p><b>Pre-conditions</b></p> <p>The InfluxDB database must be capable of saving Wi-Fi Slice information, including timestamps for slice request, creation and deletion. Also, it will be used to save the results of the test case execution. The APs must contain no Wi-Fi Slice already deployed upon each test case iteration start.</p>	
5	<p><b>Applicability</b></p> <p>The WSC needs to be capable of requesting the APM the creation of Wi-Fi Slices, and the APM needs to be capable of configuring the APs in the zone with the information provided. The WSAM needs to be capable of monitoring the required metrics in the APM to evaluate the average SCT and the standard deviation.</p>	
6	<p><b>Test Case Sequence</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0. AP Manager and at APs should be up and running with no already deployed Wi-Fi Slice.</li> <li>1. Start Wi-Fi Slice Controller (WSC)</li> <li>2. Start InfluxDB and Wi-Fi Slice Analytics Monitor (WSAM)</li> <li>3. Launch Wi-Fi Slice creation request</li> </ol>	

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>4. Evaluate SCT in WSAM (when <math>t_{up}</math> is detected) and save it to InfluxDB.</li><li>5. Repeat steps 3-4 until APs reach capacity (APs run out of Wi-Fi Slice slots).</li><li>6. Repeat the whole sequence N times</li></ol>
--	---

## 3.9 Throughput

### 3.9.1 TCP Throughput

Test Case		TC_THR_Tcp	Throughput	
1	<p>Target KPI</p> <p>TCP Throughput</p> <p>The Throughput calibration test aims to assess the measurement capabilities of the measurement system employed in future Throughput tests.</p> <p>Measurement probes may be virtualized or physical. In case of virtualized probes, all probes shall be instantiated on the same (physical) host. In case of physical probes, the latter shall be preferably directly connected.</p> <p>Source of packets → measurement probe acting as traffic generator</p> <p>Destination of packets → measurement probe acting as recipient</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Network components (if applicable) between the source and the destination</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer</p>			
	<p>Methodology</p> <p>For measuring Throughput, a packet stream is emitted from a source and received by a data sink (destination). The amount of data (Byte) successfully transmitted per unit of time (seconds) as measured by the traffic generator and the probes shall be recorded.</p> <p>A TCP-based traffic stream is created between the source and the destination using the iPerf3 tool. [iPerf.fr]; to reduce impacts of TCP slow-start algorithm, the first 20 s of a measurement are discarded.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Duration of a single iteration → at least three (3) minutes, where the first 20 seconds of measurements are discarded.</li><li>• Records throughput over 5-second intervals within an iteration.</li><li>• Number of replica → At least 25 iterations.</li></ul>			
	<p>Parameters</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following parameters:</p>			
	3	Parameter	iPerf3 Option	Suggested Value
		Throughput measurement interval	--interval	5
		Number of simultaneously transmitting probes/ processes/ threads	--parallel	1
Bandwidth limitation set to unlimited		n/a	Unlimited is the default	



			for iPerf for TCP
	Omit first n seconds of the test to skip TCP slowstart	--omit	20
	Iteration duration	--time	180
	Number of iterations	n/a	At least 25
	Format to report iPerf results in (report in Mbits/sec)	--format	m
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>		
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PL Rate</li> </ul> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>		
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The scenario has been configured.</li> <li>The traffic generator should support the generation of the traffic pattern defined in the Throughput traffic patterns section.</li> <li>Ensure that no undesired traffic is present during the test.</li> </ul>		
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The measurement probes need to be capable of injecting traffic into the system and assessing successful or unsuccessful transmission of the data, as well as determining the throughput of the transmission.</p>		
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Start monitoring probes (deployment of probes running iPerf client and server).</li> <li>Using the traffic generator, begin transmitting from the client probe to the server probe, as defined in Section "Parameters".</li> <li>Record the Throughput for each time interval within a trial.</li> <li>Stop the traffic generator.</li> <li>Stop monitoring probes</li> <li>Calculate and record the KPIs as needed per iteration as defined in "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>Repeat steps 1 to 6 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output"</li> </ol>		

### 3.9.2 UDP Throughput

Test Case		TC_THR_Udp	Throughput
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>UDP Throughput</b></p> <p>The UDP Throughput test aims at assessing the maximum throughput of a network system.</p> <p>Measurement probes may be virtualized or physical. In case of virtualized probes, all probes shall be instantiated on the same (physical) host. In case of physical probes, the latter shall be preferably directly connected.</p> <p>Source of packets → measurement probe acting as traffic generator.</p> <p>Destination of packets → measurement probe acting as recipient.</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Network components between the source and destination.</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer.</p>		
	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For measuring Throughput, a packet stream is emitted from a source and received by a data sink (destination). The amount of data (Byte) successfully transmitted per unit of time (seconds) as measured by the traffic generator and the probes shall be recorded.</p> <p>For consistency among calibration tests, a UDP-based traffic stream is created between the source and the destination using the iPerf2 tool. [iPerf.fr]</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → at least three (3) minutes.</li> <li>• Records throughput over 5-second intervals within an iteration.</li> <li>• Number of replicas → At least 25 iterations.</li> </ul>		
3	<p><i>Parameters</i></p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following parameters.</p>		
	<b>Parameter</b>	<b>iPerf Option</b>	<b>Suggested Value</b>
	Throughput measurement interval	--interval	5
	Number of simultaneously transmitting probes/ processes/ threads	--parallel	4 (in order to reach higher data rate)
	Bandwidth limitation set to above the maximum bandwidth available	--b	Depends on the maximum theoretical throughput available in the network
	Iteration duration	--time	180
	Number of iterations	n/a	At least 25

	Format to report iPerf results in (report in Mbits/sec)	--format	m
4	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>		
5	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Note: PL rate is not recorded because constant traffic in excess of the available capacity will be used and the excess will be discarded, as expected.</p>		
6	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scenario has been configured.</li> <li>• The traffic generator should support the generation of the traffic pattern defined in the “Parameters” Section.</li> <li>• Ensure that no undesired traffic is present during the test.</li> </ul>		
7	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The measurement probes need to be capable of injecting traffic into the system as well as determining the throughput of the transmission.</p>		
8	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start monitoring probes (deployment of probes running iPerf client and server).</li> <li>2. Using the traffic generator, begin transmitting from the client probe to the server probe, as described in <i>Section “Parameters”</i>.</li> <li>3. Record the Throughput for each time interval within a trial.</li> <li>4. Stop the traffic generator.</li> <li>5. Stop monitoring probes</li> <li>6. Calculate and record the KPIs as needed per iteration as defined in “Calculation process and output”.</li> <li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 6 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>8. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”.</li> </ol>		

### 3.9.3 WiFi RAN Modelling

Test Case	TC_WiFi_Th_Rel_DoU	Wi-Fi RAN Modelling
1	<p><b>Description of the target KPI</b></p> <p>The Wi-Fi Radio Access Network Modelling is a composed KPI consisting of the achievable throughput and the reliability of the network in terms of packet losses, retries, etc.</p> <p>The throughput is defined as the data (payload) successfully transferred within a given time period from a data source to a data sink.</p> <p>The reliability, within the Wi-Fi segment, is the ability of the elements in the network to perform its intended function for a specified interval under stated conditions.</p>	
2	<p><b>Methodology</b></p> <p>A set of 5G capable user devices are ready to gain access to the same Wi-Fi Slice, and right after the connection, they proceed with the exchange of high volumes of data (i.e.: A big file (TCP), a video streaming (UDP), a VoIP call...).</p> <p>Meanwhile, the WSAM actively monitors the APM via SNMP to grab information on transmitted and received traffic, packet losses, retries, number of clients connected to the APs, etc. All collected metrics during the test are processed and saved in InfluxDB.</p> <p>In several iterations and variations of this test, we could determine the grade of service that can be offered throughout the Wi-Fi access network for any other UE in similar conditions to the ones experimented.</p>	
3	<p><b>Calculation process and output</b></p> <p>Each combination of conditions (distance from AP in terms of the registered RSSI values of the concrete UE in observation, and different grades of network usage by other clients in the same AP) produce a set of results that, in various iterations under the same conditions, could help evaluate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The peak and average throughput (and deviation) for each case.</li> <li>• Rates of packet loss, retries, dropped packets for each case.</li> </ul> <p>Thus, providing the grade of service the Wi-Fi network can provide. If we wanted to ensure a minimum range of quality conditions, we could use the output of this test to determine the required deployment of APs in a concrete zone.</p>	
4	<p><b>Pre-conditions</b></p> <p>All participant UEs should connect to one (and the same) WiFi Slice and perform the expected behavior (downloading or sending data).</p> <p>There should be a maximum of two WiFi Slices up and running, both devoted to the test, to avoid interferences and the influence of nonparticipants also using the network.</p> <p>The database (InfluxDB) must be capable of saving periodic measurements as well as the processed results, to be operated in the WSAM.</p>	

5	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Applicability</i></b></p> <p>The participant APs need to be capable of processing the devices' requests to access the 5GC network (delegating the request to the Wi-Fi Slice Manager and establishing tunnels to the N3IWF for the matter).</p> <p>The WSAM needs to be capable of monitoring the required metrics in the APM to offer the evolution of the KPIs in the different test configurations throughout the execution of the whole test case iterations.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Test Case Sequence</i></b></p> <p>0. AP manager and APs should be up and running with at least two active Wi-Fi Slices.</p> <p>1. Start database server</p> <p>1'. Start Wi-Fi Slice Controller</p> <p>1''. Start WSAM monitoring service</p> <p>2. All participant UEs should be ready to connect and execute their task (downloads, streaming, video calls) right away.</p> <p>3. Start the scheduled Wi-Fi Slice un/deployments, capabilities reconfiguration, and register all the events and their timestamps.</p> <p>4. After a maximum test duration (<math>t_{out} = 30 \text{ min}</math>). Stop monitoring and reconfigure for the next iteration.</p> <p>5. Repeat steps 2-4 to cover all configurations</p> <p>6. Repeat each configuration N times</p>

## 3.10 Ubiquity/Coverage

Note: Ubiquity and Coverage are terms that are used interchangeably.

### 3.10.1 RAN Coverage

Test Case		TC_UBI_RANCoverage	RAN Coverage
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>Ubiquity/Coverage</b></p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>While coverage measurements, in a degree, depend on the specific service/application to be considered, for the tests in 5GENESIS we will use a simple approach involving ICMP ECHO messages to verify basic data connectivity.</p> <p>ICMP ECHO messages are exchanged on E2E basis between a couple of measurement probes (virtual or physical) installed at i) the UE and ii) behind the core network (EPC/5GC) respectively.</p> <p>In any case, the probe at the UE will issue an ICMP ECHO request and the probe at the core will reply with an ICMP ECHO reply. 1400-byte packets will be used, according to TD_ICMP_1408. One iteration consists of 100 consecutive requests, sent at a rate of 2 requests/sec.</p> <p>ICMP PL will be measured at the request issuer (UE).</p> <p>Measurements are taken into various UE locations (see later “Test case sequence”).</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>We consider <math>n</math> measurements at <math>n</math> different locations (see below “Test case sequence”). For each location <math>i</math>, we perform a measurement iteration and we assume:</p> <p><math>x_i = 0</math> if the location is considered out of coverage, i.e. ICMP PL is above a specified threshold (we assume 5%)</p> <p><math>x_i = 1</math> if the location is considered in coverage, i.e. ICMP PL is below a specified threshold (we assume 5%).</p> <p>Then the coverage KPI (as percentage) is calculated as</p> $C = \frac{1}{n} \sum_n x_i \cdot 100\%$		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Along with PL, ICMP RTT (average, min, max and standard deviation) will be recorded.</p> <p>Also, RSSI and RSRQ will be measured, both at the UE and the eNB/gNB.</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The following pre-conditions should apply prior to the beginning of the tests:</p>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The network shall operate properly.</li> <li>• The network will serve no other user traffic (verified in eNB/gNB monitoring)</li> <li>• A single UE will be connected</li> </ul> <p>Exact RAN parameters and configuration (antenna gain, band, bandwidth, other PHY configuration parameters) etc. will depend on the actual setup/scenario, yet they should be consistent across all tests for the specific scenario.</p>
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>N/A</p>
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Divide the area under consideration into a grid of equally spaced locations</li> <li>2. With the gNB/eNB fixed, move the UE in location <math>i</math></li> <li>3. Perform a measurement iteration.</li> <li>4. The location is considered out of coverage, i.e. ICMP PL is above a specified threshold (we assume 5%), it is considered in coverage otherwise.</li> <li>5. Repeat steps (2-4) for all locations in the area</li> <li>6. Calculate coverage as defined above ("Calculation process").</li> </ol>

### 3.10.2 Backhaul Coverage

	Test Case	TC_UBI_BHCoverage	Backhaul coverage
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Ubiquity/Coverage</b></p> <p>The backhaul coverage test addresses cases where a wide-area wireless backhaul network is used to support a mobile 5G access network (“hotspot”).</p>		
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>While coverage measurements, in a degree, depend on the specific service/application to be considered, for the tests in 5GENESIS we will use a simple approach involving ICMP ECHO messages to verify basic data connectivity.</p> <p>ICMP ECHO messages are exchanged on E2E basis between a couple of measurement probes (virtual or physical) installed at i) the UE and ii) behind the core network (EPC/5GC) respectively.</p> <p>In any case, the probe at the UE will issue an ICMP ECHO request and the probe at the core will reply with an ICMP ECHO reply. 1400-byte packets will be used, according to TD_ICMP_1408. One iteration consists of 100 consecutive requests, sent at a rate of 2 requests/sec.</p> <p>ICMP PL will be measured at the request issuer (UE).</p> <p>In the backhaul coverage test, we consider the UE to be co-located with the (mobile) eNB/gNB in order to secure RAN coverage and to exclude outages which may be due to poor RAN signal. We assume the UE to be at a distance of max. 1m from the eNB/gNB, under LoS conditions.</p> <p>That is, the UE moves around together with the mobile 5G remote network (hotspot).</p> <p>Measurements are taken into various hotspot locations (see later “Test case sequence”).</p>		
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>We consider <math>n</math> measurements at <math>n</math> different locations (see below “Test case sequence”) of the remote 5G network (hotspot). For each location <math>i</math>, we perform a measurement iteration and we assume:</p> <p><math>x_i = 0</math> if the location is considered out of coverage, i.e. ICMP PL is above a specified threshold (we assume 5%).</p> <p><math>x_i = 1</math> if the location is considered in coverage, i.e. ICMP PL is below a specified threshold (we assume 5%).</p> <p>Then the backhaul coverage KPI (as percentage) is calculated as</p> $C = \frac{1}{n} \sum_n x_i \cdot 100\%$		
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Along with packet loss, ICMP round trip time (average, min, max and standard deviation) will be recorded.</p> <p>Also, RSSI and RSRQ (or equivalent values, depending on the wireless backhaul technology) will be</p>		



	measured, at both radio units of the wireless backhaul link.
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The following pre-conditions should apply prior to the beginning of the tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The network shall operate properly.</li> <li>• The network will serve no other user traffic (verified in eNB/gNB monitoring).</li> <li>• A single UE will be connected.</li> <li>• The UE will be stationary and at a distance of 1 m from the eNB/gNB antenna, at line-of-sight conditions (i.e. the RAN is considered stable).</li> </ul> <p>Exact backhaul parameters and configuration (antenna gain, band, bandwidth, other PHY configuration parameters) etc. will depend on the actual setup/scenario, yet they should be consistent across all tests for the specific scenario.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">N/A</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Divide the area of the wireless backhaul network coverage under consideration into a grid of equally spaced locations. The backhaul radio node which is connected to the core network side is considered fixed.</li> <li>2. Move the second backhaul radio node, along with the entire 5G hotspot and the UE, in location <math>i</math>. If necessary, re-align the backhaul network antennas.</li> <li>3. Perform a measurement iteration.</li> <li>4. The location is considered out of backhaul coverage, i.e. ICMP PL is above a specified threshold (we assume 5%), it is considered in coverage otherwise.</li> <li>5. Repeat steps (2-4) for all locations in the area</li> <li>6. Calculate backhaul coverage as defined above ("Calculation process").</li> </ol>

### 3.10.3 NB-IoT RAN Coverage

Test Case	TC_UBI_NBIoTRAN	Ubiquity
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>NB-IoT RAN Coverage</b></p> <p>The aim of this Test Case is to assess NB-IoT signal coverage and availability in heterogeneous scenarios (e.g., deep indoor, indoor, and outdoor). Hence, the Test Case comprises passive measurements of the level of signal strength and quality of the NB-IoT Reference Signal. The latter is transmitted in downlink by NB-IoT-enabled cellular eNBs and corresponding cells.</p> <p>The target KPI is the Narrowband Reference Signal Received Power (RSRP [dBm]). Complementary metrics are given in Section 4 of this Test Case.</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>Once a scenario under test is chosen (e.g., deep indoor, indoor, or outdoor), a measurement site complying with this scenario must be selected (e.g., a (deep) indoor location or an outdoor path).</p> <p>In the selected measurement site, the following operations have to be executed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Configure a spectrum scanner to i) perform frequency sweeps on cellular licenced spectrum portions, and ii) detect-and-decode NB-IoT signals in guard-band, in-band, or stand-alone operation modes.</li> <li>• Enable the scanner to collect samples for both target KPI and complementary metrics, for at least 5 minutes with no interruption.</li> </ul> <p>The above procedures identify a <b>measurement campaign</b> for the scenario under test.</p> <p>In order to provide reliable statistics for the scenario under test, the same measurement campaign has to be repeated either at the same site several times and/or at different sites complying with the scenario under test. At least 10 measurement campaigns are suggested.</p>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>Given a measurement campaign (as defined in Section 2 of this Test Case), the highest NB-IoT RSRP perceived among multiple eNBs (and corresponding cells) is extracted either for each frequency sweep (in case of a static campaign) or for each measurement location (in case of a mobile campaign).</p> <p>The average of the extracted values is evaluated and identifies the campaign average RSRP.</p> <p>The statistical indicators of the campaign average RSRP identify the Test Case outputs. In particular, Min, Max, Average, Median, 5% and 95% Percentiles are required for assessing the Test Case results.</p> <p>If the test is performed on operational networks, the above calculation has to be executed by differentiating eNBs and cells belonging to different operators' RAN infrastructures.</p>	

4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Besides NB-IoT RSRP, the collection of NB-IoT SINR and NB-IoT RSRQ is suggested for a complete assessment of NB-IoT coverage. These two measurements follow the same calculation process defined for the target KPI (see Section 3 of this Test Case) and are presented in the same way.</p> <p>If available, it is also suggested the parallel collection of the same parameters for the technology currently in use for eMBB services (e.g., LTE, LTE-A, or 5G NR). This enables the assessment of NB-IoT coverage enhancement with respect to eMBB technologies.</p> <p>Depending on the scenario under test, the measurement setup may include a Global Positioning System (GPS) antenna to keep track of the locations at which the measurements are performed (e.g., for stationary indoor tests the GPS may be avoided, while it is beneficial for mobile outdoor campaigns).</p>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scenario under test is selected along with the measurement site(s).</li> <li>• The scanner is configured and operative, enabling the collection of the target KPI and complementary metrics.</li> <li>• If included in the measurement setup, the GPS is operative and enables location estimation and tracking.</li> </ul>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The scanner must have the detecting-and-decoding capabilities for all possible NB-IoT operation modes (see Section 2 of this Test Case).</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Place the scanner in the selected measurement site (if the campaign is mobile, the scanner is carried around by a human surveyor while walking or driving).</li> <li>2. Configure and activate the scanner for the collection of the metrics (at least 5 minutes).</li> <li>3. Deactivate the scanner when the collection is terminated.</li> <li>4. Repeat 1-3 for all the measurement sites complying with the scenario under test (at least 10).</li> <li>5. Extract the collected metrics and perform the calculation process reported in Section 3 of this Test Case.</li> </ol>

### 3.10.4 MCL-Based Coverage

Test Case Template		TC_COVERAGE_DL_SURREY	Coverage										
1	<i>Description of the target KPI</i>												
	<p>The target KPI is the Maximum Coupling Loss (MaxCL), which is defined in 3GPP TR 38913.</p> <p>The coupling loss is defined as the total long-term channel loss over the link between the UE antenna ports and the gNB antenna ports, and includes in practice antenna gains, path loss, shadowing, body loss, etc. The MaxCL is the limit value of the coupling loss at which the service can be delivered, and therefore defines the coverage of the service. The MaxCL is independent of the carrier frequency. It is defined in the UL and DL as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- UL MaxCL = UL Max Tx power - gNB Sensitivity</li><li>- DL MaxCL = DL Max Tx power - UE Sensitivity</li></ul> <p>The MaxCL is evaluated via link budget analysis (supported by link level measurements).</p> <p>The following assumptions are used.</p>												
	<p><b>MaxCL calculation assumptions</b></p>												
	<table><tr><td>UE Tx power</td><td>23dBm</td></tr><tr><td>DL Tx power</td><td>46dBm</td></tr><tr><td>gNB receiver noise figure</td><td>5dB</td></tr><tr><td>UE receiver noise figure</td><td>9dB</td></tr><tr><td>Interference margin</td><td>0dB</td></tr></table>			UE Tx power	23dBm	DL Tx power	46dBm	gNB receiver noise figure	5dB	UE receiver noise figure	9dB	Interference margin	0dB
	UE Tx power	23dBm											
DL Tx power	46dBm												
gNB receiver noise figure	5dB												
UE receiver noise figure	9dB												
Interference margin	0dB												
<p>NB. For a basic MBB service characterized by a downlink data rate of 2Mb/s and an uplink data rate of 60kbps for stationary users, the target on maximum coupling loss is 140dB. For mobile users a downlink data rate of 384kbps is acceptable.</p> <p>NB. For a basic MBB service characterized by a downlink data rate of 1Mb/s and an uplink data rate of 30kb/s for stationary users, the target on maximum coupling loss is 143dB. At this coupling loss relevant downlink and uplink control channels should also perform adequately.</p> <p>As the evaluation methodology, link budget and/or link level analysis are used for extreme long-distance coverage in low density areas.</p>													
2	<i>Methodology</i>												
<p>50 measurements per site conducted.</p> <p>5 sites were used during measurements.</p>													
3	<i>Calculation process and output</i>												
	<p><u>On Transmit side:</u></p> <p>(1a) Measure gNB Tx power e.g. 46 dBm</p> <p>(1b) Measure UE Tx power e.g. 46 dBm</p>												
	<p><u>On Receive side:</u></p> <p>(2) Set Thermal noise density to -174 dBm/Hz</p> <p>(3a) Set gNB Receiver noise figure to e.g. 5 dB (vendor provided)</p>												

- (3b) Set UE Receiver noise figure to e.g. 9 dB (vendor provided)
- (4) Set Interference margin to 0 dB.
- (5) Set Occupied channel bandwidth to 15 KHz (15000 Hz)
- (6a) Calculate Effective noise power @ gNB [using:  $= (2) + (3a) + (4) + 10 \log(5)$  ]
- (6b) Calculate Effective noise power @ UE [using:  $= (2) + (3b) + (4) + 10 \log(5)$  ]
- (7a) Measure Received SINR (dB) @ gNB e.g. 10 dB
- (7b) Measure Received SINR (dB) @ UE e.g. 20 dB
- (8a) Calculate Receiver sensitivity @ gNB = (6a) + (7a)
- (8b) Calculate Receiver sensitivity @ UE = (6b) + (7b)
- OUTPUT (MCL calculation steps):
- (9a) Calculate UL MaxCL, as = (1b) - (8a) (dB) = UL Tx power - gNB Sensitivity, e.g. 140.2 dB
- (9b) Calculate DL MaxCL, as = (1a) - (8b) (dB) = DL Tx power - UE Sensitivity, e.g. 149.2 dB

**MaxCL calculation template (w/ example values)**

Item	Value	units
<b>Transmitter</b>		
(1a) gNB Tx power	46	dBm
(1b) UE Tx power	23	dBm
<b>Receiver</b>		
(2) Thermal noise density	-174	dBm/Hz
(3a) gNB Receiver noise figure	5	dB
(3b) UE Receiver noise figure	9	dB
(4) Interference margin	0	dB
(5) Occupied channel bandwidth (Hz)	15,000.0	Hz
(6a) Effective noise power @ gNB = (2) + (3a) + (4) + 10 log(5)	-127.2	dBm
(6b) Effective noise power @ UE = (2) + (3b) + (4) + 10 log(5)	-123.2	dBm
(7a) Received SINR (dB) @ gNB	10.0	dB
(7b) Received SINR (dB) @ UE	20.0	dB
(8a) Receiver sensitivity @ gNB = (6a) + (7a)	-117.2	dBm
(8b) Receiver sensitivity @ UE = (6b) + (7b)	-103.2	dBm
<b>MCL</b>		
(9a) UL MaxCL = (1b) - (8a) (dB) = UL Tx power - gNB Sensitivity	140.2	dB
(9b) DL MaxCL = (1a) - (8b) (dB) = DL Tx power - UE Sensitivity	<b>149.2</b>	<b>dB</b>

- 4 *Complementary measurements*
- PDCP-level Throughput (Mb/s)
  - SINR (dB)
  - RSRP (dB)

- 5 *Pre-conditions*
- The following pre-conditions should apply prior to the beginning of the tests:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The network shall operate properly.</li> <li>• The network will serve no other user traffic (verified in eNB/gNB monitoring)</li> <li>• A single UE will be connected</li> </ul> <p>Exact RAN parameters and configuration (carrier freq., traffic/UE type, bandwidth, other PHY parameters, etc. ) are as depicted in table below.</p> <table> <tr> <td>Carrier frequency</td><td>2.6 GHz</td></tr> <tr> <td>Bandwidth (BW)</td><td>100 MHz</td></tr> <tr> <td>SCS (Subcarrier spacing)</td><td>15 kHz</td></tr> <tr> <td>Number of gNB TX chains</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr> <td>Number of gNB RX chains</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr> <td>Number of UE TX chains</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr> <td>Number of UE RX chains</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr> <td>UE velocity</td><td>3 km/h</td></tr> <tr> <td>Traffic type</td><td>UDP</td></tr> <tr> <td>UE type used (Commercial/CPE)</td><td>CPE</td></tr> </table>	Carrier frequency	2.6 GHz	Bandwidth (BW)	100 MHz	SCS (Subcarrier spacing)	15 kHz	Number of gNB TX chains	4	Number of gNB RX chains	4	Number of UE TX chains	1	Number of UE RX chains	4	UE velocity	3 km/h	Traffic type	UDP	UE type used (Commercial/CPE)	CPE
Carrier frequency	2.6 GHz																				
Bandwidth (BW)	100 MHz																				
SCS (Subcarrier spacing)	15 kHz																				
Number of gNB TX chains	4																				
Number of gNB RX chains	4																				
Number of UE TX chains	1																				
Number of UE RX chains	4																				
UE velocity	3 km/h																				
Traffic type	UDP																				
UE type used (Commercial/CPE)	CPE																				
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">N/A</p>																				
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. With the gNB fixed, move the UE/CPE to different locations (distances) from gNB</li> <li>2. Perform multiple measurements per gNB.</li> <li>3. Calculate coverage (MCL) as defined above ("Calculation process").</li> <li>4. The location is considered out of coverage, if calculated MCL is above the specified threshold (set to 140 dB), it is considered in coverage otherwise.</li> <li>5. Repeat steps (2-4) for all locations in the area</li> </ol>																				

## 3.11 Application Specific KPIs

### 3.11.1 MCPTT Access Time without call establishment

Test Case	TC_MCPTTAccessTime_MAL	MCPTT
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>MCPTT access time without Call Establishment</b></p> <p>The MCPTT access time is defined as the time between when an MCPTT User requests to speak and when this user gets a signal to start speaking. This time does not include confirmations from receiving users.</p> <p>Measurement probes may be virtualized or physical. In case of virtualized probes, all probes shall be instantiated on the same (physical) host. In case of physical probes, they shall, preferably, be directly connected. Experimenters should include as part of their test case description an illustration of the measurement system, including (if applicable) potential virtualization aspects.</p> <p>Source of floor control packets → measurement probe acting as client</p> <p>Destination of floor control packets → measurement probe acting as server</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Any network components between the probes</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>The measurement procedure only requires to have an ongoing call, and requesting and releasing the token.</p> <p>The request is logged when the MCPTT request event is created with the next message:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">KPI1_PERFORMANCE, TOKEN REQUEST, currentTime</p> <p>On the other hand, the granted state (in case the token is granted to the requested user after a token idle situation) is logged with the next message:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">KPI1_PERFORMANCE, TOKEN GRANTED, currentTime</p> <p>Using the logged timestamps, we can calculate the accurate time that MCPTT access takes.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single replica (iteration) → the duration has to ensure that at least 20 KPI1_PERFORMANCE, TOKEN REQUEST, currentTime are sent during a single replica (iteration), and that the same amount of KPI1_PERFORMANCE, TOKEN GRANTED, currentTime are responded.</li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → At least 25</li> </ul>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>	

4	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>In order to start the execution of this test, there must be an ongoing call.</p>
5	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>Measurement of 3GPP standardized MCPTT access time delay KPI.</p> <p>This test case must be executed using MCPTT 3GPP compliant UEs.</p>
6	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start the MCPTT system.</li> <li>2. Establish an MCPTT call.</li> <li>3. Perform the token request, in order to generate the desired messages, which will be recorded.</li> <li>4. Repeat the token or floor request and release procedure or finish the call.</li> <li>5. Calculate and record the average MCPTT access time per iteration as defined in "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>6. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>7. Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output".</li> </ol>

### 3.11.2 MCPTT E2E Access Time including call establishment


Test Case	TC_MCPTTAccessTimeIncCallEstablishment_MAL	MCPTT
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p><b>E2E MCPTT Access Time including Call Establishment</b></p> <p>According to the standard the E2E MCPTT Access time is defined as the time between when an MCPTT User requests to speak and when this user gets a signal to star speaking, including MCPTT call establishment and possibly acknowledgment from first receiving user before voice can be transmitted.</p> <p>Source of INVITE packet and destination of confirmation packet → measurement probe acting as client 1.</p> <p>Destination of INVITE packet and source of confirmation packet → measurement probe acting as client 2.</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Any network components between the probes.</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer.</p>	
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>In order to get the accurate time of the E2E MCPTT Access time delay we log the function that sends the INVITE and the one which receives the 200 OK relative to that INVITE from the MCPTT/MCS system, after the confirmation by the callee.</p> <p>To ensure that the 200 OK is the response to the sent INVITE by the caller we have logged the Command sequence (Cseq) field of the INVITE and 200 OK messages. For instance, in the following</p>	



	<p>way:</p> <p>KPI2_PERFORMANCE,INVITE,currentTime,cseq</p> <p>KPI2_PERFORMANCE,200 OK,currentTime,cseq</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Duration of a single replica (iteration) → the duration has to ensure that at least 20 KPI2_PERFORMANCE,INVITE,currentTime,cseq are sent during a single replica (iteration), and that the same amount of KPI2_PERFORMANCE,200 OK,currentTime,cseq are responded.</li> <li>Number of replica (iterations) → At least 25</li> </ul>
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The required results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The service MCPTT/MCS VNFs should be instantiated, the whole set of services up and running, the UE connected to an actual RAT that is able to reach the deployed VNFs going through a core network.</p>
5	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>Measurement of 3GPP standardized E2E MCPTT access time delay</p> <p>This test case must be executed using MCPTT 3GPP compliant UEs.</p>
6	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Start the MCPTT system.</li> <li>Establish an MCPTT call.</li> <li>Finish the call.</li> <li>Calculate and record the average E2E MCPTT access time per iteration as defined in "Calculation process and output".</li> <li>Repeat steps 1 to 3 for each one of the 25 iterations</li> <li>Compute the KPIs as defined in section "Calculation process and output"</li> </ol>

### 3.11.3 MCPTT Mouth-to-Ear Delay

Test Case	TC_MCPTTMouthtoEarDelay	MCPTT
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>MCPTT Mouth-to-Ear Delay</b></p> <p>The MCPTT mouth-to-ear delay is the time between an utterance by the transmitting user, and the playback of the utterance at the receiving user's speaker.</p> <p>Source of RTP packets → measurement probe acting as client A</p> <p>Destination of RTP packets → measurement probe acting as client B</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Any network components between the probes</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <div> </div> <p>Client A and B are placed next to each other providing that there is sufficient space so as to ensure no audio coupling. Next to the devices, a microphone is placed in order to capture the complete sound activity. Attached to the microphone, there is a need for a computer running a sound recording tool (e.g. Audacity).</p> <p>Once defined the measurement setup, it is important to define the procedure in order to be capable of gathering significant samples.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Client A plays the role of caller and client B does the same as a callee.</li> <li>2. Client A calls client B with implicit token request.</li> <li>3. The call is established and client A has the token. Client B enables the speaker (up to the measurement configuration but helps capture the incoming sound).</li> <li>4. Start recording in the audio recording tool.</li> <li>5. Through the client A terminal, we make a clear and fast sound (e.g. clap, whistle, snap fingers). This sound is captures by the microphone and recorded by the audio recording tool in the computer.</li> <li>6. The sound travels all the way until the client B. When the client B receives and plays the</li> </ol>	

	<p>sound, the microphone captures it and the audio recording tool stores it, being able to measure the time-gap between the sound creation event and the reception event (MCPTT mouth-to-ear delay).</p> <p>The next figure shows an example obtained with audacity while making a clear sound with a clap. Even though the audio recording tool also records echoes in the lab, it is clear that the delay is approximately 450ms (from slightly more than 7.6 s to slightly more than 8.05 s).</p>  <p style="text-align: center;">Mouth-to-ear delay (KPI 3)</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>↑</p> <p>Fast and clear sound (clap; whistle; snap fingers) in caller Client A microphone</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>↑</p> <p>Playback of the sound in callee Client B speakers</p> </div> </div> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several replica (iterations) according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single replica (iteration) → the duration has to ensure that at least 20 messages of each required type are sent during a single replica (iteration).</li> <li>• Number of replica (iterations) → At least 25.</li> </ul>
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The service MCPTT/MCS VNFs should be instantiated, the whole set of services up and running, the UE connected to an actual RAT that is able to reach the deployed VNFs going through a core network.</p>
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>Measurement of 3GPP standardized MCPTT mouth-to-ear delay</p> <p>This test case must be executed using MCPTT 3GPP compliant UEs.</p>
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Start the MCPTT system.</li> <li>2. Establish an MCPTT call.</li> <li>3. Talk to the microphone, in order to generate all the audio transmission and messages to be recorded for the measurement (as explained before)</li> <li>4. Finish the call.</li> <li>5. Calculate and record the average MCPTT mouth-to-ear delay per iteration as defined in “Calculation process and output”.</li> <li>6. Repeat steps 1 to 5 for each one of the 25 iterations.</li> <li>7. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”.</li> </ol>

### 3.11.4 Video Stream Jitter

Test Case	TC_JIT_VideoStreamJitter_MAL	VideoStreamJitter
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Video Stream Jitter</b></p> <p>The jitter test aims to assess the measurement capabilities of the measurement system employed in future jitter tests.</p> <p>Measurement probes may be virtualized or physical. In case of virtualized probes, all probes shall be instantiated on the same (physical) host. In case of physical probes, the latter shall be preferably directly connected.</p> <p>Source of packets → video transmitter</p> <p>Destination of packets → video receiver</p> <p>Underlying SUT → Network components between the source and destination</p> <p>Measurement conducted at layer → Application layer</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>For measuring jitter, a RTP (Real Time Protocol) stream is emitted from a source and received by a data sink (destination). The amount of data (Byte) successfully transmitted per unit of time (seconds) and received shall be recorded.</p> <p>The test case shall include the consecutive execution of several iterations according to the following properties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration of a single iteration → at least two (2) minutes.</li> <li>• Records RTP stream received.</li> <li>• Number of replica → At least 25 iterations.</li> </ul>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p> <p>Note: In each iteration, the jitter is calculated according to RFC 3550 (RTP).</p>	
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PL rate is recorded in order to detect jitter peaks due to packet lost.</li> <li>• Inter-packet delay</li> </ul> <p>The results shall be calculated according to the 5GENESIS Statistical Processing Methodology.</p>	
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scenario has been configured.</li> <li>• The traffic generator should support the generation RTP video traffic.</li> <li>• Ensure that no undesired traffic is present during the test.</li> </ul>	

6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>The measurement probes need to be capable of recording the traffic received.</p>
7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Start monitoring probes (deployment of probes running iPerf client and server).</li><li>2. Using the traffic generator, begin transmitting from the server to the client.</li><li>3. Record the traffic for each time interval within a trial.</li><li>4. Stop the traffic generator.</li><li>5. Stop monitoring probes.</li><li>6. Calculate and record the KPIs as needed per iteration as defined in “Calculation process and output”.</li><li>7. Repeat steps 1 to 6 for each one of the 25 iterations.</li><li>8. Compute the KPIs as defined in section “Calculation process and output”.</li></ol>

### 3.11.5 Packet Delay (HTTP POST)

Test Case		TC_IoT_PacketDelayHTTP_POST_SUR	Packet delay (app pov)
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'packet delays'. Under increasing load (various sampling rates), the server is able to receive, treat (decoding JSON and storing data in MySQL database) and answer all HTTP POST requests without accumulating delays.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>One IoT board using HTTP POST publishes 4 batches of data (resp. 1,10,100,1000). The average delay is calculated for each batch. We may apply additional delays if the performance is not acceptable with no sampling rate (typically 2 and 5sec).</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and outputs</i></p> <p>Average delay in handling a packet is calculated considering the time stamp at packet emission and time stamp at storage in the mySQL database, then averaged.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Check on packet loss (if any)</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The IoT board is configured with HTTP POST micro-python code only and set for sending data packets at various sampling rates. At the server side the HTTP server and mySQL database are up and running.</p>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>HTTP Server and mySQL database (empty)</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start mySQL database server, 2/ start HTTP Apache server, 3/ start the IoT board, 4/ start monitoring and performing measurement.</p>		

### 3.11.6 Packet Delay (MQTT)

Test Case		TC_IoT_PacketDelayMQTT_SUR_001	Packet delay (app pov)
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'packet delays'. Under increasing load (various sampling rates), the MQTT client at the server side is able to receive and treat (retrieving data from the queue and storing data in mySQL database) and answer all MQTT publish requests from the IoT boards without losing data</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>One IoT board using MQTT publishes 4 batches of data (resp. 1,10,100,1000). The average delay is calculated for each batch. We may apply additional delays if the performance are not acceptable with no sampling rates (typically 2 and 5sec).</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>Average delay in handling a packet is calculated considering the time stamp of emission and time stamp at storage in the mySQL database, then averaged.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Check on packet loss (if any)</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The IoT board is configured with MQTT micro-python code only and set for sending data packets at various sampling rates. At the server side the MQTT client and mySQL database are up and running.</p>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>HTTP Server and mySQL database (empty)</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start mySQL database server, 2/ start Mosquito, 3/ start the IoT board, 4/ start monitoring and performing measurement.</p>		

### 3.11.7 Packet Delay (CoAP)

Test Case		TC_IoT_PacketDelayCoAP_SUR	Packet delay (app pov)
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'packet delays'. Under increasing load (various sampling rates), the CoAP server is able to receive, treat (retrieving data from the queue and storing data in MySQL database) and answer all CoAP POST requests from the IoT boards without losing data.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>One IoT board using CoAP publishes 4 batches of data (resp. 1,10,100,1000). Collecting data from the IoT board sensors and sending it as one IoT packet takes approximately 1.1sec with a sampling rate equal to 0. The average delay is calculated for each batch. We may apply additional delays if the performance is not acceptable with 0 delay (typically 2 and 5sec).</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>Average delay in handling a packet is calculated considering the time stamp at emission and time stamp at storage in the MySQL database, then averaged.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Check on packet loss (if any)</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The IoT board is configured with CoAP micro-python code only and set for sending data packets at various sampling rates. At the server side the CoAP (CoAPthon based) server and MySQL database are up and running.</p>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>CoAP server and MySQL database (empty)</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start MySQL database server, 2/ start CoAP server, 3/ start the IoT board, 4/ start monitoring and performing measurement.</p>		



### 3.11.8 Packet Delay (MQTT over LoRA)

Test Case		TC_IoT_PacketDelayMQTToverLoRA_SUR	Packet delay (app pov)
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'packet loss'. Under increasing dataflow (various sampling rates), the LoRA-dedicated MQTT client is able to receive, treat (retrieving data from the queue and storing data in mySQL database) and handle all IoT packets received from the MQTT Subscribe without accumulating delays.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>One IoT board publishes 4 batches of IoT data (resp. 1,10,100,1000) using LoRA Send along the IoT board-ID topic. The average delay is calculated for each batch. We may apply additional delays if the performance are not acceptable with 0 delay (typically 2 and 5sec or more).</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>Average delay in handling a packet is calculated considering the time stamp at emission and time stamp at storage in the mySQL database, then averaged.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Check on packet loss (if any).</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The IoT board is configured with LoRA micro-python code. At the server side the LoRA-dedicated MQTT client and the MQTT server and mySQL database are up and running. The MQTT client has subscribed to the board-id topic and is configured with the needed TTN security credentials. The board payload (sent as an sequence of bytes) is decoded using a custom javascript decoder which translates the received sequence of bytes into the same JSON structure as used for the WIFI cases.</p>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>MQTT Server and MySQL database (empty)</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start mySQL database server, 2/ start MQTT server, 3/ start the IoT board, 4/ start monitoring and performing measurement.</p>		

### 3.11.9 Packet Delay and Packet Loss over WiFi

Test Case Template		<i>TC_IoT_PacketDelay_WIFI_SUR</i>	<i>Packet Delay, Packet Loss</i>
1	<p><i>Description of the target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'packet delays'. Under heavy load, the servers are able to receive, treat (decoding JSON and storing data in MySQL data base) and answer all 3 protocols requests without accumulating delays. WIFI access point is used.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>The three protocols (HTTP POST, MQTT and CoAP) are used relying on traditional WiFi Access Point for the radio. The number of JSON data packets per board is known in advance (i.e., 1500). Only one slice is used and each protocol is covered by 10 boards.</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>For each protocol, the average delay in handling a packet is calculated considering the time stamp of emission and time stamp at reception by the platform, then averaged.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Assess Packet Loss per protocol</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The Pysense boards are set for sending data packets at the fastest possible rate (no fixed sampling rate). At the server side the HTTP, MQTT and CoAP server sand MySQL database are up and running.</p>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>HTTP, MQTT and CoAP servers and MySQL database (empty)</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start MySQL database server, 2/ start HTTP, MQTT and CoAP servers, 3/ start monitoring, 4 /start Pysense boards.</p>		

### 3.11.10 Packet Delay and Packet Loss over 5G

Test Case Template		TC_IoT_PacketDelay_5G_SUR	Packet Delay, Packet Loss
1	<p><i>Description of the target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'packet delays'. Under heavy load, the servers are able to receive, treat (decoding JSON and storing data in MySQL data base) and answer all 3 protocols requests without accumulating delays. 5G CPE access point is used.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>The three protocols (HTTP POST, MQTT and CoAP) are used relying on 5G for the radio (using a CPE access point). The number of JSON data packets per board is known in advance (i.e., 1500). Only one slice is used and each protocol is covered by 10 boards.</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>For each protocol, the average delay in handling a packet is calculated considering the time stamp of emission and time stamp at reception by the platform, then averaged.</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Assess Packet Loss per protocol</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The Pysense boards are set for sending data packets at the fastest possible rate (no fixed sampling rate). At the server side the HTTP, MQTT and CoAP server and MySQL database are up and running.</p>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>HTTP, MQTT and CoAP servers and MySQL database (empty)</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start MySQL database server, 2/ start HTTP, MQTT and CoAP servers, 3/ start monitoring, 4 /start Pysense boards.</p>		

### 3.11.11 Packet Delay and Packet Loss of MQTT/CoAP over WIFI and 5G radios

Test Case Template	TC_IoT_PacketDelay_WIFI/5G_2SLICES_SUR	Packet Delay, Packet Loss
1	<p><i>Description of the target KPI</i></p> <p>The main KPI is 'packet delays'. Under heavy load, the servers are able to receive, treat (decoding JSON and storing data in MySQL data base) and answer both protocols requests (CoAP and MQTT) without accumulating delays. WIFI and 5G CPE access point are used respectively using 2 slices.</p>	
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>Two protocols (CoAP and MQTT) are used relying on traditional WIFI and 5G for the radio. The number of JSON data packets per board is known in advance (i.e., 1500). Two slices are used (one for each radio) and each protocol is covered by 15 boards.</p>	
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>For each protocol, the average delay in handling a packet is calculated considering the time stamp of emission and time stamp at reception by the platform, then averaged.</p>	
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Assess Packet Loss per protocol and compare with previous results when using only one slice.</p>	
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <p>The Pysense boards are set for sending data packets at the fastest possible rate (no fixed sampling rate). At the server side the MQTT and CoAP servers and MySQL database are up and running.</p>	
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>MQTT and CoAP servers and MySQL database (empty)</p>	
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <p>1/ start MySQL database server, 2/ start MQTT and CoAP servers, 3/ start monitoring, 4 /start Pysense boards.</p>	

### 3.11.12 360° Live Video Streaming QoE

Test Case	TC_360LiveVideoStreamingQoE_BER	Video QoE
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>360° Live Video Streaming Quality of Experience</b></p> <p>The aim of this Test Case is to assess the Quality of Experience (QoE) perceived by users streaming a 360° live video service. This is a user QoE KPI, hence it is composed by several metrics which contribute to the QoE assessment.</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>Once a scenario under test (e.g., outdoor deployment) is chosen and the end-to-end network is configured to deliver a live video service, the following metrics has to be collected for each user streaming the video contents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time spent in different player states (startup, playing, stalling)</li> <li>• Time spent on different bit rate levels while “playing”</li> <li>• Rebuffering events</li> <li>• Startup times per impression</li> <li>• Quality switches</li> </ul> <p>In order to provide reliable statistics, the above indicators must be collected for a long-enough time interval, depending on the expected duration of the live video service.</p>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>Once the parameters are collected, the main statistical indicators can be derived, i.e.,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Average time</b> spent in different player states</li> <li>• <b>Total time</b> spent on different bit rate levels while “playing”</li> <li>• <b>Statistics</b> of the frequency of rebuffering events</li> <li>• <b>Statistics</b> of Startup times per impression</li> <li>• <b>Frequency</b> of quality switches</li> </ul>	
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Parameters related to the conditions of the network components involved in the end-to-end video delivery (e.g., RTT and throughput between well-specified network end-points, including radio and core functions) should be collected in parallel to the above QoE indicators, in order to assess correlations and causalities between user QoE and network conditions.</p>	
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The end-to-end system is configured and the QoE data collectors are ready to use.</li> </ul>	

6	<i>Applicability</i> N/A
7	<i>Test Case Sequence</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Initialize the live video service and QoE data collectors</li><li>2. Collect the QoE data defined in “Methodology”</li><li>3. Derive the main statistics defined in “Calculation process and output”</li></ol>

### 3.11.13 360° Video Streaming QoE in Emulated Scenario

Test Case	TC_360VideoStreamingQoE_Scalability	Video QoE
1	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>360° Live Video Streaming Quality of Experience</b></p> <p>The aim of this Test Case is to assess the Quality of Experience (QoE) perceived by users streaming a 360° live video service in a controlled experiment, assessing the scalability to support such services. This is a user QoE KPI, hence it is composed by two metrics which contribute to the QoE assessment.</p>	
2	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>Once a scenario under test is chosen and the end-to-end network is configured to deliver a video service, the following metrics have to be collected for each video client:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time spent on different bit rate levels while “playing”</li> <li>• Rebuffering events</li> </ul> <p>To assess the scalability, the test needs to be repeated for different number of clients that watch the video in parallel. In order to provide reliable statistics, the above indicators must be collected multiple times for each configuration.</p>	
3	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>Once the parameters are collected, the main statistical indicators can be derived, i.e.,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Statistics</b> on the representation rate while “playing”</li> <li>• <b>Statistics</b> of the frequency of rebuffering events</li> </ul>	
4	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>Parameters related to the network performance during the video delivery (e.g., delivery rate) should be collected in parallel to the above QoE indicators, in order to assess correlations between user QoE and network conditions.</p>	
5	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The end-to-end system is configured, the video server is running and the video clients are ready to use.</li> </ul>	
6	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Applicability</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">N/A</p>	

7	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Initialize the video server and QoE data collectors</li><li>2. Collect the QoE data defined in “Methodology”</li><li>3. Derive the main statistics defined in “Calculation process and output”</li><li>4. Derive the complementary statistics defined in “Complementary Measurements”</li></ol>
---	---



### 3.11.14 APEX Integration CPU usage

Test Case Template		TC_APEX_SURREY	CPU Usage
1	<p><i>Target KPI</i></p> <p>The Target KPI in the APEX Integration use case is the CPU Usage, which is measured at the Workload Carrier pod.</p>		
2	<p><i>Methodology</i></p> <p>The monitoring time and the adaptation of the number of workload replicas depends on the overall workload and the respective CPU usage.</p>		
3	<p><i>Calculation process and output</i></p> <p>The CPU usage is measured in units of Mi (mebibytes).</p>		
4	<p><i>Complementary measurements</i></p> <p>n/a</p>		
5	<p><i>Pre-conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CPU Usage threshold: 250 mi</li> <li>• Frequency of CPU usage queries to Prometheus: every 5s</li> <li>• Factor for exponential workload computing: 0.004</li> <li>• Number of factor based computing repetitions (Loops): 1000000</li> <li>• Frequency of requests from Use Case Data Manager to Use Case Workload Carrier: Every 50 ms</li> </ul>		
6	<p><i>Applicability</i></p> <p>n/a</p>		
7	<p><i>Test Case Sequence</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Send POST request from POSTMAN to the Use Case Data Manager –“apex-feeder-5genesis”, with parameters of: action(“start”), metric types that will be collected, metrics threshold for APEX decision making, microservice which produces the metrics, and metrics collection interval.</li> <li>2. Send POST request from POSTMAN to the Use Case Data Manager – “apex-feeder-5genesis”, with parameters of: computing factor and loops for the Workload Carrier – “workload-5genesis” to increase its workload, an interval timer for the Use Case Data Manager to periodically send requests to the Workload Carrier to trigger the computation task.</li> <li>3. Send POST request from POSRMAN to the Use Case Data Manager – “apex-feeder-5genesis”, with parameters of: action(“stop”) to stop generating workload.</li> </ol>		

## 4 TRAFFIC PROFILES

### 4.1 ICMP ECHO REQUEST-ECHO RESPONSE Traffic

The amount of data in an IP packet of type ICMP ECHO\_REPLY will always be 8 bytes more than the requested payload, due to the ICMP header.

#### 4.1.1 24-byte-payload ECHO\_REQUESTS

Traffic Description		<i>TP_ICMP_32</i>
1	Traffic sources ICMP ECHO_REQUEST – ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE traffic stream between source and destination and backwards. The stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the following specification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Time Interval between sending each packet → 0.5 seconds</li> <li>Length of Data Field in the ICMP ECHO_REQUEST → 24 bytes</li> </ul>	
2	Service Type (optional) <i>n/a</i>	

#### 4.1.2 56-byte-payload ECHO\_REQUESTS

Traffic Description		<i>TP_ICMP_64</i>
1	Traffic sources ICMP ECHO_REQUEST – ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE traffic stream between source and destination and backwards. The stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the following specification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Time Interval between sending each packet → 0.5 seconds</li> <li>Length of Data Field in the ICMP ECHO_REQUEST → 56 bytes</li> </ul>	
2	Service Type (optional) <i>n/a</i>	

#### 4.1.3 120-byte-payload ECHO\_REQUESTS

Traffic Description		<i>TP_ICMP_128</i>
1	Traffic sources ICMP ECHO_REQUEST – ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE traffic stream between source and destination	

	and backwards. The stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the following specification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time Interval between sending each packet → 0.5 seconds</li> <li>• Length of Data Field in the ICMP ECHO_REQUEST → 120 bytes</li> </ul>
2	Service Type (optional) <i>n/a</i>

#### 4.1.4 504-byte-payload ECHO\_REQUESTS

Traffic Description		<i>TP_ICMP_512</i>
1	Traffic sources ICMP ECHO_REQUEST – ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE traffic stream between source and destination and backwards. The stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the following specification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time Interval between sending each packet → 0.5 seconds</li> <li>• Length of Data Field in the ICMP ECHO_REQUEST → 504 bytes</li> </ul>	
2	Service Type (optional) <i>n/a</i>	

#### 4.1.5 1400-byte-payload ECHO\_REQUESTS

Traffic Description		<i>TP_ICMP_1408</i>
1	Traffic sources ICMP ECHO_REQUEST – ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE traffic stream between source and destination and backwards. The stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the following specification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time Interval between sending each packet → 0.5 seconds</li> <li>• Length of Data Field in the ICMP ECHO_REQUEST → 1400 bytes</li> </ul>	
2	Service Type (optional) <i>n/a</i>	

#### 4.1.6 1492-byte-payload ECHO\_REQUESTS

Traffic Description		<i>TP_ICMP_1500</i>
1	Traffic sources ICMP ECHO_REQUEST – ICMP ECHO_RESPONSE traffic stream between source and destination and backwards. The stream of generated ICMP ECHO_REQUESTs shall comply to the following specification:	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Time Interval between sending each packet → 0.5 seconds</li> <li>Length of Data Field in the ICMP ECHO_REQUEST → 1492 bytes</li> </ul>
2	Service Type (optional) <i>n/a</i>

## 4.2 TCP/UDP Traffic

### 4.2.1 UDP Traffic

Traffic Description		<i>TP_UDP_1400</i>
1	<p>Traffic sources</p> <p>UDP traffic stream between source and destination and backwards.</p> <p>The stream of generated UDP packets shall comply to the following specification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Length of UDP datagram (UDP header + UDP payload) → 1400 bytes</li> <li>The bandwidth depends on test purpose. For maximum user data rate tests the bandwidth should be set above the maximum bandwidth available in the network scenario.</li> </ul>	
2	Service Type (optional) <i>n/a</i>	

## 5 OVERVIEW OF SPECIFICATIONS ON EE KPIs AND METRICS FOR MOBILE NETWORKS

---

Telecommunication networks energy efficiency KPIs are defined by various SDOs / organizations and are of various natures [6]. They can be applied to either:

- whole networks (i.e. E2E), or to
- sub-networks (e.g. the RAN), or to
- single network elements, or to
- telecommunication sites, which contain network elements and site equipment.

Moreover, EE KPIs can also be categorized according to the operator's network life cycle phase they may apply to, e.g.:

- during the equipment procurement phase, mobile network operators may be willing to compare network elements from various vendors from an EE standpoint. Some EE KPIs and measurement methods have been specified for this purpose.
- during the Design / Build phase, mobile network operators are always faced to several design options and may be willing to compare them from an EE standpoint. This may happen for the whole network, sub-networks and for telecom sites. For telecom sites, EE KPIs have been specified.
- during the Run phase, mobile network operators need to assess the energy efficiency of the live network, as a whole (i.e. end-to-end), or for sub-networks, or for single network elements or telecom sites. Some EE KPIs and measurement methods have also been specified for this purpose.

**Generally, EE KPIs for network elements are expressed in terms of Data Volume divided by the Energy Consumption of the considered network elements.** In the case of radio access networks, an EE KPI variant may also be used, expressed by the Coverage Area divided by the Energy Consumption of the considered network elements.

In the remainder of this ANNEX, an overview of the main standards /recommendations addressing EE KPIs and metrics for mobile networks is provided. The list includes:

- ETSI ES 202 706-1 (2017)
- ETSI ES 102 706-2 (2018)
- ETSI ES 203 228 (2017)
- ITU-T Recommendation L.1330 (2015)
- ITU-T Recommendation L.1331 (2017)
- ITU-R Recommendation M.2083 (2015)
- NGMN 5G whitepaper (2015)
- 3GPP TR 38.913 (2018)
- 3GPP TR 21.866 (2017)
- 3GPP TR 32.972 (2018)
- 3GPP TR 32.856 (2017)

**ETSI ES 202 706-1 (2017)**

The ETSI ES 202 706-1 specification [7] defines methods to **evaluate the power consumption of base stations** (for GSM, UMTS and LTE) in **static mode**. These methods can be used by i) telecom equipment manufacturers in their labs. Measured KPIs are generally captured in product specification datasheets; and ii) MNOs may use such measurements to compare equipment from different vendors from an EE point of view. They can also make their own measurements in their own labs in order to check if they have the same results. The specification describes methods for:

- Average power consumption of BS equipment under static test conditions: the BS average power consumption is based on measured BS power consumption data under static condition when the BS is loaded artificially in a lab for three different loads, low, medium and busy hour under given reference configuration.
- **Daily average power consumption of the base station.**

Sections 7.2 & 7.3 of the specification describe calculation methods of **average static power consumption for integrated and distributed BS configurations** respectively. In [6] under static test conditions, the Base Station (BS) average power consumption is based on measured BS power consumption data when the BS is loaded artificially in a lab for three different loads (low, medium and busy hour) under given reference configuration.

The **power consumption of integrated BS equipment in static method** is defined for three different load levels as follows:

- $P_{BH}$  is the power consumption [Watts] with busy hour load.
- $P_{med}$  is the power consumption [Watts] with medium term load.
- $P_{low}$  is the power consumption [Watts] with low load.

The load levels are defined differently for different radio systems. The model covers voice and/or data hour per hour. The models are provided in the annexes D, E, F of [7].

The **power consumption of distributed BS equipment in static method** is defined for three different load levels as follows (for details of load levels see the annexes D, E and F in [7]):

- $P_{BH,C}$  and  $P_{BH,RRH}$  are the power consumption [W] of central and remote parts of BS with busy hour load.
- $P_{med,C}$  and  $P_{med,RRH}$  are the power consumption [W] of central and remote parts of BS with medium term load.
- $P_{low,C}$  and  $P_{low,RRH}$  are the power consumption [W] of central and remote parts of BS with low load.
- **Note that ETSI ES 202 706-1 defines daily average power consumption of GSM/WCDMA/LTE/WIMAX base stations, defined for three different load levels, in a lab-based test setup (Measurement Lab Setup for STATIC power consumption measurements is provided in section 6.1.1 in [7]). The templates for test reporting are provided in ANNEX A, and Reference parameters for LTE system in ANNEX F of [7] respectively.**

**ETSI ES 102 706-2 (2018)**

The ETSI ES 102 706-2 [8] document defines the **dynamic measurement method** (section 6.2) and defines **base station energy efficiency KPI** (section 6.2.11). Under dynamic test conditions, the BS capacity is measured under dynamic traffic load provided within a defined coverage area and the corresponding power consumption is measured for given reference configurations. Dynamicity of measurements may be achieved thanks to dynamic load, activation / deactivation of radio network features, various user terminals performance and distribution. The results can be used to assess and compare the energy efficiency of base stations.

The TR defines the dynamic measurement method for evaluation energy efficiency:

- **BS EE** under dynamic load conditions: the BS capacity under dynamic traffic load provided within a defined coverage area and the corresponding energy consumption is measured for given reference configurations.
- ETSI ES 202 706-1 [7] defines **daily average power consumption** of the base station.

The base station energy efficiency KPI is an indicator for showing how a base station in an energy efficient way is doing work in terms of delivering useful bits to the UEs served by the base station. A base station is more energy efficient when doing more work with the same energy, doing the same work with less energy or in the best case doing more work with less energy. **The base station energy efficiency KPI is the ratio of delivered bits and consumed energy (reported in units of bits/Wh)** and is denoted by:

$$BSEP = \frac{DV_{total}}{E_{equipment}^{total}} \quad (1)$$

Where  $DV_{total}$  is the total delivered bits during the measurement for all three traffic levels according to section 6.2.6 in [7] and  $E_{equipment}^{total}$  is the total consumed energy during the measurement period for delivering  $DV_{total}$  according to section 6.2.8 in [7].

### Data Volume Measurement

All received data by the UEs during each measurement period for each traffic level shall be measured. The measured data is the net data volume and shall not contain any duplicated or retransmitted data. The data shall be generated as described in section 6.2.3 and annex C in [7]. The measured data will be used for calculation of BS efficiency KPI and is in bits.

Since the time period for the three load levels in a real network under a 24-hours period is different, three weighting factors are applied to the measurement results to reflect the time ratio of low load, medium load and busy-hour load levels in a 24-hours period respectively.

These weighting factors are denoted as  $W_{low}$  for low traffic,  $W_{medium}$  for medium traffic and  $W_{busy-hour}$  for busy-hour traffic level and they are defined in annex C in [7].

The measured data volume in bits for low load level is denoted as  $DV_{measured-low}$ .

The measured data volume in bits for medium load level is denoted as  $DV_{measured-medium}$ .

The measured data volume in bits for busy-hour load level is denoted as  $DV_{measured-busy-hour}$ .

The total data volume for 24-hours period is calculated as following:

$$DV_{total} = \left( DV_{low} \times \frac{W_{low}}{T_{measurement\ low}} \right) + \left( DV_{medium} \times \frac{W_{medium}}{T_{measurement\ medium}} \right) + \left( DV_{busy\ hour} \times \frac{W_{busy\ hour}}{T_{measurement\ busy\ hour}} \right) [bits] \quad (2)$$

The three load levels shall be measured at middle frequency channel.

### EC Measurement

The energy consumption of the base station under test shall be calculated during the whole measurement period. The total energy consumption of the base station will be the sum of weighted energy consumption for each traffic level i.e. low, medium and busy-hour traffic. Since the time period for the three load levels in a real network under a 24-hours period is different, three weighting factors are applied to the measurement results to reflect the low load, medium load and busy-hour load levels in a 24-hours period respectively. These weighting factors are denoted as  $W_{low}$  for low traffic,  $W_{medium}$  for medium traffic and  $W_{busy-hour}$  for busy-hour traffic level and they are defined in annex C.

To calculate the energy consumption, the power consumption of the BS is sampled continuously (interval time  $\Delta t_m$ : 0,5 seconds or shorter) over the complete measurement period for each traffic level. For the integrated BS, is the measured power value for the  $i^{th}$  sampled measurement during the measurement period. The energy which is the energy consumption of the BS during the measurement is calculated as follows:

$$E_{equipment}^{traffic\_scenario\_x} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\Delta t_m \cdot P_{k,equipment}^{traffic\_scenario\_x}) [Wh] \quad (3)$$

For the distributed BS,  $E_{C,equipment}$  and  $E_{RRH,equipment}$  [Wh] are the energy consumption of the central and the remote parts in the dynamic method defined as:

$$E_{RRH,equipment}^{traffic\_scenario\_x} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\Delta t_m \cdot P_{k,RRH,equipment}^{traffic\_scenario\_x}) [Wh] \quad (4)$$

$$E_{C,equipment}^{traffic\_scenario\_x} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\Delta t_m \cdot P_{k,C,equipment}^{traffic\_scenario\_x}) [Wh] \quad (5)$$

Where  $n = \frac{T_{measurement}}{\Delta t_m}$ , and  $T_{measurement}$  is the measurement time for each traffic level and  $\Delta t_m$  is the sampling period.

The measured energy consumption in Wh for low load level is denoted as  $E_{equipment}^{measured-traffic\_scenario\_low}$ .

The measured energy consumption in Wh for medium load level is denoted as  $E_{equipment}^{measured-traffic\_scenario\_medium}$ .

The measured energy consumption in Wh for busy-hour load level is denoted as  $E_{equipment}^{measured-traffic\_scenario\_busy-hour}$ .

The total energy consumption for 24-hours period is calculated as following:

$$E_{total\ equipment} = \left( E_{low} \times \frac{W_{low}}{T_{measurement\ low}} \right) + \left( E_{medium} \times \frac{W_{medium}}{T_{measurement\ medium}} \right) + \left( E_{busy\ hour} \times \frac{W_{busy\ hour}}{T_{measurement\ busy\ hour}} \right) [Wh] \quad (6)$$

For the calculation of the total energy consumption for distributed BS similar calculation as above for radio remote part and the central equipment part formulas (6.2) to (6.6) can be used. The sum of each part and then summing up these two parts to obtain the total energy consumption for a distributed BS.

- Note that ETSI ES 102 706-2 defines LTE base station energy efficiency KPI based on total data volume & energy consumption for 24-hour period, defined for three different load levels, in a lab-based test setup (Measurement Lab Setup provided in section 6.2.1 in [4]). The templates



for test reporting are provided in ANNEX A, and Data Traffic Models in ANNEX C of [7] respectively.

### ETSI ES 203 228 (2017)

The ETSI ES 203 228 [9] defines energy efficiency metrics and measurement procedures in operational radio access networks. Two high-level EE KPIs are defined:

$$EE_{MN,DV} = \frac{DV_{MN}}{EC_{MN}}$$

in which Mobile Network data Energy Efficiency ( $EE_{MN,DV}$ ), expressed in bit/J, is the ratio between the performance indicator (i.e. Data Volume  $DV_{MN}$ ) and the energy consumption ( $EC_{MN}$ ), and

$$EE_{MN,CoA} = \frac{CoA_{des_{MN}}}{EC_{MN}}$$

in which  $EE_{MN,CoA}$ , expressed in  $m^2/J$ , is the ratio between the coverage area ( $CoA_{des_{MN}}$ ) and the energy consumption  $EC_{MN}$ .  $EC_{MN}$  is the yearly energy consumption and  $CoA_{des_{MN}}$  is the "coverage area" as defined in section 6.2.3].

This specification/recommendation considered as a point of reference also by 3GPP (SA and RAN) that deals with the methods and metrics to evaluate **EE for mobile radio access networks**, encompassing GSM, UMTS and LTE.

### MN EC Measurement

The Mobile Network Energy Consumption ( $EC_{MN}$ ) is the sum of the energy consumption of equipment included in the MN under investigation (see section 4). The network energy consumption is measured according to the assessment process defined in section 6 such that individual metrics are provided per RAT and per MNO. **The overall EC of the partial network under test** is measured as follows:

$$EC_{MN} = \sum_i (\sum_k EC_{BS_{i,k}} + EC_{SI_i}) + \sum_j EC_{BH_j} + \sum_l EC_{RC_l} \quad (1)$$

where:

- EC is Energy Consumption.
- BS refers to the Base Stations in the MN under measurement.
- BH is the backhauling providing connection to the BSs in the MN under measurement.
- SI is the site infrastructure (Rectifier, battery losses, climate equipment, TMA, tower illumination, etc.).
- RC is the control node(s), including all infrastructure of the RC site.
- $i$  is an index spanning over the number of sites.
- $j$  an index spanning over the number of BH equipment connected to the  $i$  sites.
- $k$  is the index spanning over the number of BSs in the  $i$ -th site.
- $l$  is the index spanning over the control nodes of the MN.

$EC_{MN}$  shall be measured in Wh over the period of measurement T.

In order to allow a more precise assessment of the energy consumption impact of local factors (like location specific site equipment) it is requested to measure and report into the parameter  $EC_{SI_i}$  the site equipment consumption into two classes:

- ICT equipment (equipment directly needed to perform the telecom service).
- Support equipment (all equipment installed at the site which are needed to operate the particular site, but which are not directly needed for the telecom service, like air-conditioning, back-up power, lights, etc.).

Moreover, it is requested also to classify the site equipment according to operational temperature range. Based on such a classification the following additional network metric describing the energy consumption of the telecom equipment with reference to the total energy consumption shall be introduced:

$$SEE = EC_{BSS} / (EC_{BSS} + EC_{SI}) \quad (1a)$$

The above site energy efficiency (**SEE**) metric gives an **INDICATION** of site energy efficiency (**SEE**) in terms of how big fraction of energy is used for actual telecom equipment (telecommunication service delivery).

NOTE: SEE is defined by the ratio of "IT equipment energy" and "Total site energy", which generally includes rectifiers, cooling, storage, security and IT equipment. For datacentres, the "Total site energy" more globally includes building load, powering equipment (e.g. switchgear, uninterruptible power supply (UPS), battery backup), cooling equipment (e.g. chillers, computer room air conditioning unit (CRAC)) and IT equipment energy.

### Data Volume Measurement

The Mobile Network performance metrics is derived from parameters of the MN under investigation relevant for energy efficiency, in particular the total data volume ( $DV_{MN}$ ) delivered by all its equipment and its global coverage area ( $CoA_{MN}$ ).

For packet switched services,  $DV_{MN}$  is defined as the data volume delivered by the equipment of the mobile network under investigation during the time frame T of the energy consumption assessment. The assessment process shall be used:

$$DV_{MN-PS} = \sum_{i,k} DV_{BS_{i,k}-PS} \quad (2)$$

where DV, measured in bit, is the performance delivered in terms of data volume in the network over the measurement period T (see section 6).  $i$  and  $k$  are defined in formula (1).

For circuit switched services like voice,  $DV_{MN-CS}$  is defined as the data volume delivered by the equipment of the mobile network under investigation during the time frame T of the energy consumption assessment:

$$DV_{MN-CS} = \sum_{i,k} DV_{BS_{i,k}-CS} \quad (3)$$

where DV, measured in bit, is the performance delivered in terms of data volume in the network over the measurement period T (see section 6).  $i$  and  $k$  are like in formula (1).

Note that by "circuit switched", we mean here all voice, interactive services and video services managed by the MNOs, including CS voice, VoLTE and real-time video services delivered through dedicated bearers. The assessment process defined in section 6 shall be used.

The overall data volume is computed as follows:

$$DV_{MN} = DV_{MN-PS} + DV_{MN-CS} \quad (4)$$

$DV_{MN}$  can be derived from standard counters defined in ETSI TS 132 425 [10] (3GPP TS 32.425) and ETSI TS 132 412 [12] (3GPP 32.412) for LTE or equivalent used for 2G and 3G, multiplying by the

**measurement duration T.** The counters (in [10] and [11]) account also for QoS being reported in QoS Class Identifier (QCI) basis (see [12]).

NOTE 1:  $DV_{MN}$  includes data volumes for DL and UL.

NOTE 2: BH supervision and control data volumes are not considered (in order to include only the payload).

$DV_{MN}$  is computed in unit of bit.

Coverage area ( $CoA_{MN}$ ) is also considered as a mobile network performance metric in the MN designed primarily for coverage goals (and hence especially in RU environments). The assessment process defined in section 6 shall be used. CoA is computed in unit of  $m^2$ .

**The  $DV_{MN}$  shall be measured using network counters for data volume related to the aggregated traffic in the set of BS considered in the MN under test.**

For PS traffic, the data volume is considered as the overall amount of data transferred to and from the users present in the MN under test. Data volume shall be measured in an aggregated way per each RAT present in the MN and **shall be measured referring to counters derived from vendor O&M systems.**

For CS traffic (e.g. CS voice or VoLTE), the data volume is considered as the number of minutes of communications during the time T multiplied by the data rate of the corresponding service and the **call success rate**. The call success rate is equal to 1 minus the sum of blocking and dropping rates, i.e.:

$$Call\ Success\ Rate = (1 - dropping\ rate) \times 100\ [\%] \quad (5)$$

The dropping includes the intra-cell call failure (rate of dropping calls due to all the causes not related to handover) and the handover failure:

$$1 - dropping\ rate = (1 - intracell\ failure\ rate)(1 - handover\ failure\ rate) \quad (6)$$

In order to include reliability in the measurement the aggregated data volume shall be provided together with the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the cumulative distribution, for each RAT in the MN.

NOTE 1: It is not possible for data services to determine a user related QoS, i.e. to identify for each data connection if a target throughput has been reached using counters. **Such a computation would need the usage of probes** that is out of scope of the present document.

NOTE 2: As soon as the MDT related measurements in [10] are available the data volume may be measured according to the specification given therein (especially referring to section 4.1.8 in [10]). In this case, the per-user information about QoS can be obtained for data services and only connections with good QoS should be considered.

### Coverage Area Measurement

The Coverage area is subject to network planning and intended services delivered within a certain geographic area. The coverage area shall be described by the following parameters:

- The total geographical area of a country ( $CoA_{geo}$ ). This includes the total geographical area which falls into the network operator responsibility (total network and/or sub-area under investigation). A network might cover the geographical area only to a certain fraction (often defined by the license agreements, for example area coverage of a complete country or of a region).

- The designated coverage area (CoA\_des). This area defines the area in which a network coverage is provided by the selected sub-network and is derived by planning models from network design, planned service and geographical data.
- A coverage quality factor (CoA\_Qdes). This factor considers measured feedback from user equipment (as described in table 8 in [9]). This coverage quality factor signifies that networks might experience false coverage issues (e.g. inside buildings), load congestions or high interference issues.

### Coverage quality

The actual coverage area where UEs can be served might differ from the originally designated coverage area (i.e. false coverage zones within the considered area). The coverage quality is a measure to estimate the actually covered fraction of the planned total coverage area. User equipment reports such as failed call attempts (table 1) shall be used to determine how well the users within the coverage area are covered. The coverage quality indicator shall be provided for network efficiency result evaluations. It is linked to network quality and has to be defined in relation to the quality of service (QoS) definitions.

A coverage map based on signal quality (SINR) could be used to determine the fraction of the total area where a signal quality above a certain minimum value is achieved. However, such maps require a large amount of measurements and usually drive tests. For the sake of an energy efficiency assessment it is not required to have the knowledge of the detailed network conditions such as the actual coverage hole locations. From an Energy Efficiency assessment point of view, it is important to know how many users/sessions or served users/sessions experienced problems because of lack of sufficient quality in relation to the total number of users/sessions or served users/sessions within the considered area. This allows a number of simplifications and an indirect determination of a quality factor.

The coverage quality factor for a base station is based on network failure reports of the UE. The coverage quality factor shall be measured based on coverage failures reported by the appropriate network counters:

$$\text{CoA\_Qdes} = 1 - \text{"percentage of users/sessions with coverage failure"} \quad (7)$$

The following indicators shall be used to calculate the coverage failure (details see table 8 [6]):

- RRC setup failure ratio (Call setup failure ratio).
- RAB setup failure ratio (UE-BS radio interface failure).
- RAB release failure ratio (UE-BS radio interface failure).

A further factor which can indicate a coverage issue is the handover drop ratio. However, a handover drop can have multiple reasons (cell overload, UE speed, etc.). Furthermore, the handover drop rate depends on the network structure (number of neighbour cells). Its calculation requires several additional network parameters and complicates the data collection and analysis significantly. This factor is therefore omitted.

The coverage quality factor for a site is defined as follows:

$$\text{CoA\_Qdes} = (1 - \text{RRC setup failure ratio}) (1 - \text{RAB setup failure ratio}) (1 - \text{RAB release failure ratio}) \quad (8)$$

The needed parameters are specified by 3GPP standards and the results can be obtained from the network management and supervision.

The failure ratios are the fraction of failures of the total amount of attempts:

- RRC setup failure ratio =  $(\sum_k \text{Failed RRC connection establishments}_k) / (\sum_k \text{Attempted RRC connection establishments}_k)$ .
- RAB setup failure ratio =  $(\sum_k \text{RAB setup failures}_k) / (\sum_k \text{RAB setup attempted}_k)$ .
- RAB release failure ratio =  $(\sum_k \text{RAB release failures}_k) / (\sum_k \text{RAB release attempted}_k)$ .

where  $k$  is the index spanning over the number of BSs in the considered site.

**Table 1: Measurement parameters required for LTE coverage quality calculation**  
(source reference : [9])

Parameter	Function	Counter name
RRC connection establishment failures	Radio resource control	RRC.ConnEstabFail.sum
RRC connection establishment attempts	Radio resource control	RRC.ConnEstabAtt.sum
E-RAB setup failures	Initial E-RAB setup	ERAB.EstabInitFailNbr.sum
	Additional E-RAB setup	ERAB.EstabAddFailNbr.sum
E-RAB setup attempts	Initial E-RAB setup	ERAB.EstabInitAttNbr.sum
	Additional E-RAB setup	ERAB.EstabAddAttNbr.sum
E-RAB release failures	E-RAB release	ERAB.RelFailNbr.sum
E-RAB release attempts	E-RAB release	ERAB.RelAttNbr.sum

The following averaging procedure is then used to obtain an average coverage quality factor (which needs to be reported along with  $\text{CoA\_des}_{\text{MN}}$ ) of the partial network under test:

$$\text{CoA\_Qdes}_{\text{MN}} = \sum_i \text{CoA\_Qdes}_{S_i} \text{DCA}_{S_i} / \text{CoA\_des}_{\text{MN}} \quad (9)$$

where:

- $S$  refers to the sites in the MN under measurement;
- $i$  is an index spanning over the number of sites.

To avoid over counting, the sites designed coverage areas should be defined as the area where the signals from the cells of the site are stronger (Best Server). It holds true that:

$$\text{CoA\_des}_{\text{MN}} = \sum_i \text{DCA}_{S_i} \leq \text{CoA\_geo} \quad (10)$$

where:

- $S$  refers to the sites in the MN under measurement;
- $i$  is an index spanning over the number of sites.

Finally, ETSI ES 203 228 [9] defines a method to define sub-networks from which these EE KPIs are calculated and to extrapolate them to the operator's whole radio access network. **The EE measured for sub-network can be extrapolated to larger networks.** The extrapolation approach is discussed in section 7.

For data reporting templates, see ANNEX A in ES 203 228 or equivalent in ANNEX I of Rec. ITU-T L.1331.

- Note that ETSI ES 203 228 defines RAN energy efficiency KPI based on total data volume & energy consumption, over weekly/monthly/yearly periods, defined independently of load levels, in operational networks, for a sub-network (or partial network, denoted as the Mobile Network under investigation) comprising:
  - Base stations (e.g. Wide area BS, Medium range BS, Local Area BS, Home BS).

- Site equipment (air conditioners, rectifiers/batteries, fixed network equipment, etc.).
- Backhaul equipment required to interconnect the BS used in the assessment with the core network.
- Radio Controller (RC).

#### ITU-T Recommendation L.1330 (2015)

The ITU-T Recommendation L.1330 [13] is considered as a point of reference also by 3GPP (SA and RAN) that deals with the methods and metrics to evaluate EE for mobile radio access networks, encompassing GSM, UMTS and LTE. The recommendation provides principles and concepts of energy efficiency metrics and measurement methods for telecommunication network equipment.

Recommendation ITU-T L.1330 provides a set of metrics for the assessment of energy efficiency (EE) of telecommunication (TLC) mobile networks, together with proper measurement methods. Such metrics are of extremely high importance to operators, given that the optimization of the energy performance of a single piece of equipment does not guarantee the overall maximum energy efficiency of a complex network formed by several interconnected equipment. Hence, through the metrics reported in this Recommendation, a better comprehension of network energy efficiency will be gained, not only for "total" networks, but also for "partial" networks, definable through either geographic or demographic boundaries.

This Recommendation was developed jointly by ETSI TC EE and ITU-T Study Group 5 and published respectively by ITU and ETSI as **Recommendation ITU-T L.1330 [13]** and **ETSI Standard ETSI ES 203 228 [9]**, which **are technically equivalent**. This Recommendation describes the energy consumption (EC) and mobile network (MN) energy efficiency measurements in **operational networks**.

#### ITU-T Recommendation L.1310 (2017)

The ITU-T Recommendation L.1310 [14] specifies the principles and concepts of energy efficiency metrics and measurement methods for telecommunication network equipment. This Recommendation also specifies the principles and concepts of energy efficiency metrics and measurement methods for small networking equipment (Metric for DSLAM, MSAM GPON GEON equipment) used in the home and small enterprise locations.

#### ITU-T Recommendation L.1331 (2017)

The Recommendation ITU-T L.1331 [15] considers the definition of metrics and methods used to measure energy efficiency performance of mobile radio access networks and adopts an approach based on the measurement of such performance on small networks, for feasibility and simplicity purposes. Such a simplified approach is proposed for approximating energy efficiency evaluations and cannot be considered as a reference for planning evaluation purposes throughout the network operation process. The same approach was introduced in ETSI TR 103 117 [16]; the measurements in testing laboratories of the efficiency of the base stations is the topic treated in ETSI ES 202 706-1 [6].

The Recommendation also provides an extrapolation method to extend the applicability of the assessment of energy efficiency to wider networks. The Recommendation was developed jointly by ETSI TC EE and ITU-T Study Group 5 and published by ITU-T and ETSI as **Recommendation ITU-T L.1331 [15]** and **ETSI ES 203 228 [9]** respectively, which **are technically equivalent**. This Recommendation describes the energy consumption (EC) and mobile network (MN) energy efficiency measurements in **operational networks**.

#### ITU-R Recommendation M.2083 (2015)

The Recommendation M.2083 [34] establishes the vision for IMT for 2020 and beyond, by describing potential user and application trends, growth in traffic, technological trends and spectrum implications. With regards to Energy efficiency, the recommendation indicates that EE has two

aspects: i) on the network side, energy efficiency refers to the quantity of information bits transmitted to/ received from users, per unit of energy consumption of the radio access network (RAN) (in bit/Joule); ii) on the device side, energy efficiency refers to quantity of information bits per unit of energy consumption of the communication module (in bit/Joule). The recommendation also stipulates that: The energy consumption for the radio access network of IMT-2020 should not be greater than IMT networks deployed today, while delivering the enhanced capabilities. The network energy efficiency should therefore be improved by a factor at least as great as the envisaged traffic capacity increase of IMT-2020 relative to IMT-Advanced for enhanced Mobile Broadband.

### NGMN 5G whitepaper (2015)

Next Generation Mobile Networks (NGMN) White Paper [17] was considered as a basis for the development of 5G systems. In the White Paper, NGMN states that "Business orientation and economic incentives with foundational shift in cost, energy and operational efficiency should make 5G feasible and sustainable. "In particular, section 4.6.2 of [17] is thoroughly dedicated to energy efficiency, and it is stated that "Energy efficiency of the networks is a key factor to minimize the TCO, along with the environmental footprint of networks. As such, it is a central design principle of 5G". "Energy efficiency is defined as the number of bits that can be transmitted per Joule of energy, where the energy is computed over the whole network.

### 3GPP TR 38.913 (2018)

The 3GPP TR 38.913 [18] deals with the KPIs to be used to evaluate the performance of the new network in these scenarios. Among these KPIs, in Section 7 of [17], one paragraph is dedicated to "UE energy efficiency" (7.12), another one (7.14) to "Area traffic capacity" and the "User experienced data rate". These two latter KPIs are relevant for the Energy Efficiency estimation. Finally, paragraph 7.19 is dedicated to "Network energy efficiency". In such paragraph, it is clearly stated that "Network energy efficiency shall be considered as a basic principle in the NR design". Qualitative inspection is suggested, for Energy Efficiency, but also quantitative analysis, in particular for

- comparing different solutions or mechanisms directly related to energy efficiency, when their impact is not obvious from qualitative analysis
- comparing the final NR system design with LTE to evaluate the overall improvement brought in terms of Network EE

The suggested quantitative KPI is defined as

$$EE_{global} = \sum_{scenario\ K} b_K EE_{scenario\ K}$$

where

$$EE_{Scenario} = \sum_{load\ level\ 1} a_1 \frac{V_1}{EC_1}$$

$b_k$  refers to the weights of every deployment scenario where the network energy efficiency is evaluated.

$V_1$  refers to the traffic per second served by a base station (in bits/s)

$EC_1$  refers to the power consumed by a base station to serve  $V_1$  (in Watt = Joule/s).

$a_1$  refers to the weight for each traffic load level.

$EC''$  is the power consumed by a base station to serve  $V$ .

The suggested KPIs in this 3GPP TR are for use in simulations. For the calculation of the above KPIs, the following assumptions are made:



- Energy Efficiency Quantitative KPI should be **evaluated by means of system level simulations at least in 2 deployment scenarios**: one coverage limited environment (ex: Rural) AND one capacity limited environment (ex: Urban);
- Evaluation should not be for peak hour but based on a **24-hour daily traffic profile**.
- It is recommended that at least 3 load levels should be evaluated.

### 3GPP TR 32.972 (2018)

The 3GPP TR 32.972 [20] provides an overview of studies and/or normative works initiated by other SDOs / working groups on pre-5G and/or 5G radio access networks energy efficiency. It also inventories high-level EE KPIs defined by those SDOs / working groups and methods to collect required measurements. The study identifies potential use cases and requirements for i) 5G network energy efficiency assessment (measurement & reporting) and ii) energy efficiency optimization (i.e. energy saving).

### 3GPP TR 32.856 (2017)

The 3GPP TR 32.856 [21] reports how 3GPP OAM specifications can provide support for the assessment of energy efficiency in radio access networks as defined by ETSI ES 203 228 [8], thanks to measuring both network performance and energy consumption. It provides a gap analysis between [8] and 3GPP OAM Technical Specifications.

## Measurement methods

### Existing measurement methods

Existing measurement methods [6] for the calculation of EE KPIs for mobile networks (cf. ETSI ES 203 228 [9], ETSI ES 202 706-1 [7] and 3GPP TR 21.866 [19]) are based on the collection, on a per network node basis, of:

- Data Volume measurements, and
- Energy Consumption measurements.

In some deployment scenarios of radio access networks, Coverage Area measurements may be used instead of Data Volume measurements.

Data Volume measurements are collected via OAM, as performance measurements, e.g. as recommended in ETSI ES 203 228 [9] for radio access networks.

Energy Consumption information can be collected:

- using power meters or information from invoices provided by power suppliers,
- via built-in sensors, e.g. in case base stations (cf. ETSI ES 202 336-12 [22]), enabling the collection of energy consumption measurements via OAM,
- via external sensors and XCU/DGU, as specified in ETSI ES 202 336-12 [22].

The methodology may vary depending on whether the measurements are made in live networks or in test laboratories.

- In laboratories, ETSI ES 202 706-1 [7] defines a two-level assessment method to be used to both evaluate power consumption and energy efficiency of base stations. The two levels are:
- Base station equipment average power consumption for which it defines reference base station equipment configurations and reference load levels to be used when measuring base station power consumption.
- Base station equipment energy efficiency, defined as the measured capacity for a defined coverage area, divided by the simultaneously measured energy consumption.



- In live networks, ETSI ES 203 228 [9] recommends to split the total mobile network operator network into a small number of networks with limited size ("sub-networks"). These sub-networks are defined to represent some specific characteristics, for example:

- capacity limited networks representing urban and dense urban networks,
- sub-urban networks with high requirements for coverage and capacity,
- rural networks, which are usually coverage limited.

The size and scale of the sub-networks are defined by topologic, geographic or demographic boundaries.

The measurement method defined in ETSI ES 203 228 [9] for sub-networks provides the basis to estimate energy efficiency for large networks of one mobile network operator or within an entire country, applying extrapolation methods.

### Potential measurement methods for 5G networks

The calculation of an EE KPI will rely on the collection of related measurement data of two types:

- Data volumes:
  - the reporting method (reporting Method) of data volumes for 5G network elements / functions will be specified in TS 28.550 [23] for stage 1, stage 2 and stage 3,
  - the measurements (e.g. counters) will be defined in TS 28.552 [24] for the 5G radio access network and the 5G core network.
- Energy consumption:
  - for non-virtualized parts of base stations, regardless of whether these base stations are equipped with built-in or external sensors, their energy consumption can potentially be collected via XCU/DGU and/or VS-RMS and/or their EM/DM (see Note 1), as specified in TS 28.304 [25], TS 28.305 [26] and TS 28.306 [27]. This potentially applies to non-virtualized core network elements as well;
  - for virtualized parts of base stations, the energy consumption of the Virtualized Network Functions (VNFs) is the energy consumption of the server(s) on which the VNF(s) run, minus the energy consumption of the subject servers when they are in idle mode. When multiple VNFs run simultaneously on a given server, how to measure their respective part in the overall energy consumption of the server is not specified. This potentially applies to virtualized core network functions as well.

NOTE 1: The measurement method described in ETSI ES 203 539 [28] is intended to be used to assess and compare the energy efficiency of VNFs in lab testing and pre-deployment testing; it aims not to define measurement method in operational NFV environment. In particular, it does not specify how the energy consumption of each server is measured individually in an operational environment.

### Energy efficiency assessment in 5G

The assessment of energy efficiency of 5G networks may be based on the following potential solutions:

- 5G base stations are assumed to be all equipped with built-in sensors (cf. ETSI ES 202 336-12 [22]);
- Object model definition: the attribute 'peeParametersList' of IOC ManagedFunction, defined in TS 28.622 [29], may be used to model the PEE related parameters;
- Management services for network function provisioning, defined in TS 28.531[30], may apply to read / write PEE related parameters and notify PEE related parameters value changes;
- Data volume measurements required to calculate DV (Data Volume) are to be defined in TS 28.552 [24]. KPIs may have to be defined in TS 28.554[31];

- Power, Energy and Environmental (PEE) measurements required to calculate EC (Energy Consumption) are to be defined in TS 28.552 [24];
- The 'Measurement job control services for NFs', defined in TS 28.550 [23], may apply for the collection of DV and EC performance measurements data;
- The 'Performance data file reporting services for NFs', defined in TS 28.550 [23], may apply for the file-based reporting of DV and EC performance measurements data.

#### **Upcoming specifications on EE in 5G mobile networks**

The 3GPP SA5 WG, recommends starting normative work on the i) definition of use cases and requirements for 5G network energy efficiency assessment and optimization, ii) definition of performance measurements / KPIs enabling to assess the energy efficiency of 5G networks, for both aspects: data volumes and energy consumption, iii) definition of solutions for energy saving management in 5G networks.

The metrics and methods described in ES 203 228 [9]/ITU-T L.STP 5GEE for the legacy networks are considered valid for 5G Phase 1 (focus on eMBB) and an update will be issued once the 5G Phase 1 details are standardized.

The Phase 2 of 5G (rel. 16 and beyond), will impact heavily the specifications to measure energy efficiency and will require an extensive update of ETSI/ITU specifications, in tight cooperation with the standard bodies that will outline the new systems, especially 3GPP RAN and ITU-R. The objective is for example to leverage 3GPP SA5 work dealing with energy efficiency related analytics.

#### **In summary, for 5G phase 1 [rel.15]:**

- For operational networks, EE KPIs for whole or partial RAN, should be evaluated according to ITU-T L.1331 recommendation (or ETSI ES 203 228 which is equivalent).
- For lab-based test networks, EE KPIs for a base station, can be evaluated according to ETSI ES 202 706-1 and ETSI ES 102 706-2 for both static and dynamic operations.
- For simulation-based studies, EE KPIs for a base station, can be evaluated according to 3GPP TR 21.866 and 3GPP TR 38.913.

## 6 EE ASSESSMENT REPORTING TEMPLATES

The assessment report shall include tables defined below. Items in *italics* can be considered optional. Further guidelines on the test report can be found in clause 5.10 of ISO/IEC 17025.

Table 12 reports the details of the Network Area under test, representing a sub-network where the measurements are conducted. The Network Area is the area encompassing all the sites under measurement; the  $CoA_{des_{MN}}$  is instead computed starting from the area covered by each site and aggregating for all the sites in the Network Area under test.

For each site reported in Table Table 4 the details shall be included in Table 5. Table 6 reports the measurements results for each site.

**Table 4: "Network Area under test" reporting template**

Network Area under test		
Demography class [Dense Urban, Urban, Suburban, Rural, Sparse]		
Topography class		
Climate zone		
Informative classification		
Network Area definition [by Demography, by Geography, by Topology]		
	<i>Number of inhabitants in the Network area [estimate]</i>	
	Network Area dimensions [estimate, km <sup>2</sup> ]	
	Number of sites in the Network Area [same radio controller?]	
Type of sites in the Network Area		
	Number of Wide Area BS sites	
	Number of Medium Range BS sites	
	Number of other sites/equipment (Local Area BS, relay nodes, etc.)	
Sites categorization		
	Number of sites in an MNO local exchange premise	
	Number of sites in buildings not owned by MNO	
	Number of sites in a shelter	
	<i>Number of any other sites</i>	
Multi-MNO sites		
	Number of "single MNO" sites	
	Number of co-located multi-MNOs sites	
	Number of sites in "Network Sharing" mode	
Multi-technology sites		
	Number of 2G only sites	
	Number of 3G only sites	
	Number of LTE only sites	
	Number of 2G+3G sites	
	Other options [indicate]	
Backhauling information		
	Predominant type of backhauling [wireless, fibre, copper...]	
	Number of backhauling links per type	

Network Area under test		
Energy efficiency in the Network Area under test		
	EE <sub>MN,DV</sub> [b/J]	
	EE <sub>MN,CoA</sub> [m <sup>2</sup> /J]	
Energy efficiency top-down approach results (see note)		
NOTE: In case any alternative EE approach has been conducted on the network under test (i.e. measuring the aggregated energy consumption and the aggregated data volume or coverage area) the results of the evaluation shall be reported here for comparison purposes.		

Table 5: "Sites under test" reporting template

Site(s) under test in the Network Area (one table per site type to be measured in the Network Area)		
Measurement duration		
	Time duration of the measurement [T]	
	Measurement start date and time	
	Measurement finish date and time	
	Repetition time	
	Granularity of measurements	
Type of site		
	Site "layer" [Wide Area, Medium Range, other] In case of Wide Area, indicate number of sectors and carriers per sector	
	Site "technology" [2G, 3G, 2G+3G, LTE only, 2G+3G+LTE, other]	
	Site "MNOs" [single MNO, co-location, network sharing, other]	
Site and equipment age <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Initial commission date of the site</li><li>Commission date of the current equipment in the site</li></ul>		
Temperature <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Average temperature [over period T]</li><li>Minimum temperature</li><li>Maximum temperature</li></ul>	Internal °C	External °C
Environmental classTemp. rangeIC class (for each equipment in the site) A0 ... 28 °CIP23 B-20 ... 40 °CIP45 C-40 ... 55 °CIP45		
Site infrastructure		
	Site location [local exchange premise, building, shelter, other]	
	Site composition	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Air conditioners</li></ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Rectifiers/batteries</li></ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fixed network equipment consumption</li></ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Other</li></ul>	
	Estimated percentage of infrastructure consumption in the site (EC <sub>si</sub> )	
Energy consumption of ICT equipment in the site [Wh]		
Energy consumption of all the support equipment in		

Site(s) under test in the Network Area (one table per site type to be measured in the Network Area)		
the site [Wh]		
Energy efficiency in the site equipment (Energy_ICTequipment/Energy_Total_network)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Total electrical energy supplied from the grid</li> <li>- Peak power delivered from the grid</li> <li>- Total site energy storage capacity</li> <li>- Peak shaving features available at the site</li> </ul>		
Energy Efficiency Enhancement methods affecting the site equipment during the test		
<i>Estimated percentage of presence of this site type in the Network Area</i>		
Electricity sources used in the site		
	Electricity [%]	
	Genset [%]	
	Solar [%]	
	Renewables [%]	
	Others (indicate)	

Table 6: “Site measurement” reporting template

Site measurement		
Measurement duration		
	Time duration of the measurement [T]	
	<i>Measurement start date and time</i>	
	<i>Measurement finish date and time</i>	
	<i>Repetition time</i>	
	<i>Granularity of measurements</i>	
Temperature class and average temperature during the test		
Energy consumption in the site		
	Method of measurement [energy bills/counters, sensors, equipment information, other]	
	Measured energy consumption EC <sub>MN</sub> [Wh or multiples]	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Week energy consumption [per week data/graph]</li> <li>• Month energy consumption [if T allows]</li> <li>• Year energy consumption [if T allows]</li> </ul>	
Traffic offered in the site		
	Method of measurement [operational counters, backhauling data, MDT, other]	
	Measured traffic volume DV[bit or multiples]	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Week traffic [per week data/graph]</li> <li>• Month traffic [if T allows]</li> <li>• Year traffic [if T allows]</li> </ul>	
Coverage of the site [data to be reported per each RAT present in the site]		
	CoA_geo: [km <sup>2</sup> ]	
	CoA_des: [km <sup>2</sup> ]	
	CoA_Qdes:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Failed RRC connection establishments</li> <li>• Attempted RRC connection establishments</li> <li>• RAB setup failure</li> <li>• RAB setup attempted</li> <li>• RAB release failure</li> <li>• RAB release attempted</li> </ul>	
Site Energy efficiency		
	Measured Energy Efficiency EE <sub>MN</sub> [bit/J] and [m <sup>2</sup> /J]	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Weekly Energy Efficiency [per week data/graph]</li> <li>• Monthly Energy Efficiency [if T allows]</li> <li>• Yearly Energy Efficiency [if T allows]</li> </ul>	

Table 7 reports an example of computation results of a total Mobile Network Energy Efficiency assessment. The EE values are in the format of tables for Partial network 1, and other values are considered in other Partial networks in the same partial network area (not reported in this example) to come to the average values in the EE columns. The Total EE is evaluated in the measurement period T timeframe (2 weeks) for the DV case, while EC is extrapolated to 1 year as required for CoA EE metric.

**Table 7: Total (whole) Mobile Network Energy Efficiency assessment**

Demography Class	Percentage of presence (PofP) in the total Network Area of the class	EE <sub>MN</sub> in the class	
		EE <sub>MN,DV</sub>	EE <sub>MN,CoA</sub>
Dense Urban (DU)	42 %	200 b/J	2,7 m <sup>2</sup> /MJ
Urban (U)	20 %	40 b/J	19 m <sup>2</sup> /MJ
Sub-urban (SU)	15 %	8 b/J	38 m <sup>2</sup> /MJ
Rural (RU)	13 %	2 b/J	115 m <sup>2</sup> /MJ
Unpopulated	10 %	NA	NA
<b>Overall/total EE</b>		<b>103,8 b/J</b>	<b>28,4 m<sup>2</sup>/MJ</b>

The following equations explain how to compute the Total EE in the cases mentioned above.

$$\begin{aligned}
 EE_{total,DV} &= \frac{PofP_{DU} * EE_{DU,av} + PofP_U * EE_{U,av} + PofP_{SU} * EE_{SU,av} + PofP_{Unp} * EE_{Unp,av}}{PofP_{DU} + PofP_U + PofP_{SU} + PofP_{Unp}} \\
 &= \frac{42*200+20*40+15*8+13*2}{42+20+15+13} = 103,8 \text{ b/J}
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 EE_{total,CoA} &= \frac{PofP_{DU} * EE_{DU,av} + PofP_U * EE_{U,av} + PofP_{SU} * EE_{SU,av} + PofP_{Unp} * EE_{Unp,av}}{PofP_{DU} + PofP_U + PofP_{SU} + PofP_{Unp}} \\
 &= \frac{42*2,7+20*19+15*38+13*115}{42+20+15+13} = 28,4 \text{ m}^2/\text{MJ}
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Note that in the CoA case the extrapolation has been made from T = 14 days to 1 year dividing by 26 the results during period T (365/14~26).

## 7 CLOUD RAN ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The aim of this annex is to provide the basic information on definitions and principles to be used for the assessment of energy efficiency of Cloud RAN (CRAN) networks.

As far as energy efficiency assessment is concerned, the generic architecture of CRAN can be divided in 3 domains: central cloud, edge cloud and radio access.

The Radio Access (RA) domain consists of the Remote Access Points (RAP) dedicated to the CRAN under investigation. A typical RAP would include the radio, baseband and optical transport equipment. It would perform real time eNB tasks (e.g. Scheduler) and is installed near the transmitting antennas (e.g. within 1 m to 1 km). The density of RAP's deployed for CRAN would vary with different implementations but would be typically be of a few RAP units per 10 km<sup>2</sup>. A typical value of RAP energy efficiency is:  $SEE_{RAP} = 90\%$ .

The Edge Cloud (EDC) domain is consisting of small datacentres dedicated to telecom functions, including Virtualized Network Functions (VNF) Servers (VNFS) used by the CRAN under investigation. A typical EDC datacentre would perform non-real time eNB tasks, such as Operations, Administration and Maintenance (O&M). The density of EDC datacentres deployed for CRAN would vary with different network configurations but would typically be of a few units per 100 km<sup>2</sup>. A typical value of Edge Cloud site energy efficiency is:  $SEE_{EDC} = 75\%$ .

The Central Cloud (CC) domain is consisting of a datacentre (DC) including Central Servers (CS), Switching Equipment (SE) and other Telco Equipment (TE). The IP Core network equipment is not be taken into account in the assessment of CRAN EE. Central Cloud datacentres are usually very far from most of the served EDC. Their density would vary with different network configurations but would typically be of a few units per 100,000 km<sup>2</sup>. A typical value of Central Cloud site energy efficiency is:  $SEE_{CC} = 65\%$ .

The following formulas can be used in the EE assessment for CRANs [8]:

Data Volume:

$$DV_{CRAN} = \sum_{RAP} (DV_{RAP-DL} + DV_{RAP-UL})$$

where  $DV_{RAP-DL}$  and  $DV_{RAP-UL}$  are the data volume of the RAP for downlink (DL) and uplink (UL) respectively.

Energy consumption:

$$EC_{CRAN} = \sum_{CC\ sites} (\sum_{site} (EC_{CS} + EC_{SE} + EC_{TE})) / SEE_{CC} + \sum_{EDC\ sites} (\sum_{site} EC_{VNFS}) / SEE_{EDC} + \sum_{RAP} EC_{RAP} / SEE_{RAP}$$

Energy efficiency:

$$EE_{CRAN} = DV_{CRAN} / EC_{CRAN}$$

## 8 REFERENCES

---

- [1] 5GENESIS Consortium, "D2.1 Requirements of the Facility," 2018. [Online]. Available: [https://5genesis.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/5GENESIS\\_D2.1\\_v1.0.pdf](https://5genesis.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/5GENESIS_D2.1_v1.0.pdf).
- [2] 5GENESIS Consortium, "D2.2 Initial overall facility design and specifications," 2018. [Online]. Available: [https://5genesis.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/5GENESIS\\_D2.2\\_v1.0.pdf](https://5genesis.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/5GENESIS_D2.2_v1.0.pdf).
- [3] 5GENESIS Consortium, "D2.3 Initial planning of tests and experimentation," [Online]. Available: [https://5genesis.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/5GENESIS\\_D2.2\\_v1.0.pdf](https://5genesis.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/5GENESIS_D2.2_v1.0.pdf).
- [4] IEEE P802.11.2/D1.01 – Draft Recommended Practice for the Evaluation of 802.11 Wireless Performance. February 2008.
- [5] Jain, R.: The Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis: Techniques for Experimental Design, Measurement, Simulation, and Modelling. Wiley Professional Computing, 1991. ISBN 978-0471503361.
- [6] 3GPP TR 32.972 V16.0.0 (2018-12): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Telecommunication management; Study on system and functional aspects of energy efficiency in 5G networks (Release 16).
- [7] ETSI ES 202 706-1 V1.5.1 (2017-01): Environmental Engineering (EE); Metrics and measurement method for energy efficiency of wireless access network equipment; Part 1: Power Consumption - Static Measurement Method.
- [8] ETSI ES 102 706-2 V1.5.1 (2018-11): Environmental Engineering (EE); Metrics and Measurement Method for Energy Efficiency of Wireless Access Network Equipment; Part 2: Energy Efficiency - dynamic measurement method.
- [9] ETSI ES 203 228 (V1.2.1) (2017-04): "Environmental Engineering (EE); Assessment of mobile network energy efficiency".
- [10] ETSI TS 132 425 (V12.0.0): "LTE; Telecommunication management; Performance Management (PM); Performance measurements Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN) (3GPP TS 32.425 version 12.0.0 Release 12)".
- [11] ETSI TS 132 425 V15.2.0 (2019-04): LTE; Telecommunication management; Performance Management (PM); Performance measurements Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN) (3GPP TS 32.425 version 15.2.0 Release 15).
- [12] ETSI TS 132 412 V15.0.0 (2018-07): Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); LTE; Telecommunication management; Performance Management (PM) Integration Reference Point (IRP): Information Service (IS) (3GPP TS 32.412 version 15.0.0 Release 15).
- [13] ITU-T Recommendation L.1330 (03/2015) : Energy efficiency measurement and metrics for telecommunication networks.
- [14] ITU-T Recommendation ITU-T L.1310 (07/2017): Energy efficiency metrics and measurement methods for telecommunication equipment.
- [15] Recommendation ITU-T L.1331 (04/2017): Assessment of mobile network energy efficiency.



- [16] ETSI TR 103 117 V1.1.1 (2012-11): Environmental Engineering (EE); Principles for Mobile Network level energy efficiency.
- [17] NGMN White Paper 2015 - <https://www.ngmn.org/5g-white-paper/5g-white-paper.html>
- [18] 3GPP TR 38.913 V15.0.0 (2018-06): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Study on Scenarios and Requirements for Next Generation Access Technologies; (Release 15).
- [19] 3GPP TR 21.866 V15.0.0 (2017-06): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Study on Energy Efficiency Aspects of 3GPP Standards (Release 15).
- [20] 3GPP TR 36.927 V15.0.0 (2018-07): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Potential solutions for energy saving for E-UTRAN (Release 15).
- [21] 3GPP TR 32.856 V15.0.0 (2017-06): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Telecommunication management; Study on Operations, Administration and Maintenance (OAM) support for assessment of energy efficiency in mobile access networks (Release 15).
- [22] ETSI ES 202 336-12 V1.2.1 (2019-02) : Environmental Engineering (EE); Monitoring and control interface for infrastructure equipment (power, cooling and building environment systems used in telecommunication networks); Part 12: ICT equipment power, energy and environmental parameters monitoring information model.
- [23] 3GPP TS 28.550 V16.0.0 (2019-03): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Management and orchestration; Performance assurance (Release 16).
- [24] 3GPP TS 28.552 V16.1.0 (2019-03): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Management and orchestration; 5G performance measurements (Release 16).
- [25] 3GPP TS 28.304 V15.0.0 (2018-03): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Telecommunication management; Control and monitoring of Power, Energy and Environmental (PEE) parameters Integration Reference Point (IRP); Requirements (Release 15).
- [26] 3GPP TS 28.305 V15.1.0 (2018-12): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Telecommunication management; Control and monitoring of Power, Energy and Environmental (PEE) parameters Integration Reference Point (IRP); Information Service (IS) (Release 15).
- [27] 3GPP TS 28.306 V15.0.0 (2018-03): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Control and monitoring of Power, Energy and Environmental (PEE) parameters Integration Reference Point (IRP); Solution Set (SS) definitions (Release 15).
- [28] ETSI ES 203 539 V1.1.0 (2019-03): Environmental Engineering (EE); Measurement method for energy efficiency of Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) in laboratory environment.
- [29] 3GPP TS 28.622 V15.2.0 (2018-12): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Telecommunication management; Generic Network Resource Model (NRM) Integration Reference Point (IRP); Information Service (IS) (Release 15).

- [30] 3GPP TS 28.531 V16.1.0 (2019-03): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Management and orchestration; Provisioning; (Release 16).
- [31] 3GPP TS 28.554 V16.0.0 (2019-03): 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Management and orchestration; 5G end to end Key Performance Indicators (KPI) (Release 16).
- [32] ITU-T Recommendation ITU-T L.1320 (03/2014): Energy efficiency metrics and measurement for power and cooling equipment for telecommunications and data centres.
- [33] Smart-me - Smart energy solutions. Available: <https://www.smartme.com/>
- [34] Recommendation ITU-R M.2083-0 (09/2015) IMT Vision – Framework and overall objectives of the future development of IMT for 2020 and beyond